RED LIST II



M

Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission

HELCOM Red List II of the Baltic Sea species in danger of becoming extinct









Published by:

Helsinki Commission – HELCOM Katajanokanlaituri 6 B 00160 Helsinki, Finland

www.helcom.fi

Information and views expressed in this publication are the authors' own and might vary from those of the Helsinki Commission or its members.

For bibliographic purposes this document should be cited as: "HELCOM Red List II of Baltic Sea species in danger of becoming extinct. Baltic Sea Environment Proceedings No.205. HELCOM (2025)"

© Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission – Helsinki Commission (2025)

All rights reserved. Information included in this publication or extracts thereof, with the exception of images and graphic elements that are not HELCOM's own and identified as such, may be reproduced without prior consent on the condition that the complete reference of the publication is given as stated above.

Authors: Agnes Unnuk Editor: Lena Avellan Contributor: Axel Haldin, Bettina Forsberg, Jana Wolf, Jannica Haldin, Theodor Hüttel

Acknowledgements: HELCOM Red List II project and its final deliverables were funded by the Nordic Working Group for Biodiversity (NBM) under the Nordic Council of Ministers (NCM). National contact points and experts who contributed to the assessment process via the Red List II project's task teams: Markus Ahola, Eda Andresmaa, Susanne Backe, Inga Belasova, Anna Bindere, Ulf Bjelke, Penina Blankett, Helle Buur, Ida Carlén, Anja Carlsson, Julia Carlström, Andrea Cervantes, Ieva Čaraitė, Volker Dierschke, Morten Elmeros, Morten Frederiksen, Karin Fürhaupter, Anders Galatius, Anita Gilles, Piotr Gruszka, Fredrik Haas, Janos Hennicke, Maija Häggblom, Norbert Häubner, Anda Ikauniece, Helle Inari, Edyta Jurkiewicz-Gruszecka, Kim Jaatinen, Ivar Jüssi, Magdalena Kamińska, Tapio Kangas, Anna Karlsson, Marju Keis, Sine Kirk, Axel Kreutle, Sanna Kuningas, Mervi Kunnasranta, Lasse Kurvinen, Ari Laine, Kristina Lehnert, Agu Leivits, Meelis Leivits, Nynne Lemming, Olli Loisa, Laura Lupeikaitė, David Lusseau, Michał Malinga, Maret Merisaar, Mikko Olin, Kylie Owen, Iwona Pawliczka vel Pawlik, Valdis Pilāts, Christian Pusch, Lauri Saks, Mikael Svensson, Caroline Vestergaard Mikkelsen, Miriam S. Müller, Ursula Siebert, Robertas Staponkus, Malin Strand, Lotte C. Striewe, Vaida Survilienė, Henrik Thurfjell, Kaire Torn, Jeanette Ågren, Monika Zajączkowska, Michael L. Zettler. HELCOM Secretariat data team: Joni Kaitaranta, Andžej Miloš, Camilo Hernández, Andrea Sozzi.

Layout: Laura Ramos Tirado

ISSN: 0357-2994



Contents

In	roduction	4
1.	Species assessment	8
±.	1.1 HELCOM Guidelines for Red List assessment and use of Categories	
	and Criteria for Species	8
	1.2. Regional concept	8
	1.3. Threat categories	10
	1.4. Criteria	12
	1.5. Available data for the Red List II project	14
	1.6. EDIT tool for species threat categorization	14
	1.7. HELCOM EOO and AOO tool	14
	1.8. Assessment results review and validation process	.14
2.	Red List II assessment results	15
	2.1. Scope of the assessment and the number of red-listed species	
	2.2. Macrophyte assessment	
	2.3. Fish and lamprey assessment	.24
	2.4. Benthic invertebrate assessment	.30
	2.5. Seabird assessment results	.37
	2.6. Mammal assessment results	45
3.	Past, current and future threats	55
Co to	nservation of Baltic Sea species categorized as threatened according the 2013 HELCOM Red List 4.1. Macrophyte species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview 4.2. Benthic invertebrate species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview 4.3. Fish and lamprey species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview 4.4. Breeding bird species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview 4.5. Wintering bird species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview	58 59 61 66 69 71
	4.6. Marine mammal species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview	73
	4.7. Recommendation 37/2 review conclusions based on the implementation overview.	75
5.	Conclusions and proposals of the HELCOM Red List II project	.79
Δr	nex 1. Macronhyte threat categories 2024	84
_		
Ar	nex 2. Fish and lamprey threat categories 2024	96
Ar	nex 3. Benthic invertebrate threat categories 2024	.99
Ar	nex 4. Seabird threat categories 2024	121
Ar	nex 5. Marine mammal threat categories 2024	125
Re	ferences	126



Introduction

The Baltic Sea and its biodiversity

The Baltic Sea is one of the largest brackish water bodies in the world, covering an area of 420 000 square kilometers. Over a third of the Baltic Sea is shallower than 30 meters, resulting in a relatively small total water volume compared to its surface area. Additionally, the Baltic Sea lacks tides and is somewhat isolated from other seas, creating a unique environment that shapes the region's distinct biodiversity patterns.

Water exchange in the Baltic Sea is slow, with it taking about thirty years for the entire water body to be replaced (Stigebrandt 2001). Freshwater flows into the sea from various rivers, contributing to the brackish water gradient. Salinity gradually decreases from 15–18 psu at the surface near the Sound to 7–8 psu in the Baltic Proper and 0–2 psu in the northern and eastern parts. Salinity also varies with depth, as denser, higher salinity water sinks below the less salty water. Many sub-basins of the Baltic Sea are stratified, with higher salinity at greater depths and lower salinity near the surface (Meier et al. 2023).

Other unique features of the Baltic Sea include its regular winter ice cover, and the fact that despite its shallow depth, the bottom water remains cold throughout the summer. The water is generally more turbid than ocean water, and the photic zone, where photosynthesis occurs, is narrower compared to oceans.

The Baltic Sea ecosystem is home to both marine and freshwater species that are capable of surviving in brackish conditions. In certain coastal regions, marine and freshwater species coexist and interact within the same food web. However, the brackish nature of the water limits the distribution of many aquatic species. The low salinity restricts the range of many marine species from entering the Baltic Sea, while freshwater species are unable to thrive in waters with higher salinity. This results in a biodiversity gradient driven by salinity (Figure 1). The total number of species decreases from south to north. The Baltic Sea is known to harbour around 5 000 species (HELCOM 2017), of which over 3 000 are macro-species (species visible to the naked eye; HELCOM 2020a). These species form various populations and subpopulations that interact to create the distinct ecosystem of the Baltic Sea. While these numbers might seem substantial, they are relatively low compared to most other seas. Since many species in the Baltic Sea live at the limits of their salinity tolerance, even small changes in their environment can drastically affect their abundance or growth. As a result, the structure of these communities could undergo significant changes in response to minor environmental shifts

The diverse geomorphology of the Baltic Sea further enhances the creation of a mosaic of unique habitats and biodiversity across the region. The southern coasts are typically sandy, while the northern shores are often rocky or formed by moraine. These varied conditions contribute to the Baltic Sea's exceptional biodiversity. There is no other sea quite like it in the world. The ecosystems of the Baltic Sea are both uniquely rich and highly vulnerable.



Figure 1. The clear majority of the macrospecies in the Baltic Sea are benthic invertebrates. The other main species groups are macrophytes (including algae, vascular plants and bryophytes), followed by fish. Phytoplankton diversity includes the currently known planktonic microalgae and cyanobacteria.



The Third HELCOM holistic assessment (2016-2021) indicates that there has been little to no improvement in the environmental condition of the Baltic Sea (Figure 2). Indicator-based evaluations reveal widespread poor status across various environmental pressures throughout the entire region. In terms of pelagic habitats, benthic habitats, fish, waterbirds, and marine mammals, only a few indicators reached their threshold values in certain areas of the Baltic Sea, and none met the criteria across all assessed regions. For some species groups, such as marine mammals and fish, the overall status has deteriorated compared to the previous assessment. Many commercial fish stocks in the Baltic Sea are in particularly poor condition.

The poor condition of many species and habitats reflects their response to multiple, simultaneous pressures rather than individual factors. For instance, mobile species such as fish, waterbirds, and marine mammals are impacted by pressures across their entire distribution range. Achieving several environmental objectives for the Baltic Sea will likely require a combination of measures that address various pressures and the effects of climate change. Transformative changes across all socioeconomic sectors interacting with or impacting the Baltic Sea environment are essential to protect and restore ecosystems and halt current negative trends. Preserving the natural structure and function of food webs is expected to enhance the ecosystem's resilience against multiple human pressures. While food webs cannot be directly managed, their structure and function can be improved through effective management of human activities and pressures that impact the species within them. As all parts of the ecosystem are interconnected, changes in the status of one species in the food web will influence others. Incorporat-

ing food web knowledge into the design and implementation of management measures (such as identifying and coordinating actions that support key species) is expected to increase the effectiveness of efforts to strengthen species, habitats, and food webs in the Baltic Sea.

Biodiversity challenges

Environmental problems in the Baltic Sea are strongly characterized by transboundary issues. Major pressures on the Baltic Sea ecosystem include eutrophication, pollution from hazardous substances, land use changes, and overfishing, though several other factors also contribute to the overall impact. The Baltic Sea is increasingly affected by climate change and biodiversity loss, which are being exacerbated by eutrophication, pollution, land use practices, and resource extraction. Habitat loss, fragmentation, and degradation have been recognized as the most direct threats to biodiversity worldwide. In the Baltic Sea, negative changes in ecosystem components and habitats are often the result of multiple activities and stressors that act both individually and cumulatively, such as nutrient/pollutant inputs, coastal construction and recreational activities, fishing, the introduction of non-native species, and climate change. The typical impacts on ecosystem components include disturbance, fragmentation, and the loss of habitat-forming species, as well as declines in the abundance and size of top predators, the homogenization of biological communities, and the disruption of connectivity and ecological processes within and between natural communities. The effects of climate change are expected to intensify in the future, underscoring the need for measures to boost ecosystem resilience and mitigate negative impacts.



Figure 2. Summary of the integrated assessment results of pressures and status for the Baltic Sea showing the proportion of the Baltic Sea in the different assessment status categories (based on square kilometres). Integrated assessment results are shown in five categories with three representing degrees of poor status and two representing degrees of good status.



The HELCOM Red List II project

Regularly evaluating the status of species and habitats/biotopes in the Baltic Sea allows for tracking long-term trends in biodiversity and identifying changes in the condition of species and habitats. This process helps assess whether efforts to prevent biodiversity loss have been effective. The goal of the HELCOM Red List II project was to assess the status of red-listed species in the Baltic Sea, building on the results and insights from the previous HELCOM Red List project completed in 2013, and using the updated <u>HELCOM</u> <u>Checklist of Baltic Sea macro species 2.0</u>.

This HELCOM Red List II of Baltic Sea species in danger of becoming extinct; and the HELCOM Red List II of Baltic Sea underwater biotopes, habitats and biotope complexes complement and support each other and ought to be simultaneously considered by managers and policymakers. These updated assessment of the HELCOM Red List II for species and habitats/biotopes serves as a foundation for future regional work in HELCOM focused on biodiversity protection. It also provides a reference for Contracting Parties not currently conducting Red List assessments, as it illustrates the trends of the assessed species and habitats/biotopes across their distribution in the Baltic Sea. The Red List II assessment includes new data from areas where fresh information has been gathered and reflects a deeper understanding of the assessment process and related parameters.

The Red List II assessment plays a crucial role in monitoring the progress and effectiveness of HELCOM commitments, and it helps enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of measures by focusing on priority areas or species. The Red List II is closely connected to a wide range of commitments, both within HELCOM and externally, providing valuable information for evaluating the implementation of the HELCOM Baltic Sea Action Plan (see Table 1 below), as well as HELCOM Recommendations 37-2 and 40-1, along with several other Recommendations and Action Plans aimed at species of direct relevance:

- Recommendation 17/2 Protection of Harbour Porpoise in the Baltic Sea Area
- Recommendation 27-28/2 Conservation of seals in the Baltic Sea Area
- Recommendation 19/2 Protection and Improvement of the Wild Salmon (Salmo salar L.) populations in the Baltic Sea Area
- Recommendation 32-33/1 Conservation of Baltic Salmon (Salmo salar) and Sea Trout (Salmo trutta) populations by the restoration of their river habitats and management of river fisheries
- Recommendation 34E-1 Safeguarding important bird habitats and migration routes in the Baltic Sea from negative effects of wind and wave energy production at sea
- HELCOM Action Plan for the Protection and Recovery of the Baltic Sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus*) for the period of 2019-2029

The Red List II assessment contributes to commitments under the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), the EU Biodiversity Strategy, the UN Sustainable Development Goals, the EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD), the EU Habitat Directive (HD), and the EU Nature Restoration Regulation (NRR).

The findings from the updated Red List II assessment are also essential for tackling related issues, such as assessing Marine Protected Areas (MPAs), evaluating and mitigating the effects of climate change, and accounting for ecosystem services in the ecosystem-based management of human activities.



Table 1. Red List II contribution to BSAP actions.

	BSAP Action	Red List II contribution
B 7	Ensure that by 2030 the HELCOM marine protected area (MPA) network amongst other things provides specific protection to species and biotopes listed as regionally threatened or near threatened in the HELCOM Red Lists.	List of regionally threatened species available by species groups in the Annexes 1-5 of this Report. List of regionally threatened habitats is available in the Red List II habitate Report
89	By 2024 assess the status of the <i>Haploops</i> species and the biotopes, as well as key threats and, if relevant based on the assessment, by 2026 develop a joint conservation plan for <i>Haploops</i> species including jointly agreed meas- ures to improve the status of the species and biotopes, to be implemented by 2028.	 Haploops tenuis and Haploops tubicola species status is available in the Annex 3 of this Report. Contracting Parties conservation measures are listed in Table 38 of this Report. AB.H112 Baltic aphotic muddy sediment dominated by Haploops spp. habitat status is available in the Red List II habitat report.
B10	Include information on functional and life history traits for the species in the HELCOM Biodiversity Database, by 2024.	Species parameter information excel has been compiled based on Contracting Parties national red lists input and is available as a working document in Secretariat. Noting that the information varies greatly among species.
822	Update the HELCOM Red List Assessments by 2024, including identifying the main individual and cumulative pressures and underlying human ac- tivities affecting the red-listed species.	 Red List assessment updated by this Report. Overall pressures and human activities listed in Table 33
823	By 2025 develop, and by 2027 implement, and enforce compliance with ecologically relevant conservation plans or other relevant programmes or measures, limiting direct and indirect pressures stemming from human activities for threatened and declining species. These will include joint or regionally agreed conservation measures for migrating species.	 Table 35 and on Figure 35 of this Report. Contracting Parties national actions on threatened species have been compiled (Table 37 - Table 42 of this Report). Recommendations based on the implementation overview listed in Table 46 of this Report. Contracting Parties national actions on threatened habitats have been compiled in the Red List II habitat Report and recommendations based on the implementation overview listed in the that report.
B24	Develop tools for and regularly assess the effectiveness of other conserva- tion measures for species besides marine protected areas (MPAs), with the first assessment to be done by 2025, as well as assess the effect on species through risk and status assessments by 2029.	Contracting Parties national actions concerning threat- ened species have been compiled (Table 37 - Table 42 of this Report).
540	Identify by 2024 fish species for which there is a need for better data for identified purposes, such as setting threshold levels. Utilise dedicate pro- grammes and projects to facilitate recording and reporting of data for these species by 2025 to support the identification and implementation of meas- ures to achieve good environmental status.	 Fish data collected via Red List II project has been published in HELCOM BioBase. Data Deficient and Not Evaluated categories have been assigned to fish species which are in need for better data in the near future, available in the Annex 2 of this Report.



1. Species assessment

1.1. HELCOM Guidelines for Red List assessment and use of Categories and Criteria for Species

The HELCOM Red List II work is based on the IUCN Red List Criteria and aims to align the regional assessment with IUCN guidance whenever possible. All rules and definitions outlined in the IUCN Red List Guidelines (IUCN, 2012) apply at the regional level, unless otherwise stated, and the IUCN documents and guidelines should be consistently referenced. However, due to the diverse range of circumstances encountered when assessing different taxonomic groups in various regions, it is not always possible to strictly adhere to every aspect of the IUCN Guidelines. Some level of interpretation is inevitable, and these decisions are left to the discretion of the regional Red List compilers.

During the Red List II project, the HELCOM Guidelines for Red List assessment and the use of Categories and Criteria for Species were developed based on the IUCN Guidelines for applying Red List Criteria at regional and national levels (2012, Version 4.0), with adjustments for the specificities of the Baltic Sea region. These guidelines provide instructions for all parties involved in updating the regional Red List assessment, ensuring consistency across assessments and topics, and enabling assessors to draw from the relevant experiences and discussions of other assessment topics. The HELCOM Guideline was used as a living document and was updated during the project as necessary (version 10.10.2022, available from the Secretariat).

1.2. Regional concept

The term "regional" here refers to any sub-global, geographically defined area, such as a continent, country, state, or province. In the context of the HELCOM Red List, the defined area specifically corresponds to the Baltic Sea as outlined by HELCOM (Figure 3).

In any region, there will be taxa with varying distribution histories, ranging from those that are indigenous (native to the area) and have been present since before human settlement, to those that were introduced more recently. There may also be breeding and non-breeding taxa. The latter refers to species that do not reproduce in the region but still rely on its resources for survival. Additionally, there may be taxa that were once native to the region but are now extinct there, though they still exist elsewhere in the world.

The goal is not to assess all species that have ever existed in the Baltic Sea area, but to focus on those that currently inhabit the region, or those that have lived there within the timeframe specified by the assessment guidelines, or that are strongly dependent on the Baltic Sea's marine or coastal environment.



Figure 3. The entire HELCOM marine area is considered in the Red List assessment.

······



1.3. Threat categories

The HELCOM Red List regional assessment uses nine categories: Regionally Extinct (RE), Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU), Near Threatened (NT), Least Concern (LC), Data Deficient (DD), Not Applicable (NA) and Not Evaluated (NE) (Figure 4).

All non-native species that have been intentionally or unintentionally introduced after 1800 are assigned to the category Not Applicable (NA).



Figure 4. Structure of the categories used in the HELCOM Red List regional assessment.



The categories can be defined as follows:

RE REGIONALLY EXTINCT

A taxon is RE when there is no reasonable doubt that the last individual potentially capable of reproduction within the region has died or disappeared from the region or, in the case of a former visiting taxon, individuals no longer visit the region. It is not possible to set any general rules for a time period since the last observation before species are classified as RE. This will depend on how much effort has been devoted to searching for the taxon, which in turn will vary, both with organism and region. If the regional authority decides to adopt any time frames for RE assessments, these should be clearly specified.

Populations of long-lived individuals that have ceased to reproduce within the region (for example, as a result of a deteriorating environment) should be regarded as potentially capable of reproduction and consequently should not be classified as RE. On the other hand, vagrant individuals of a formerly regionally breeding taxon that reach the region should not be regarded as potentially capable of reproduction.

CR CRITICALLY ENDANGERED

A taxon is Critically Endangered when the best available evidence indicates that it meets any of the criteria A to E for Critically Endangered, and it is therefore considered to be facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.



A taxon is Critically Endangered when the best available evidence indicates that it meets any of the criteria A to E for Critically Endangered, and it is therefore considered to be facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.



A taxon is Vulnerable when the best available evidence indicates that it meets any of the criteria A to E for Vulnerable, and it is therefore considered to be facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.

NT NEAR THREATENED

A taxon is Near Threatened when it has been evaluated against the criteria but does not qualify for Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable now, but is close to qualifying for or is likely to qualify for a threatened category in the near future.

C LEAST CONCERN

A taxon is Least Concern when it has been evaluated against the criteria and does not qualify for Critically Endangered, Endangered, Vulnerable or Near Threatened. Widespread and abundant taxa are often included in this category.



The category Data Deficient is chosen only when uncertainty about correct status is so great that it includes also the possibility of the taxon belonging to the category Least Concern. This means that taxa for which the plausible categories vary from NT to CR, but do not include LC, should normally be assigned to the most likely category, not to DD.

m

A taxon is Data Deficient when there is inadequate information to make a direct, or indirect, assessment of its risk of extinction based on its distribution and/or population status. A taxon in this category may be well studied, and its biology well known, but appropriate data on abundance and/or distribution are lacking. Data Deficient is therefore not a category of threat. Listing of taxa in this category indicates that more information is required and acknowledges the possibility that future research will show that threatened classification is appropriate. It is important to make positive use of whatever data is available. In many cases great care should be exercised in choosing between DD and a threatened status. If the range of a taxon is suspected to be relatively circumscribed, or a considerable period of time has elapsed since the last record of the taxon, threatened status may well be justified.



All species that have been intentionally or unintentionally introduced after 1800 are assigned NA. Species that are in the process of extending their distribution will be assigned to this category until they are established in the area. A general rule for a newly arrived, but established species could be the survival of a reproducing population for ten years.

Among animal species category NA is applied for example to Branta canadensis and Coregonus peled. Irregularly visiting species also belong to the category NA. However, regular visitors may be included in the assessment with certain preconditions. The category NA is applied also to species of which there are so few observations that it is impossible to say whether the species occurs regularly or not. Difficult borderline cases between NA and DD categories may exist.

Taxa not eligible for assessment at the regional level (mainly introduced taxa and vagrants) should be assigned the category Not Applicable (NA). A taxon may be NA because it is not a wild population or not within its natural range in the region, or because it is a vagrant to the region. It may also be NA because it occurs at very low numbers in the region (i.e., when the regional Red List authority has decided to use a "filter" to exclude taxa before the assessment procedure) or the taxon may be classified at a lower taxonomic level (e.g., below the level of species or subspecies) than considered eligible by the regional Red List authority. In contrast to other Red List categories, it is not mandatory to use NA for all taxa to which it applies; but it is recommended for taxa where its use is informative. NE) not evaluated

The category NE is for whole taxonomical groups for which threat assessment is impossible due to the complete lack of experts or data. Also, among better-known taxonomical groups some taxa may be assigned to NE, if they occur regularly in the area, but there are e.g. taxonomical problems related to them.

A taxon is Not Evaluated when it is has not yet been evaluated against the criteria.

1.4. Criteria

There are five quantitative criteria which are used to determine whether a taxon is threatened or not, and if threatened, which category of threat it belongs in (Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable). These criteria are based around the biological indicators of populations that are threatened with extinction, such as rapid population decline or very small population size. Most of the criteria also include subcriteria that must be used to justify more specifically the listing of a taxon under a particular category.

The five criteria are:

- A. Declining population (past, present and/or projected)
- B. Geographic range size, and fragmentation, decline or fluctuations
- C. Small population size and fragmentation, decline, or fluctuations
- D. Very small population or very restricted distribution
- E. Quantitative analysis of extinction risk (e.g., Population Viability Analysis)

To list a particular taxon in any of the categories of threat, only one of the criteria, A, B, C, D, or E, needs to be met (Table 2). However, taxon should be assessed against as many criteria as available data permit, and the listing should be annotated by as many criteria as are applicable for a specific category of threat. For example, Critically Endangered: A2cd; B1+2de; C2a(i). Only the criteria for the highest category of threat that the taxon qualifies for should be listed.



Table 2. Summary of the five criteria (A-E) used to evaluate if a taxon belongs in a threatened category (Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable).

Α.	Population size reduction. Population reduction (measur	ed over the longer of 10 years or 3 ger	nerations) based on any of A1 to A4		
		Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable	
A1		≥90%	≥70%	≥50%	
A2,	A3 & A4	≥80%	≥50%	≥30%	
A1	Population reduction observed, estimated, inferred, or sus	spected in the past where the causes		(a) direct observation [except A3]	
A2	Population reduction observed, estimated, inferred, or sus	spected in the past where the causes		(b) an index of abundance ap- propriate to the taxon	
A3 A4	Population reduction projected, inferred or suspected to mum of 100 years) [(a) cannot be used for A3]. An observed, estimated, inferred, projected or suspected p	be met in the future (up to a maxi-	based on anyof the following:	(c) a decline in area of occupan- cy (AOO), extent of occur- rence (EOO) and/or habitat quality	
	period must include both the past and the future (up to where the causes of reduction may not have ceased OR r be reversible	a max. of 100 years in future), and nay not be understood OR may not		(d) actual or potential levels of exploitation	
			/	 (e) effects of introduced taxa, hybridization, pathogens, pollutants, competitors or parasites. 	
В.	Geographic range in the form of either B1 (extent of occ	urrence) AND/OR B2 (area of occup	oancy)		
		Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable	
B1.	Extent of occurrence (EOO)	< 100 km ²	< 5 000 km ²	< 20 000 km ²	
B2.	Area of occupancy (AOO)	< 10 km ²	< 500 km ²	< 2 000 km ²	
AND	at least 2 of the following 3 conditions:				
(a) S	severely fragmented OR Number of locations	=1	≤5	≤10	
(b) (num	Continuing decline observed, estimated, inferred or projected between of locations or subpopulations; (v) number of mature in the set of the	ed in any of: (i) extent of occurrence; (ndividuals	ii) area of occupancy; (iii) area, exten	t and/or quality of habitat; (iv)	
(c) E	xtreme fluctuations in any of: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) an	ea of occupancy; (iii) number of locat	ions or subpopulations; (iv) number	of mature individuals	
c.	Small population size and decline				
		Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable	
Nun	nber of mature individuals	<250	<2500	< 10 000	
AND) at least one of C1 or C2				
C1. / at le	An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of ast (up to a max. of 100 years in future):	25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer)	20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer)	10% in 10 years or 3 generations (whichever is longer)	
C2. / decl	An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing ine AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions:				
(a)	(i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation	≤50	≤250	≤ 1,000	
(a)	(ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation =	90-100%	95–100%	100%	
(b)	Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature individuals				
D.	Very small or restricted population				
		Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable	
D.	Number of mature individuals	<50	< 250	D1. <1000	
D2.	Only applies to the VU category Restricted area of occupancy or number of locations with a plausible future threat that could drive the taxon to CR or EX in a very short time.	-	-	D2. typically: AOO < 20 km² or number of locations ≤ 5	
Ε.	Quantitative Analysis				
		Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable	
Indi	cating the probability of extinction in the wild to be:	≥ 50% in 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is longer (100 years max)	≥ 20% in 20 years or 5 generations, whichever is longer (100 years max)	\geq 10% in 100 years	

1.5. Available data for the Red List II project

Species data was gathered via HELCOM Red List II project's species data call (form 30.11.2022-2.5.2023) from seven Contracting Parties – Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Latvia, Poland and Sweden. The project harvested all additional available data also from the ICES database, the HELCOM HOLAS 3 process and the Swedish data from the SLU Swedish Species Information Center.

All the data was then cleaned, taxonomical differences in naming fixed and unified nomenclature used. Data was separated into a public and private database based on instruction from the Contracting Parties regarding whether the data could be shared publicly or used privately for the assessment process only.

The Red List II data call collected all available historic data starting from 1750.

The HELCOM Biodiversity Database (HELCOM BioBase) entries almost quadrupled during the Red List II project. Species data collected via the project have been published in HELCOM BioBase, adding about 6-million-point observations into the public side of the HELCOM BioBase.

1.6. EDIT tool for species threat categorization

The Swedish Red List assessment tool EDIT, that follows the IUCN guidance, was used for the HELCOM Red List II species threat categorization. The current tool EDIT is similar to the one used to assess some species groups within the previous HELCOM Red List assessment (AVA tool), allowing for a consistent comparison of 2013 and 2024 red-listing results. EDIT tool automates many segments of the red listing process, and provides assessment based on inputs, accounting, theoretically for all possible categories and criteria.

The Swedish assessment tool EDIT needs many different parameter info (e.g. generation length, size of decline, reproductive individuals etc.) fed into it to be able to determine the species threat categories according to the IUCN Guidelines. Since these specific values for species in a Baltic Sea context were not available, information was extracted from available national red lists collected from the Contracting Parties (from Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Latvia and Sweden). Received parameter info, together with the parameter info from previous Red List and Baltic Sea related IUCN red lists, were compiled with the help of a script into one comprehensive parameter excel (stored at the Secretariat). Available species parameter information was first translated into English, then into Swedish language and exported into the EDIT tool, that then based on the data fed into it automatically calculated the threat categories for each of the species and translated into English again for the assessment process.

The Baltic Sea scale of assessment may result in a different threat category than a national red list assessment. For instance, species classified as Least Concern regionally might be considered Critically Endangered nationally where their numbers are very low or declining. On the other hand, species that are regionally classified as Vulnerable due to declines in numbers or range may not meet the criteria for Vulnerable nationally where their populations are stable and may instead be classified as Least Concern. While this may seem counterintuitive, it reflects the structure of the criteria. In such cases, it is crucial to carefully consider the interactions between sub-units when planning conservation efforts.

1.7. HELCOM EOO and AOO tool

To optimize the use of the EDIT assessment tool, estimates for Area of Occupancy (AOO) and Extent of Occurrence (EOO) are needed for each species. For this purpose, HELCOM Red List II project developed a regional tool which produces calculations, as well as the underlying maps. The HELCOM EOO and AOO tool is based on the technical infrastructure developed for the HELCOM Biodiversity Database, using also its information from the public and private Red List II databases for calculating the EOO and AOO values and producing related maps.

1.8. Assessment results review and validation process

Red List II species assessment results (threat categories for Red List II with their background information and maps) were shared with nationally nominated experts by species groups via Red List II task teams (TT for macrophytes, TT for benthic invertebrates, TT for fish, TT for birds and TT for mammals). All Red List II species final technical outputs were reviewed and validated by the experts via dedicated workshops during September-December 2024.



2. Red List II assessment results

2.1. Scope of the assessment and the number of red-listed species

The assessment for Red Listed species follows the Red List criteria of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN).

The HELCOM Checklist of Baltic Sea Species 2.0 (HELCOM 2020) was used as the basis for identifying the taxon's to be considered for the Red List II assessment. Checklists are comprehensive lists covering all species of a certain species group known to occur in the Baltic Sea providing an overall view of an area's diversity, its species composition and its biological history. That a species is included on the HELCOM checklist does not automatically mean it was present in the Baltic Sea at the time the checklist was published. Some observations are historical and the only way to confirm the presence of a species is to undertake new inventories in the area of its previous occurrence.

HELCOM Red List II assessment focuses on five species groups: macrophytes, benthic invertebrates, fish and lamprey species, waterbirds and marine mammals. All species listed were expected to form stable populations in brackish waters with a minimum salinity of at least 0.5psu, that is all naturally occurring marine species currently present in the Baltic Sea. Also, records for all listed species were to be geographically located within the Baltic Sea excluding the invasive species.

The HELCOM Checklist 2.0 contains a total of 3 005 species. Based on available data a selection of 2023 species or other assessment units were made and included in the assessment process (Figure 5). In regard to dividing species into assessment units below the species level (i.e. subspecies or distinguishable populations), the approach has varied between species groups in a few cases, and consequently the total number of considered assessment units can be counted in different ways. 1 445 species or other assessment units (71.4%) were assigned to a red-listing category according to the IUCN Guidelines, 95 of them (4.7%) were categorized as threatened (either CR, EN or VU) and 578 species or other assessment units (28.6%) were left unassessed (either as Not Evaluated or Not Applicable). 2013 Red List used the HELCOM first checklist (HELCOM 2012) with 2 730 species and the assessment project used altogether 2 791 listed species or other assessment units for the assessment process, out of which 1 753 were evaluated, 69 of them (3.9%) were categorized as threatened and 1 038 of them were left unassessed (either as Not Evaluated or Not Applicable).



Figure 5. Proportion of species evaluated, Not Evaluated (NE) and Not Applicable (NA) for 2013 Red List compared to 2024 Red List II.

15





Compared to 2013 Red List more species were categorized as threatened in 2024 Red List II (Figure 6):

Figure 6. Proportions of Red List categories within the group of assessed species for 2013 Red List compared to 2024 Red List II.

The 2013 Red List assessment was done in a combination of data-driven assessment process and some expert opinion-based assessment. The 2024 Red List II assessment was done as a data driven exercise only with a lot more data available for the assessment process than in 2013. Thus, some of the results in this report show significant differences when comparing the 2013 and 2024 assessment results and trends in results and may be due to broader data availability than necessarily a change in status. In the 2013 Red List assessment process it was acknowledged the difficulty to make the division between Not Evaluated (NE) and Data Deficient (DD) and even Least Concern (LC) in many cases.

When comparing red list assessment results across different evaluations, it is important to consider the factors driving changes in assessment categories. These changes can stem from actions taken to improve a species' status or from increased pressures, but they may also result from better knowledge or data availability. Consequently, as the number of evaluated species increases with the growing availability of data, it is possible that the proportion of threatened species may also rise in future HELCOM assessments. (HELCOM, 2023).

In 2024 the category Not Applicable (NA) was assigned to those species that are freshwater species (not being a marine species) and to alien species.



2.2. Macrophyte assessment

2.2.1 Introduction to macrophytes

The Baltic Sea hosts a distinctive and diverse community of macrophytes that are essential to maintaining the regions ecological balance. These plants provide critical ecosystem services, including habitat for a wide range of aquatic organisms, nutrient cycling, and water quality regulation. The macrophytes of the Baltic Sea are characterized by a unique blend of marine and freshwater species, each species adapted to the brackish water and fluctuating environmental conditions.

Macrophytes in the Baltic Sea include both submerged and emergent species, such as seagrasses, macroalgae, and freshwater plants, all of which have adapted to the sea's variable salinity. These plants are vital components of the food web, supporting numerous invertebrates, fish, and waterfowl. They also play a key role in coastal protection by stabilizing sediments and reducing erosion. However, macrophyte communities are highly sensitive to environmental stressors such as nutrient pollution, climate change, and invasive species, which can impact their distribution and abundance. Understanding the dynamics of these plant communities is crucial for effective management and conservation of the Baltic Sea ecosystem.

On the Figure 7, the HELCOM BaltiCheck 2.0 smaller scale map, in the left side showcases the number of macrophyte species in each of the HELCOM sub-basins in comparison to the larger, Red List II map, which shows the number of macrophyte species that have been assigned the IUCN category (from Critical (CR) to Least Concern (LC)) per sub-basin.

Red List II - Macrophyte species with threat category



Figure 7. Number of HELCOM BaltiCheck 2.0 listed macrophyte species (map on the left) compared to the Red List II IUCN categorized (from CR-LC) macrophyte species per Baltic Sea sub-basins.



2.2.2 Overview of the assessment results for macrophytes

There were 3 macrophyte species assessed as threatened (CR, EN, VU) of the total 350 species that were evaluated in the HELCOM Red List II assessment (Table 3). One species, *Lamprothamnium papulosum*, remained Endangered (EN) as in 2013 and two species, *Hippuris tetraphylla and Zostera (Zosterella) noltei*, were categorized as Vulnerable (VU). The total list of the assessed macrophyte species and the categories assigned to them are available in Annex 1 of this report.

Table 3. List of macrophyte species categorized as threatened in Red List II and their respective categorization in 2013 Red List.

Red List II 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
EN	B2b(i,ii,iii,iv,v)c(iv)	Lamprothamnium papulosum		EN	B2ab(ii,iii,iv,v)
VU	B2ab(ii,iii,v); D2	Hippuris tetraphylla	Fourleaf mare's tail	EN	B2ab(i,ii,iii,iv,v)
vu	A2b; B2ab(ii,iii,v)	Zostera (Zosterella) noltei	Dwarf eelgrass	vu	B2ab (iii, iv)

Out of the total list of 558 macrophyte species of the HELCOM Checklist 2.0 (HELCOM 2020), a total of 352 species entered the Red List II assessment process (Figure 8), out of which 350 species were evaluated, one species (0.3%) was left unevaluated (Not Evaluated) and the category Not Applicable (NA) was given only to one species. In 2013 Red List 526 macrophyte species entered the assessment process, out of which 317 of them were evaluated. A total of 187 species were categorized as Not Evaluated (NE) and 22 species were categorized as Not Applicable (NA).



Macrophytes

Figure 8. Proportions of species that were assessed, not assessed (Not Evaluated (NE) or Not Applicable (NA)) according to the IUCN criteria in 2013 and 2024 Red Lists.



The proportion of the category Least Concern (LC) was very high: 331 species (94%) in 2024 and high also in 2013, being 300 species (57%) (Figure 9). The proportion of the assignment of the Not Evaluated (NE) category (187 species, 35.6%) was high in 2013 compared to one Not Evaluated species (*Alisma gramineum*) in 2024. The Not Evaluated category was chosen in 2013 when there were identification difficulties or taxonomical uncertainties, or the species was very poorly known. One species (*Chara connivens*) was categorized as Not Applicable (NA) in 2024 as the species is listed on the non-indigenous species (NIS) lists of the Baltic Sea (e.g. AquaNIS), in 2013 22 species were categorized as NA (4.2%) since introduced after 1800 and regarded as neophytes.



Figure 9. Proportions of Red List categories within the assessed macrophyte species in 2013 and 2014 Red Lists.

Altogether, 19 species were red-listed (RE-DD) in 2024, compared to 14 species in 2013 (Table 4).

Table 4. Distribution of the different IUCN Red List classifications in the current and previous Red List assessments.

	SUM	RE	CR	EN	VU	NT	DD	LC
2013 Red List	317	0	0	3	4	4	6	300
2024 Red List II	350	0	0	1	2	6	10	331



2.2.3 Assessment process

Species selection

A checklist of macrophytes with a total of 558 species was used as a basis to collect all available data from the Contracting Parties (Figure 10).



Figure 10. Number of macrophyte species per Baltic Sea sub-basin.



Data collection and assessment

Data was sent by Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany and Latvia via the HECOM data call, Sweden indicated macrophyte data to be extracted via publicly available Swedish data portal, Poland indicated to use the HOLAS 3 data sent to HELCOM and no reply from Lithuania concerning data availability. In addition, for composing the parameter excel, national red lists were collected from, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Latvia and Sweden.

The data and information provided by said Contracting Parties were combined to create a Baltic Sea wide dataset and the data was run through EDIT.

Those macrophyte species that are so called borderline species both on the marine side and also on the freshwater side were assigned to Least Concern category.

The macrophyte assessment process uses mainly the criteria B as there is more data available for that.

Noting that some macrophyte species distribution is only on a narrow stretch along the coastline (a linear habitat) due to the reason that charophytes simply do not grow in the water depth more than 1 meter, making it difficult to make correct AOO values and maps.

Species specific results

As a result of the Red List II assessment process seven species from 2013 Red List were transferred to the appropriate category (either Data Deficient (DD) or Not Applicable (NA)) (Table 5), 287 species kept their 2013 IUCN category (Table 6) and 58 species were moved to a category of lower threat (Table 7).

Chara horrida (in 2013 categorized as Near Threatened (NT) and three Least Concern (LC) species (*Tilopteris mertensii, Acro-thrix gracilis and Tsengia bairdii*) were categorized as Data Deficient (DD) during Red List II assessment process and need additional data collection during monitoring programmes for the next red listing process.

Halopteris scoparia and Stuckenia vaginata were included in the assessment process also as Data Deficient (DD) although they were categorized as Not Applicable (NA) in 2013, needing both additional data for backing up next round red listing categorization.

Chara connivens was previously assessed as Least Concern (LC) but was categorized as Not Applicable (NA) during 2024 red listing, being typical solid ballast species thus a non-indigenous species.

Table 5. Changes in species categorization from 2013 to 2024.

2013	1 NT	2 NA	3 LC	1 LC
Changes :	\uparrow	¢	۲	Ŷ
2024	DD	DD	DD	NA



Two species (Figure 11) had the same threat category as in 2013: *Lamprothamnium papulosum* as Endangered (EN) and *Zostera* (*Zosterella*) *noltei* as Vulnerable (VU).



Figure 11. HELCOM area maps of occurrence of Lamprothamnium papulosum and Zostera (Zosterella) noltei.

22



278 species remained in their Least Concern (LC) category also according to the 2024 red listing (Table 6).

Four species were categorized as Data Deficient (DD) both in 2013 and in 2024, needing additional background data to be able to categorize the species during next red listing:

- Botrytella reinboldii
- Myriocladia loveniid
- Helminthora divaricate
- Delamarea attenuata

Alisma gramineum stayed also in the same category as in 2013, Not Evaluated (NE), needing also additional background data to further categorize it under IUCN categories.

Table 6. No changes from 2013 to 2024.

2013	1 EN	1VU	2 NT	4 DD	278 LC	1 NE
No Change:	=	=	=	=	=	=
2024	EN	VU	NT	DD	LC	NE

There are altogether five macrophyte species that moved to a category of lower threat, compared to 2013 assessment results (Table 7):

- Hippuris tetraphylla from Endangered into Vulnerable
- Persicaria foliosa from Endangered into Near Threatened
- Alisma wahlenbergii, Chara braunii and Nitella hyalina from Vulnerable into Near Threatened
- Crassula aquatica from Near Threatened into Least Concern.

Ten species categorized as Not Evaluated in 2013 were categorized as Least Concern, as were the 42 species previously as Not Applicable.

 Table 7. Moving species to lower threat category changes from 2013 to 2024.

2013	1 EN	1 EN	3 VU	1 NT	10 NE	42 NA
Changes:	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
2024	VU	NT	NT	LC	LC	LC



2.3. Fish and lamprey assessment

2.3.1 Introduction to fish

Fish are present in all Baltic Sea habitat types. Around 230 fish species occur in the Baltic Sea (HELCOM 2012), including species of both marine and freshwater origin. Different types of assemblages characterize coastal and open sea areas, and many fish have different key habitats in different seasons. For example,

they may migrate between coastal and offshore areas for spawning or feeding. Some populations even move between the Baltic Sea and the North Sea. Coastal areas and freshwater tributaries are key habitats for freshwater species.

On the Figure 12, the HELCOM BaltiCheck 2.0 smaller scale map, in the left side showcases the number of fish species in each of the HELCOM sub-basins in comparison to the larger, Red List II map, which shows the number of fish species that have been assigned the IUCN category (from Critical (CR) to Least Concern (LC)) per sub-basin.

Red List II - Fish species with threat category



Figure 12. Number of HELCOM BaltiCheck 2.0 listed fish species (map on the left) compared to the Red List II IUCN categorized (from CR-LC) fish species per Baltic Sea sub-basins.



2.3.2 Overview of the assessment results for fish and lamprey

There were 21 fish species assessed as threatened (CR-VU) of the total 116 species that were evaluated in the HELCOM Red List II assessment (Table 8). Two species, *Acipenser oxyrinchus* and *Dipturus batis* remain Regionally Extinct (RE) and two more species (*Pomatoschistus norvegicus* and *Pomatoschistus pictus*) that were previously categorized as Data Deficient (DD) are now also in the Regionally Extinct (RE) category. Four species remained as Critically Endangered (CR), seven species as Endangered (EN) and ten as Vulnerable (VU). The total list of the assessed fish species and the categories assigned to them are available in Annex 2 of this report.

 Table 8. List of fish species categorized as threatened in Red List II and their respective categorization in 2013 Red List.

Red List II 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
RE		Acipenser oxyrinchus	American atlantic sturgeon	RE	
RE		Dipturus batis	Skate	RE	
RE		Pomatoschistus norvegicus	Norway goby	DD	
RE		Pomatoschistus pictus	Painted goby	DD	
CR	A1cd+2bcde+3cd+4cd	Anguilla anguilla	Eel	CR	A3bde+4bde
CR	A1d+2d+3d+4d; D	Lamna nasus	Porbeagle	CR	A2bd
CR	A1bd+2bd+3bd+4bd	Squalus acanthias	Spurdog	CR	A2bd
CR	A2bd+3bd+4bd	Thymallus thymallus	Grayling	CR	A2bcd
EN	A1cd+2bcde+3cd+4cd	Anarhichas lupus	Wolf-fish	EN	A2d
EN	A2d+3d+4d	Coregonus maraena	Whitefish	EN	A2bd
EN	A2d+3d+4d	Molva molva	Ling	EN	A2d
EN	B1ab(v)	Salmo salar	Salmon	VU	A2cd+3d+4d
EN	A2abcde+3bcd+4bcd	Gadus morhua	Cod	VU	A2b,c+A4b,c
EN	A2d	Glyptocephalus cynoglossus	Witch	LC	
EN	A2b	Liparis liparis	Sea-snail	LC	
VU	A2abd+3bd+4bd	Merlangius merlangus	Whiting	VU	A2bd
VU	A3bd+4bd	Raja clavata	Thornback ray	VU	A2bd
VU	A2ac; C2a(i)	Petromyzon marinus	Sea lamprey	VU	C2a(i)
VU	A3d	Salmo trutta	Trout	VU	A3d
VU	A2bd	Cyclopterus lumpus	Lumpsucker	NT	A2b
VU	A2bd	Enchelyopus cimbrius	Four-bearded rockling	NT	A2b
VU	A2bd+3bd+4bd	Zoarces viviparus	Eelpout	NT	A2b
VU	B2ab(iii,v)	Leuciscus aspius	Asp	NT	A3d
VU	A2bd	Amblyrayes radiata	Starry ray /Thorny skate	LC	
VU	A2bcd	Myoxocephalus scorpius	Bull-rout	LC	



Out of the total list of 242 fish and lamprey species of the HELCOM Checklist 2.0 (HELCOM 2020), a total of 123 species entered the Red List II assessment process (Figure 13), out of which 116 species were evaluated, four (3.4%) were left unevaluated (Not Evaluated) and the category Not Applicable (NA) was given to three species. In 2013 Red List 239 species entered the assessment process, out of which 113 species were evaluated and 126 species were categorized as NA.

The proportion of the category Least Concern (LC) was high: 75 (61.0%) in 2024 and even higher in 2013, being 80 (33.5%)

species (Figure 14). The proportion of the assignment of the category Not Applicable (NA) (126 species, 52.7%) was high in 2013 (excluding those species that do not naturally occur within the HELCOM area with a salinity of 0.5 psu), but lessened in 2024 to three species. Two species (*Platichthys solemdali* and *Chelon ramada*) categorized as Not Applicable (NA) in 2013 are categorized as Data Deficient (DD) in 2024 and four species (*Myoxocephalus quadricornis, Alosa fallax, Auxis rochei* and *Scomberesox saurus*) as Least Concern (LC).



Fish and Lamprey

Figure 13. Proportions of species that were assessed, not assessed (Not Evaluated) or Not Applicable (NA) according to the IUCN criteria in 2013 and 2024 Red Lists.



Figure 14. Proportions of Red List categories within the assessed fish species in 2013 and 2014 Red Lists.

Altogether, 41 species were red-listed (RE-DD) in 2024, compared to the 33 species in 2013 (Table 9).

Table 9. Distribution of the different IUCN Red List classifications in the current and previous Red List assessments.

	SUM	RE	CR	EN	VU	NT	DD	LC
2013 Red List	113	2	4	3	7	9	8	80
2024 Red List II	123	4	4	7	10	9	7	75

2.3.3 Assessment process

Species selection

A checklist of fish and lamprey species with a total of 242 species was used as a basis to collect all available data from the Contracting Parties (Figure 15).



Figure 15. Number of fish and lamprey species per Baltic Sea sub-basin.

27



Data collection and assessment

Fish data was sent by Estonia via the HELCOM data call. Denmark and Germany indicated to use the HOLAS 3 data sent to HELCOM, Sweden indicated fish data to be extracted via publicly available Swedish data portal. Finland and Poland indicated to use the ICES data to be collected and used, all relevant fish data from ICES was also collected for other Contracting Parties. No reply from Latvia and Lithuania concerning data availability. In addition, for composing the parameter excel, national red lists were collected from, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Latvia and Sweden.

The data and information provided by said Contracting Parties were combined to create a Baltic Sea wide dataset and the data was run through EDIT.

For the next HELCOM Baltic Sea Red List assessment, it is proposed that *Coregnous maraena* be assessed as two separate species, *Coregnous maraena* and *Coregonus widegreni*.

Species specific results

As a result of the Red List II assessment process 24 species were moved to a category of higher threat (Table 10), 91 species kept their 2013 IUCN category (Table 11) and eight species were moved to a category of lower threat (Table 12).

Two species categorized as Least Concern (LC) in 2013 were moved to a category of higher threat to Endangered (EN) (*Glyptocephalus cynoglossus* and *Liparis liparis*) and two to Vulnerable (VU) (*Amblyrayes radiata* and *Myoxocephalus scorpius*). Six Least Concern (LC) species are now Near Threatened (NT) (*Abramis brama, Blicca bjoerkna, Carassius Carassius, Ciliata Mustela, Cottus gobio* and *Thorogobius ephippiatus*). Four Near Threatened (NT) species (*Cyclopterus lumpus, Enchelyopus cimbrius, Zoarces viviparus* and *Leuciscus aspius*) were moved to Vulnerable (VU).

Two species categorized as Not Applicable (*Platichthys solemdali* and Chelon ramada) in 2013 were transferred to the appropriate category and classified as Data Deficient (DD) in 2024 assessment process. Also four other Not Applicable (NA) species (*Auxis rochei*, *Myoxocephalus quadricornis*, *Alosa fallax* and *Scomberesox saurus*) were classified as Not Evaluated in 2024.

2013	2DD	2 VU	2 LC	4 NT	2 LC	6 LC	2 NA	4 NA
Changes :	\uparrow	۲	ŕ	ŕ	ŕ	۲	۲	Ŷ
2024	2 RE	EN	EN	VU	VU	NT	DD	NE

Table 10. Moving species to higher threat category from 2013 to 2024.





For 68 species the Least Concern (LC) category has remained the same in 2013 and 2024 red listings (Table 11).

Five Data Deficient (DD) fish species in 2013 are still considered as DD also in 2024 and thus it is recommended to collect more data on these species via monitoring programs, to be able to categorize these species more accurately under the IUCN categories during next red listing:

- Lebetus guilleti
- Lebetus scorpioides
- Lycodes gracilis
- Phrynorhombus norvegicus
- Zeugopterus punctatus

Two fish species have kept their categorization as Regionally Extinct (*Acipenser oxyrinchus* and *Dipturus batis*). *Anguilla anguilla, Lamna nasus, Squalus acanthias, and Thymallus thymallus* remain at Critically Endangered. However, expert input has indicated that Squalus acanthias on a Northeastern Atlantic scale is doing better than a species than what the HELCOM regional assessment indicates since the species is restricted in the Baltic Sea to the Kattegat region however the species distribution continues into the wider Atlantic.

For the next HELCOM Baltic Sea Red List assessment, it is proposed that two fish species, *Perccottus glenii* and *Acipenser baerii*, are proposed not to be included as they were categorized as Not Applicable (NA) both in 2013 and 2024.

2013	2 RE	4 CR	3 EN	4 VU	3 NT	5 DD	68 LC	2 NA
No Change:	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
2024	RE	CR	EN	VU	NT	DD	LC	NA

Table 11. No changes from 2013 to 2024.

Melanogrammus aeglefinus and Merluccius merluccius were moved to a category of lower threat from Near Threatened (NT) in 2013 into Least Concern (LC) in 2024 (Table 12).

Galeorhinus galeus, which was classified as Vulnerable (VU) in 2013, has been excluded from the 2024 assessment upon expert input as not being a Baltic Sea species, and thus categorized as Not Applicable (NA).

Table 12. Moving species to lower threat category changes from 2013 to 2024.

2013	2 NT	1 DD	4 NA	1 VU
Changes:	¥	¥	¥	Ŷ
2024	LC	LC	LC	NA

2.4. Benthic invertebrate assessment

2.4.1 Introduction to benthic invertebrates

Benthic invertebrates are small aquatic animals that live on or in the bottom substrate of water bodies, including rivers, lakes, and oceans. They include various animals such as clams, worms, crustaceans, and mollusks, and play a crucial role in aquatic ecosystems by contributing to sediment turnover and nutrient recycling. These organisms serve as an important food source for many fish and other aquatic animals. In the Baltic Sea, benthic invertebrates of both marine and freshwater origin co-occur, creating a unique ecosystem. The number of species from marine and freshwater origins changes along with the salinity gradient of the Baltic Sea.

On Figure 16, the HELCOM BaltiCheck 2.0 smaller scale map, in the left side showcases the number of benthic invertebrate species in each of the HELCOM sub-basins in comparison to the larger, Red List II map, which shows the number of benthic invertebrate species that have been assigned the IUCN category (from Critical (CR) to Least Concern (LC)) per sub-basin.

Red List II - Benthic invertebrate species with threat



Figure 16. Number of BaltiCheck 2.0 listed benthic invertebrate species (map on the left) compared to the Red List II IUCN categorized (from CR-LC) benthic invertebrate species per Baltic Sea sub-basins.



2.4.2 Overview of the assessment results for benthic invertebrates

There were 42 benthic invertebrate species assessed as threatened (CR-VU) of the total 871 species that were evaluated in the HELCOM Red List II assessment (Table 13). Two species, *Haploops tenuis* and *Haploops tubicola*, were categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), nine species as Endangered (EN) and 31 as Vulnerable (VU). The total list of the assessed benthic invertebrate species and the categories assigned to them are available in Annex 3 of this report.

231 species are restricted to the Kattegat region of the Baltic Sea, giving them a very limited habitable area (species marked with an asterisk in Annex 3 of this report).

Table 13. List of species categorized as threatened in Red List II and their respective categorization in 2013 Red List.

Species that are restricted to the Kattegat region are marked with a *.

Red List II 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
CR	A2b	Haploops tenuis		EN	B1ab(i,iii)+2ab(ii,iii)
CR	A2b	Haploops tubicola		VU	B1ab(i,iii)+2ab(ii,iii)
EN	B2ab(iii,v)	Stomphia coccinea*	Spotted sea anemone; spotted swimming anemone; swimming anemone	VU	B1ab(iii)
EN	B2ab(v)	Hippasteria phrygiana*		VU	B1ab(iii)
EN	B1ab(v)+2ab(v)	Cliona celata*	Boring sponge; red boring sponge; sulfur sponge; yellow boring horny sponge; yel- low boring sponge	VU	D2
EN	B2ab(v)	Corophium multisetosum		NT	B2b
EN	B2ab(i,ii,iii)	Boreotrophon truncatus		NT	B2ab(ii,iii)
EN	B2ab(i,ii,iii,v)	Epitonium turtonis	Finely ribbed wentletrap; Turton's wen- tletrap	DD	
EN	B2ab(v)	Upogebia stellata		DD	
EN	B2ab	Talitrus saltator	Sand hopper	DD	
EN	B1ab(iii)+2ab(iii)	Hanleya hanleyi	Eastern hanleya; Hanley's chiton	LC	
VU	A2c	Modiolus modiolus	Horse mussel; horse-mussel; northern horsemussel	VU	A2c
VU	D2	Pelonaia corrugata*		VU	D2
VU	B1ab(iii,v)+2ab(iii,v)	Clelandella miliaris*		VU	B1ab(i,iii)
VU	B1ab(iii,v)+2ab(iii,v)	Hippolyte varians	Chamaeleon prawn; chameleon prawn	VU	B1ab(iii)
VU	D2	Parvicardium hauniense	Copenhagen cockle	VU	B2ab(ii,iii)
VU	D2	Atelecyclus rotundatus*	Circular crab; old man's face crab; old- man's face crab	VU	D2
VU	B1ab(iii,v)+2ab(iii,v)	Euspira pallida*	Pale moonsnail	VU	B1ab(iii)
VU	B2	Deshayesorchestia deshayesii		VU	B2ab(iii)
VU	A2	Macoma calcarea	Chalky macoma	VU	A2c

31



Table 13. (Continued) List of species categorized as threatened in Red List II and their respective categorization in 2013 Red List.

Species that are restricted to the Kattegat region are marked with a *.

Red List II 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
VU	A2	Nucula nucleus	Common nut clam; nuclear nut clam	VU	A2c
VU	A2	Scrobicularia plana	Peppery furrow clam; peppery furrow shell	VU	A2c
VU	B1	Solaster endeca	Purple sun star	VU	B1ab(iii)
VU	A2ac	Mya truncata	Blunt gaper; blunt gaper clam; clam; trun- cate softshell; truncate softshell clam	NT	A2c
VU	B2ab(iii)	Amauropsis islandica	Iceland moonsnail	NT	B2ab(ii,iii,iv)
VU	B1ab(v)+2ab(v)	Corystes cassivelaunus*	Helmet crab; masked crab	NT	D2
VU	B1ab(v)+2ab(v)	Amphipholis squamata*	Brooding snake star; dwarf brittle star	DD	
VU	B1ab(iii,v)+2ab(iii,v)	Roxania utriculus*		DD	
VU	B1ab(iii)+2ab(iii)	Leptochiton alveolus*		LC	
VU	A2b	Nuculana pernula	Müller's nut clam; Müller's nutclam; north- ern nut clam; northern nutclam	LC	
VU	D2	Crenella decussata	Cross-sculpture crenella; decussate cren- ella	LC	
VU	B1ab(i,iii)	Alvania testae*		LC	
VU	A2ac	Calocaris macandreae*		LC	
VU	B1ab(iii)+2ab(iii)	Eurynome aspera*	Strawberry crab	LC	
VU	B1ab(ii,iii)+2ab(ii,iii)	Campylaspis costata		LC	
VU	D2	Diastylis cornuta*		LC	
VU	D2	Eurynome spinosa*		LC	
VU	B2ab(ii,iii)	Ophiura robusta		LC	
VU	B1ab(i,ii,iii)+2ab(i,ii,iii)	Eupolymnia nesidensis*		LC	
VU	B1ab(i)+2ab(i)	Palliolum incomparabile*		LC	
VU	A1	Musculus niger	Black musculus; black mussel; little black mussel	LC	
VU	A2c	Pinnotheres pisum*		LC	



Out of the total list of 2 043 benthic invertebrate species of the HELCOM Checklist 2.0 (HELCOM 2020), a total of 1 401 species entered the Red List II assessment process (Figure 17), out of which 871 species were evaluated, eight (0.9%) were left unevaluated (Not Evaluated) and the category Not Applicable (NA) was given to 522 species. In 2013 Red List 1211 species were evaluated, 627 (33.1%) were left unevaluated (NE) and 60 species were categorized as NA.

The proportion of the category Least Concern was by far the highest among the assessed species: 673 (48%) in 2024 and even higher in 2013, being 1 160 (61.3%) species (Figure 18). The pro-

portion of the assignment of the category Not Applicable (522 species, 37.3%) and Data Deficient (124 species, 8.9%) were also the highest among the benthic invertebrate species group in the Red List II assessment. In 2013 the NA category was assigned only to 60 species (those considered vagrants and introduced in the HELCOM area after 1800) and only 23 species were considered as DD. In 2024, 522 species were categorized as NA due to being a freshwater species or considered not to be a Baltic Sea species. In 2013 627 species were categorized as Not Evaluated (NE) due to being very poorly known or where taxonomic difficulties existed, compared to 8 NE species in 2024.



Benthic invertebrates

Figure 17. Proportions of species that were assessed, not assessed (Not Evaluated) or Not Applicable (NA) according to the IUCN criteria in 2013 and 2024 Red Lists.



Figure 18. Proportions of Red List categories within the assessed benthic invertebrate species in 2013 and 2014 Red Lists.

Altogether, 198 species were red-listed (RE-DD) in 2024, compared to the 51 species in 2013 (Table 14).

Table 14. Distribution of the categorization of benthic invertebrate species in the current Red List II assessment and the 2013 Red List assessment.

	SUM	RE	CR	EN	VU	NT	DD	LC
2013 Red List	1211	0	0	1	18	9	23	1160
2024 Red List II	1401	0	2	9	31	32	124	673

2.4.3 Assessment process

Species selection

A checklist of benthic invertebrate species with a total of 2 043 species was used as a basis to collect all available data from the Contracting Parties (Figure 19).



Figure 19. Number of benthic invertebrate species per Baltic Sea sub-basin.

34

Data collection and assessment

Benthic invertebrate data was sent by Denmark, Estonia, Finland and Germany via the HELCOM data call. Latvia, Poland and Germany indicated to use the HOLAS 3 data sent to HELCOM, Sweden indicated benthic invertebrate data to be extracted via publicly available Swedish data portal. No reply was received from Lithuania concerning data availability. In addition, for composing the parameter excel, national red lists were collected from, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Latvia and Sweden.

The data and information provided by said Contracting Parties were combined to create a Baltic Sea wide dataset and the data was run through EDIT.

For the next HELCOM Baltic Sea Red List assessment it is proposed that *Coregnous maraena* be assessed as two separate species, *C. maraena* and *Coregonus widegreni*.

Freshwater species and marginal species that are mainly occurring in the North Sea and only as guests in the Baltic Sea were excluded from the assessment process and given a category of Not Applicable (NA). Also, pelagic species like *Acartia* and meiobenthic species were removed from the list as Not Applicable.

Species restricted to the Kattegat area (231 species) are not within their optimum living conditions in the Baltic Sea, thus are not comparable to the rest of the Baltic Sea area due to salinity differences. These species are still kept in the assessment process to ensure the assessment is comprehensive and have been marked with an Asterix in the assessment results list (Annex 3).

Species specific results

As a result of the Red List II assessment process 591 species were moved to a category of higher threat (Table 15), 742 species kept their 2013 IUCN category (Table 16) and 68 species were moved to a category of a lower threat (Table 17).

High volume of benthic invertebrate species (42.2%) was moved to a higher threat category in 2024 red listing from their 2013 category. E.g. *Haploops tenuis* (previously Endangered (EN)) and *Haploops tubicola* (previously Vulnerable (VU)) are now in Critically Endangered (CR) category (Figure 20).

Haploops tenuis and Haploops tubicola have been classified as Critically endangered (CR), going up from Endangered (EN) and Vulnerable (VU) respectively. The Haploops communities are very important for many other species and the community forms an important feeding ground for fish like the halibut (*Pleuronectes platessa*, *Reinharditus hippoglossiodes*). The reason for the observed decline of Haploops is not known. Bottom trawling may play a negative role, as this fishing method changes the structure of the sea floor. However, it is difficult to assign the decline in the Sound specifically to bottom trawling as this has been forbidden in the area of known Haploops sp. occurrences for a long time. Eutrophication and/or climate change may also be key pressures behind the species decline.





Figure 20. Observations of Haploops tenuis and Haploops tubicola in the HELCOM area.



Out of the species previously assessed as Least Concern, the current assessment placed 81 benthic invertebrates in the category Data Deficient. The 2013 red list categorized nine species as Not Evaluated and 25 species as Not Applicable, that are in the current assessment categorized Data Deficient. Some 386 species previously assessed as Least Concern were excluded from the current assessment process by the experts as Not Applicable due to not being a marine species but rather a freshwater species, as were the 26 previously Not Assessed species and the one previously assessed Data Deficient species (*Viviparus viviparus*).

Table 15. Moving species to higher threat category from 2013 to 2024.

2013	1 EN	1 VU	3 VU	2 NT	3 DD	1 LC	3 NT	2 DD	14 LC	6 DD	19 LC	1 NE	2 NA	81 LC	9 NE	25 NA	2 LC	3 NA	386 LC	1 DD	26 NE
Changes:	4	↑	↑	۴	۴	۴	4	۴	۴	↑	Ŷ	↑	4	۴	٦	۴	4	۴	↑	4	↑
2024	CR	CR	EN	EN	EN	EN	VU	VU	VU	NT	NT	NT	NT	DD	DD	DD	NE	NE	NA	NA	NA

607 Least Concern species kept their categorization from 2013 also in 2024, and so did the 12 Vulnerable and 2 Near Threatened species (Table 16).

For the next HELCOM Baltic Sea Red List assessment, it is proposed that those 109 species that were Not Applicable also in 2024 are safe to state as being not included in the next red list assessment. Nine Data Deficient and three Not Evaluated (*Pyrgiscus crenatus*, *Pyrgiscus rufescens**, *Stauridiosarsia spongicola*) species are in need of more background data for the next red listing: *Abra prismatica* and *Epitonium clathrus* were moved to a lower threat category from Vulnerable in 2013 into Near Threatened in 2024 (Table 17). *Inachus dorsettensis* was categorized as Least Concern in 2024 (Near Threatened in 2013).

The 2013 Red List assessed three Data Deficient species (*Gammarus inaequicauda*, *Macroplea pubipennis* and *Pleurogonium rubicundum*), 29 Not Evaluated species and 33 Not Applicable species were all categorized as Least Concern in 2024 red listing process.

- Ekmania barthii
- Lekanesphaera rugicauda
- Limnoria lignorum
- Myosotella myosotis
- Orchestia gammarellus
- Thia scutellata
- Eurydice pulchra
- Cryptonatica affinis*
- Epitonium clathratulum*

Table 16. No changes from 2013 to 2024.

2013	12 VU	2 NT	9 DD	607 LC	3 NE	109 NA
No Change:	=	=	=	=	=	=
2024	VU	NT	DD	LC	NE	NA

Table 17. Moving species to lower threat category changes from 2013 to 2024.

2013	2 VU	1NT	3 DD	29 NE	33 NA
Changes:	\downarrow	\checkmark	\downarrow	\mathbf{V}	\checkmark
2024	NT	LC	LC	LC	LC


2.5. Seabird assessment results

2.5.1 Introduction to seabirds

The sea bird community of the Baltic Sea is highly variable depending on the season. Some bird species are present throughout the year, but many migrate to the Baltic Sea to breed. In all, the Baltic Sea is an important area for around 80 species of seabird. A variety of species groups with different habitat preferences are found in coastal areas during the breeding period. In winter, the bird fauna is dominated by species that breed in arctic freshwater habitats, which use ice-free areas of the Baltic Sea as wintering areas

On Figure 21, the Red List II map showcases the number of total bird species (both breeding and wintering) that have been assigned the IUCN category (from Critical (CR) to Least Concern (LC)) per sub-basin.



Figure 21. Red List II map showing number of total bird species (both breeding and wintering) per Baltic Sea sub-basins that have been assigned the IUCN category (from CR-LC).



2.5.2 Overview of the assessment results for seabirds

Breeding birds

There were 18 breeding bird species assessed as threatened (CR-VU) of the total 85 species that were evaluated in the HELCOM Red List II assessment. *Gelochelidon nilotica* is Regionally Extinct and *Charadrius alexandrines* Critically Endangered as both were also in 2013. *Rissa tridactyla* and *Larus melanocephalus* remained in Endangered category as in 2013. But many species were upscaled in their threat categories as listed in Table 18.

28 species or subspecies of the seabirds have been evaluated both as breeding and wintering populations in the Baltic Sea (marked with an asterisk in Annex 4). The total list of the assessed breeding bird species and the categories assigned to them are available in Annex 4 of this report.

Table 18. List of species categorized as threatened in Red List II and their respective categorization in 2013 Red List.

*28 bird species assessed both as breeding and wintering

Red List II 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
RE		Gelochelidon nilotica	Gull-billed tern	RE	
CR	D1	Charadrius alexandrinus	Kentish plover; snowy plover	CR	D1
CR	D1	Xenus cinereus	Terek sandpiper	EN	D1
CR	D1	Calidris alpina schinzii*	Dunlin (Short-billed)	EN	A2ace, C1
CR	A2ab	Philomachus pugnax	Ruff	VU	A2abcd
CR	A2abce	Somateria mollissima*	Common eider; eider; eider duck	VU	A2abe
CR	C1	Calidris temminckii	Temminck's stint	NT	A2a-c
CR	A2ace	Limosa limosa	Black-tailed godwit	NT	A2ac
EN	D1	Rissa tridactyla*	Black-legged kittiwake; kittiwake; kittiwake gull	EN	D1
EN	D1	Larus melanocephalus	Mediterranean gull	EN	D1
EN	C1; D1	Aythya marila*	Greater scaup; scaup	VU	A2bcd
EN	A2b	Arenaria interpres	Ruddy turnstone; turnstone	VU	A2abce + 3ce + 4abce
EN	A2a	Larus fuscus fuscus	Lesser black-backed gull	VU	A2abce
VU	A2b	Melanitta fusca*	Velvet scoter; white-winged scoter	VU	A2b
VU	A2a	Actitis hypoleucos	Common sandpiper	NT	A2ab
VU	A4	Vanellus vanellus	Lapwing; northern lapwing	NT	A2bc
VU	A2b	Aythya fuligula*	Tufted duck	NT	A2ab
VU	A2b	Larus canus*	Common gull; mew gull	LC	
VU	A2a	Larus marinus*	Great black-backed gull; greater black-backed gull	LC	

Out of the total list of 90 breeding bird species of the HELCOM Checklist 2.0 (HELCOM 2020), a total of 85 species entered the Red List II assessment process (Figure 22), out of which 57 species were evaluated and 28 species (32.9%) were assigned the category Not Applicable (NA). In the 2013 Red List all 58 species were evaluated.

Breeding birds



Figure 22. Proportions of species that were assessed, not assessed (Not Evaluated) or Not Applicable (NA) according to the IUCN criteria in 2013 and 2024 Red Lists.

The proportion of the category Least Concern was a bit lower among the 85 assessed species in 2024, 26 species (30.6%), compared to the 2013 assessment, 35 species (60.3%) out of the then total 58 assessed species (Figure 23). In 2013 no species were assigned to the category Not Applicable, compared to 28 species (32.9%) categorized as Not Applicable in 2024. Three breeding birds were categorized as Data Deficient in 2024, none in 2013.



Figure 23. Proportions of Red List categories within the assessed breeding bird species in 2013 and 2014 Red Lists.

39



Altogether, 31 species were red-listed (CR-DD) in 2024, compared to the 23 species in 2013 (Table 19).

Table 19. Distribution of the categorization of breeding bird species in the current Red List II assessment and the 2013 Red List assessment.

	SUM	RE	CR	EN	VU	NT	DD	LC
2013 Red List	58	1	1	4	8	9	0	35
2024 Red List II	57	1	7	5	6	9	3	26

Wintering birds

There were 7 wintering bird species assessed as threatened (CR-VU) of the total 54 species that were evaluated in the HELCOM Red List II assessment. *Polysticta stelleri* was categorized as Critically Endangered in 2024 (Endangered in 2013), *Somateria mollissima** retained the Endangered status from 2013 also in 2024, while *Aythya ferina* and *Fulica atra* were previously assigned to Least Concern and in 2024 upscaled to the Endangered category (Table 20).

28 species or subspecies of seabirds have been evaluated both as breeding and wintering populations in the Baltic Sea (marked with an asterisk in Annex 4). The total list of the assessed wintering bird species and the categories assigned to them are available in Annex 4 of this report.

Table 20. List of species categorized as threatened in Red List II and their respective categorization in 2013 Red List.

*28 bird species assessed both as breeding and wintering

Red List 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
CR	A2b	Polysticta stelleri	Steller's eider	EN	A1a, B2ab(ii,iv,v), C1,2a
EN	A2abce	Somateria mollissima*	Common eider; eider; eider duck	EN	A2b
EN	A2bd	Aythya ferina	Common pochard; pochard	LC	
EN	A2b	Fulica atra	Eurasian coot; coot; common coot	LC	
VU	A2b	Clangula Hyemalis	Long-tailed duck; oldsquaw	EN	A2b
VU	A2b	Aythya fuligula*	Tufted duck	LC	
VU	A2acde	Larus argentatus*	European herring gull; herring gull	LC	

Out of the total list of 69 wintering bird species of the HELCOM Checklist 2.0 (HELCOM 2020), a total of 54 species entered the Red List II assessment process (Figure 24), out of which 43 species (79.6%) were evaluated, one species was Not Evaluated and ten species were assigned the category Not Applicable (NA). In 2013 Red List 47 (74.6%) species were evaluated, four were Not Evaluated and 12 as Not Applicable.



Figure 24. Proportions of species that were assessed, not assessed (Not Evaluated) or Not Applicable (NA) according to the IUCN criteria in 2013 and 2024 Red Lists.

The proportion of the category Least Concern was a about the same among the 54 assessed species in 2024, 26 species (48.1%), compared to the 2013 assessment, 31 species (49.2%) out of the then total 63 assessed species (Figure 25). In 2013, 12 species were assigned to the Not Applicable category, compared to 10 species in 2024. Four wintering birds were categorized as Data Deficient in 2024, none in 2013.



Figure 25. Proportions of Red List categories within the assessed wintering bird species in 2013 and 2014 Red Lists.

Altogether, 17 species were red-listed (RE-DD) in 2024, compared to the 16 species in 2013 (Table 21).

Table 21. Distribution of the categorization of wintering bird species in the current Red List II assessment and the 2013 Red List assessment.



2.5.3 Assessment process

Species selection

A checklist with a total of 90 breeding bird species and 69 wintering bird species was used as a basis to collect all available data from the Contracting Parties.

Data collection and assessment

Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Poland and Sweden asked the data sent for HOLAS 3 process to be used for the Red List II project, no replay was received from Latvia and Lithuania concerning data availability. Noting that HOLAS 3 data covered only seabird data. In addition, for composing the parameter excel, national red lists were collected from, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Latvia and Sweden.

The data and information provided by said Contracting Parties were combined to create a Baltic Sea wide dataset and the data was run through EDIT.

The species that are both breeding and wintering within the Baltic Sea region (28 species) are processed separately in each category, with data for said species being separated based on breeding and wintering seasons.

The use of the HELCOM EOO and AOO tool was not a useful way to assess the bird species, since the species distribution and migration paths extend beyond the Baltic Sea. Also, when some of the data are missing in the assessment process, the reflection of the EOO and AOO maps are not correct and cannot be used in the assessment process. For the bird red-listing assessment the available information on trends was used instead.

Data was collected starting from the year 1750. Therefore, observations of occurrence on some of the maps reflect occurrences that are no longer existing in nature and data should be classified as historical.

The HELCOM Red List II only assessed those seabirds occurring at shoreline and at sea, excluding terrestrial and inland species, if non-marine species were included on the species lists, they were given the Not Applicable (NA) category. The one exception was *Hydrocoloeus minutus* as it is a coastal population and was assessed both under breeding and wintering bird species. The overall approach was to keep the assessment at species level rather than at subspecies level. Exception was made for *Alca torda torda*, *Branta bernicla hrota*, and *Alca torda islandica* under the wintering species; and for *Calidris alpina schinzii*, *Larus fuscus fuscus* and *Larus fuscus intermedius* under the breeding bird assessment.

Species specific results

Breeding birds

As a result of the Red List II assessment process, 23 species were moved to a category of higher threat (Table 22), 49 species kept their 2013 IUCN category (Table 23) and 13 species were moved to a category of lower threat (Table 24).

A large proportion of the breeding bird species (27.1%) were moved to a higher threat category in their assessment:

- Xenus cinereus and Calidris alpina schinzii* are categorized as Critically Endangered (Endangered previously)
- Philomachus pugnax and Somateria mollissima* were moved from Vulnerable to Critically Endangered
- Calidris temminckii and Limosa limosa were also moved to a higher threat category of Critically Endangered from Near Threatened
- Aythya marila*, Arenaria interpres and Larus fuscus fuscus were previously as Vulnerable, now moved to Endangered
- Actitis hypoleucos, Vanellus Vanellus and Aythya fuligula* were previously Near Threatened, now as Vulnerable
- Larus canus* and Larus marinus* have been moved up from previous Least Concern to Vulnerable.

Also, six breeding bird species, previously categorized as Least Concern, were assessed as Near Threatened and three species have been moved from Not Applicable to Data Deficient and need more background data to be collected via monitoring programmes in the near future (*Anas acuta**, *Anas crecca** and *Anas penelope**).

Anas acuta was previously assessed as Not Applicable, but in this 2024 assessment it has been categorized as a Data Deficient species. It is a strongly declining rare species, occurring both in Finland and Sweden with limited monitoring data available.

 Table 22. Moving species to higher threat category from 2013 to 2024.

2013	2 EN	2 VU	2 NT	3 VU	3 NT	2 LC	6 LC	3 NA
Changes :	\uparrow							
2024	CR	CR	CR	EN	VU	VU	NT	DD



For the next HELCOM Red List assessment, the 21 species that have kept their Least Concern category, and 22 species previously categorized as Not Applicable that remained in the same category, can be excluded as not marine species.

Table 23. No changes from 2013 to 2024.

2013	1 RE	1 CR	2 EN	1 VU	1 NT	21 LC	22 NA
No Change:	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
2024	RE	CR	EN	VU	NT	LC	NA

Hydroprogne caspia and *Podiceps auritus*^{*} have been moved to a lower category from Vulnerable into Near Threatened. *Charadrius hiaticula* and *Cepphus grylle*^{*} were moved from Near Threatened into Least Concern in 2024.

*Hydrocoloeus minutus**, *Fulica atra* and *Cygnus cygnus** were included in the evaluation process as Least Concern (Not Applicable in 2013).

Cygnus cygnus^{*} is a breeding seabird that occurs along the coast and is increasing, thus kept in the assessment process as Least Concern.

Calidris alpina (previously Endangered), *Charadrius hiaticula hiaticula* and *Oenanthe Oenanthe* (both previously Near Threatened), and *Phalacrocorax carbo sinensis, Larus fuscus* and *Mergellus albellus* (all previously Least Concern) were all excluded from the evaluation process as not marine species and thereby categorized as Not Applicable in 2024.

Table 24. Moving species to lower threat category changes from 2013 to 2024.

2013	2 VU	2 NT	3 NA	1 EN	2 NT	3 LC
Changes:	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
2024	NT	LC	LC	NA	NA	NA

Wintering birds

As a result of the Red List II assessment process 13 species were moved to a category of higher threat (Table 25), 19 species kept their 2013 IUCN category (Table 26) and 22 species were moved to a category of lower threat (Table 27).

Big proportion of the wintering bird species (24.1%) were moved to a higher category in their assessment:

- Polysticta stelleri was categorized as Critically Endangered compared to previous Endangered category in 2013
- Aythya ferina and Fulica atra were upscaled from 2013 Least Concern into Endangered in 2024
- Aythya fuligula* and Larus argentatus* were also moved to higher catetegory from 2013 Least Concern into Vulnerable in 2024
- Anas platyrhynchos*, Larus marinus* and Aythya marila* were also Least Concern in 2013, but moved to Near Threatened in 2024



*Rissa tridactyla** (previously Vulnerable), *Hydrocoloeus minutus** (previously Near Threatened), *Alca torda torda* and *Anthus petrosus** (both previously Least Concern) were all moved into Data Deficient category in 2024 and need more background data to be collected via monitoring programmes for the next red listing process.

Numenius arquata was included in the assessment process from the previous Not Applicable category into Not Evaluated in 2024 and will require additional background data collection in the near future.

 Table 25. Moving species to higher threat category from 2013 to 2024.

2013	1 EN	2 LC	2 LC	3 LC	1 VU	1 NT	2 LC	1 NA
Changes :	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	۲	۲	۲	۲
2024	CR	EN	VU	NT	DD	DD	DD	NE

16 wintering species have kept their Least Concern category. For the next HELCOM Red List assessment two species (*Falco peregrinus* and *Gallinago gallinago*) that remain in the Not Applicable category, can be excluded from the next red listing process.

Table 26. No changes from 2013 to 2024.

2013	1 EN	16 LC	2 NA
No Change:	=	=	=
2024	EN	LC	NA

Big proportion (40.7%) of wintering species have been also moved to a lower category:

- Clangula Hyemalis from Endangered in 2013 into Vulnerable in 2024
- Gavia stellata and Gavia arctica from Critically Endangered into Near Threatened in 2024
- Mergus serrator* from Vulnerable into Near Threatened
- Podiceps grisegena, Melanitta nigra and Melanitta fusca* have been moved from Endangered into Least Concern in 2024
- Cepphus grylle* has been moved from Vulnerable into Least Concern
- Branta bernicla hrota, Podiceps auritus* and Tringa totanus* have also been moved to a lower category, Least Concern (previously all as Near Threatened).

Alca torda islandica (previously Not Evaluated), *Calidris alpina* and *Plectrophenax nivalis* (both Not Applicable in 2013) have all been assigned to Least Concern category.

Anser fabalis fabalis has been excluded from the evaluation process as not a marine species and categorized as Not Applicable in 2024 (previously as Endangered). Also, seven Least Concern species have been excluded from the assessment process as Not Applicable (Anser albifrons, Anser fabalis rossicus, Anser answer, Larus fuscus, Phalacrocorax carbo carbo, Phalacrocorax carbo sinensis and Calidris alpina*).

Table 27. Moving species to lower threat category changes from 2013 to 2024.

2013	1 EN	2 CR	1 VU	3 EN	1 VU	3 NT	1 NE	2 NA	1 EN	7LC
Changes:	¥	¥	¥	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	¥	Ŷ	Ŷ
2024	VU	NT	NT	LC	LC	LC	LC	LC	NA	NA



2.6. Mammal assessment results

2.6.1 Introduction to mammals

Five marine mammal species are residents in the Baltic Sea: the grey seal, harbour seal, ringed seal, harbour porpoise and Eurasian otter. Of the seals, the grey seal lives in the whole region and the harbour seal only in the southwestern Baltic Sea and the Kattegat. The ringed seal is restricted to the eastern and northern Baltic Sea. The harbour porpoise is found throughout the Kattegat, the Belt Sea, the Sound, the southern parts of the Baltic Sea and the Baltic Sea region mainly along the coast.

2.6.2 Overview of the assessment results for marine mammals

There are five species of marine mammals in the Baltic Sea, three of which are divided into two subpopulations and were assessed separately (five species and eight assessments).

From the five marine mammal species that were evaluated two species (with their respective subpopulations) were categorized as threatened (CR-VU):

- Phocoena phocoena (Baltic Proper) kept as Critically Endangered.
- Phocoena phocoena (Belt Sea) Endangered (previously Vulnerable).
- Pusa hispida botnica (Southern Management Units) Endangered (previously Vulnerable); and
- Pusa hispida botnica (Gulf of Bothnia) kept as Vulnerable,

In the HELCOM Red List II assessment (Table 28). The total list of the assessed marine mammal species and the categories assigned to them are available in Annex 5 of this report,

Table 28. List of species categorized as threatened in Red List II and their respective categorization in 2013 Red List.

Red List 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
CR	C2a(ii)	Phocoena phocoena (Baltic Proper)	Harbour porpoise	CR	C1,2a(ii)
EN	A2ad	Phocoena phocoena (Belt Sea)	Harbour porpoise	VU	A2a
EN	C1	<i>Pusa hispida botnica</i> (Southern Management Units)	Ringed Seal	VU	A 2c
VU	АЗс	Pusa hispida botnica (Gulf of Bothnia)	Ringed Seal		AJU
NT	D1	Phoca vitulina (Kalmarsund)	Harbour Seal	VU	D1
LC		Halichoerus grypus	Grey Seal	LC	
LC		Phoca vitulina (Kattegat/Southwestern Baltic)	Harbour Seal	LC	
LC		Lutra lutra	Otter	NT	D1



Out of the total list of 5 mammal species of the HELCOM Checklist 2.0 (HELCOM 2020), all five species entered the Red List II assessment process, all of which had also been assessed in 2013. Ringed seals were assessed as a single assessment unit in 2013 Red List thus seven assessment results compared to the eight assessment results in 2024 Red List II.

In 2024, three species were categorized as Least Concern, compared to two species in 2013. Both in 2013 and in 2024 one species were categorized as Near Threatened. *Phocoena phocoena* (Belt Sea) and *Pusa hispida botnica* (Southern Management Units) were categorized as Endangered in 2024, none in 2013. *Pusa hispida botnica* (Gulf of Bothnia) was Vulnerable in 2024, compared to the three species (*Phocoena phocoena* (Belt Sea), *Pusa hispida botnica* and *Phoca vitulina* (Kalmarsund)) in 2013. *Phocoena phocoena* (Baltic Proper) kept its Critically Endangered category both in 2013 and 2024 (Figure 26).



Figure 26. Proportions of Red List categories within the assessed marine mammal species in 2013 and 2014 Red Lists.

Altogether, three species (five assessments) were red-listed (RE-DD) in 2024, compared to the four species (five assessments) in 2013 (Table 29).

Table 29. Distribution of the categorization of benthic invertebrate species in the current Red List II assessment and the 2013 Red List assessment.

	SUM	RE	CR	EN	VU	NT	DD	LC
2013 Red List	7	0	1	0	3	1	0	2
2024 Red List II	8	0	1	2	1	1	0	3



2.6.3 Assessment process

Species selection

A checklist of marine mammal species with a total of five species was used as a basis to collect all available data from the Contracting Parties (Figure 27).







Data collection and assessment

Marine mammal data was sent by Latvia via the HELCOM data call. Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Poland and Sweden indicated to use the HOLAS 3 data sent to HELCOM. No reply was received from Lithuania concerning data availability. In addition, for composing the parameter excel, national red lists were collected from, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Latvia and Sweden.

The data and information provided by said Contracting Parties were combined to create a Baltic Sea wide dataset and the data was run through EDIT.

It was agreed to assess marine mammals in units as follows:

- grey seal for the whole Baltic Sea
- otter for the whole Baltic Sea
- harbour seal in two units:
 - (i) the Kalmarsund
 - (ii) Kattegat/southwestern Baltic (including Limfjord)
- harbour porpoise in two units:
 - (i) the Belt Sea (population in southern Kattegat, the Belt Sea, the Sound, and south-western Baltic)
- (ii) the Baltic Proper (population in the waters east thereof) — ringed seal in two units:
 - (i) the Gulf of Bothnia

 - (ii) the southern management unit (consisting of sub-populations in the Archipelago Sea, the Gulf of Finland and western Estonia).

The time range used for the Red List II assessment is broad, as the data call requested all available data from 1750 until 31.12.2021, meaning some of the observations are historical and might not occur nowadays. This resulted in the HELCOM EOO and AOO maps not reflecting occurrence under current environmental conditions, and therefore the project agreed to use a 36-year assessment period instead of the IUCN criteria standards.

Furthermore, since the HELCOM EOO and AOO tool needs to be amended for the highly mobile species like mammals (also birds and fish), it was recommended not to use the EOO and AOO maps for the assessment process and use the HELCOM HOLAS 3 importance maps instead.

Species specific results

Harbour porpoise

Habour porpoise, Phocoena phocoena has been assessed in two subpopulations (the Baltic Proper and the Belt Sea) as was in the 2013 Red List (Table 30), both subpopulations are assigned to a redlisting threat category.

Table 30. Harbour porpoise threat categorization.

Red List 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criterion 2013
CR	C2a(ii)	Phocoena phocoena (Baltic Proper)	Harbour porpoise	CR	C1,2a(ii)
EN	A2ad	Phocoena phocoena (Belt Sea)	Harbour porpoise	VU	A2a



Harbour porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena* (Baltic Proper) subpopulation has kept its category of Critically Endangered (CR) due to small population size and continuous decline in the population and the number of mature individuals being between 90-100%. Area of importance for the Baltic Proper harbour porpoise can be seen in Figure 28.

Based on the very small current abundance estimate in combination with a drastic reduction in occurrence inferred from the historical records, the abundance of the Baltic Proper harbour porpoise is assessed as not good (HELCOM, 2023).

For the assessment, an undisturbed generation length of 12 years was used (making the assessment period 36 years). In the previous Red List in 2013 a generation length of 6 years was used.

The population is considered to be in decline or in expected decline. The size of decline was 64% over the 36-year assessment period. A total of about 500 individuals are left of the harbour porpoise Baltic Proper subpopulation, of which 216 are reproductive individuals and all of them in one subpopulation and thus is the reasoning for them being red-listed.



Figure 28. HELCOM HOLAS 3 importance map for the harbour porpoise (Phocoena Phocoena) in the Baltic Proper area.



Harbour porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena* (Belt Sea) subpopulation of *Phocoena Phocoena* is categorized as Endangered (EN) in 2024 Red List II assessment, which has gone up from the previous Vulnerable (VU) classification in 2013.

For the assessment the generation length of 12 years was used. Current Red List II assessment had a longer data series available compared to 2013 assessment. A robust trend analysis showed a decline of 2.7% per annum (Owen et al., 2024) over a period of 18 years. Currently available data indicates that the population is more endangered compared to the 2013 assessment. A lack of confidence in the available data still exists. Annual decline values should be used for the assessment process, instead of waiting for 3 generations to do the assessment. Area of importance for the Belt Sea harbour porpoise can be seen in Figure 29.



Figure 29. HELCOM HOLAS 3 importance map for the harbour porpoise (Phocoena Phocoena) in the Belt Sea area.



Seals

There are three species of seal in the Baltic Sea, two of which are divided into two subpopulations for assessment purposes:

- ringed seal (Southern Management Units and Gulf of Bothnia), previously assessed as one in 2013
- harbour seal (Kalmarsund and Kattegat/Southwestern Baltic)
- grey seal.

Table 31. Seals threat categorization.

Overall distribution of the threat categories can be seen in Table 31. Grey seal, *Halichoerus grypus* (Figure 30) is classified as Least Concern (LC) as they were also in 2013 since the population growth is indicated by national red lists although the grey seal monitoring data is quite limited on the age and animals counted (e.g. individuals are counted but no information on maturity). For the assessment process the generation length of 14 years was used, as in the previous Red List (2013).

Red List II 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
EN	C1	Pusa hispida botnica (Southern Management Units)	Ringed Seal		
				VU	A3c
VU	A3c	Pusa hispida botnica (Gulf of Bothnia)	Ringed Seal		
NT	D1	Phoca vitulina (Kalmarsund)	Harbour Seal	VU	D1
LC		Halichoerus grypus	Grey Seal	LC	
LC		Phoca vitulina (Kattegat/Southwestern Baltic)	Harbour Seal	LC	



Figure 30. HOLAS 3 grey seal (Halichoerus grypus) distribution map.



Harbour seal, *Phoca vitulina* (Kattegat/Southwestern Baltic) has been classified as Least Concerned (LC) as they were also in 2013. The assessment is based on hunting analysis and indicates an unnaturally high population today. Timespan for the assessment is 3 generations meaning 45 years.

Harbour seal *Phoca vitulina* (Kalmarsund) is assessed as Near Threatened (NT), which has come down from Vulnerable (VU) assessment in 2013. The Kalmarsund population is steadily increasing, but still a small population. The actual observed number of individuals from 2023 is approximately 2 400 (Figure 31).



Figure 31. HOLAS 3 harbour seal (Phoca vitulina) distribution map.



Ringed seal, *Pusa hispida botnica* (Figure 32) was divided for the 2024 assessment into two subpopulations: the Southern Management Units and the Gulf of Bothnia subpopulations. The species was classified as one population in 2013. For the assessment 15 years was used for generation length, as was also previously done in 2013.

The *Pusa hispida botnica* (Gulf of Bothnia) subpopulation has kept its classification of Vulnerable (VU) both in 2013 and 2024. The seal is dependent on the sea ice and the available data shows loss of habitat quality and decrease in number of species.

The *Pusa hispida bothnica* (Southern Management Unit) subpopulation has been classified as Endangered (EN) in 2024 (previously as Vulnerable) as mature individuals are estimated to be less than 2 500 and a decrease of 20% is likely in five years or in two generations.



Figure 32. HOLAS 3 ringed seal (Pusa hispida botnica) distribution map.



Otters

In 2013 otters were assessed as Near Threatened (Table 32). In 2024 the otter (*Lutra lutra*) was assessed as Least Concern (LC) since the trend for the last 10 years shows that the population has been growing (according to the countries otter management plans), based on this finding the otter does no longer fulfil the NT category criteria.

Table 32. Otters threat categorization.

Red List II 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
LC		Lutra lutra	Otter	NT	D1

Otter data is collected by Contracting Parties under the EU Habitats Directive, where the otter map shows a clear increase of the distribution area. The coastal otters are connected to the inland otter population, and it can be concluded that even if we do not have specific data on coastal otters, the available data refers the increase and thus the population was assessed LC in 2024.

The mean age of an otter is 5-6 years. Negative pressures might have a marked and fast impact on the population (e.g. the hazardous substance PFOS has been shown to occur at high concentration in otters), therefore it is important to continue monitoring of otters even if the population is currently growing.

Since the otter is a highly mobile species, the assessment area was based on the range of a typical otter which was set as a 20km buffer zone from the coastline towards the inland, and 1 km seawards. This ensured that the monitoring data from within the buffer zone reflected 'coastal' otters (Figure 33).



Figure 33. HELCOM distribution map of otters (Lutra lutra).



3. Past, current and future threats

The Red List II project examined the Baltic Sea species that are at risk of becoming extinct. For that process it is crucial to understand the human activities and associated pressures that have resulted in threat or extinction, including identifying past threats.

However, it is also essential to assess the future threats these species will face, in order to take preventive actions before their condition worsens. In most cases, the same threat factors that have been recognized as causes for the species becoming endangered, past and present threats, are expected to remain significant in the future. The red list assessment addresses both past and future threats (Figure 34) and identifies the human activities (Table 33) and pressures that have a substantial negative impact on one or more of the red-listed species (Table 34).

Table 33. Human activities which caused threat to species in the past, the present or the future (HELCOM, 2023).

HUMAN ACTIVITIES	SPECIFICATION OF ACTIVITIES
CHANGES IN AGRICULTURAL MANAGEMENT	intensification of management, conversion of grassland to cropland etc.
CONSTRUCTION	all marine construction activities, e.g. wind power farms, gas pipelines, bridges, dredging, ports, coastal defence barriers, also coastal terrestrial construction, if relevant (vacation homes or roads), also noise from construction or operation.
DITCHING	ditching and draining of mires and coastal meadows.
EXTRA-REGIONAL THREATS	e.g. fi shing, hunting or habitat changes affecting migratory species outside the HELCOM marine area.
FISHING	both commercial and recreational fishing, surface and mid-water fishery, bottom-trawling, coastal stationary fishery, gillnets. Selective extraction of species.
HUNTING	selective extraction of species, including incidental non-target catches.
MINING AND QUARRYING	extraction of bottom substrates.
TOURISM	detrimental effects of tourism, e.g. trampling of beaches or cleaning of algal belts from sandy beaches.
WATER TRAFFIC	physical impact due to traffic, e.g. erosion caused by anchoring, boat wakes and other vessel effects, also underwater noise.
HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES	the large majority of the pollutant load originates from sediments transported from harbours and rivers and these values represent a significant contribution to the potential contaminant pool. Other origins of contaminations stems from wastewater treatment plants and non-point sources.



None of the red-listed species appears to be affected by just one specific human activity, instead, each species is usually confronted with a range of pressures (Table 34).

Table 35 gives an overview of pressures resulting from human activities which caused threat to species on the red list, in the past, the present or the future (HELCOM, 2023).

Table	34.	Species	groups	affected	bv a	range of	different	pressures
100010		species	Sidaps	ancecea	b y u	runge or	annerente	pressures

PRESSURES	MACROPHYTES	FISH AND LAMPREY	SEABIRDS	BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES	MARINE MAMMALS
EUTROPHICATION					
RESTRICTION OF HYDRODYNAMICS					
PHYSICAL DISTURBANCE					
PHYSICAL LOSS					
SILTATION					
OVERFISHING					
CLIMATE CHANGE					
BY CATCH					
HUNTING					
OIL SPILLS					
CONTAMINANTS					
UNDERWATER NOISE					

Table 35. Threats caused by the pressures resulting from human activities.

PRESSURE	HOW DOES IT CAUSE A THREAT?
NON-INDIGENOUS SPECIES	competition, predation, hybridization, diseases, ecosystem changes by introduced species.
BY-CATCH	by-catch by fishing, concerns both non-target species of fish and also other animals, such as waterbirds or marine mammals.
CLIMATE CHANGE	all detrimental effects of climate change.
COMPETITION AND PREDATION	competition and predation by native species, especially if promoted by human activities, such as ra- bies vaccination for foxes, improved food availability for gulls due to fishery and refuse disposal.
CONTAMINANT POLLUTION	all pollution to waters by hazardous substances, except for oil spills which have their own code (coastal industry, riverine load of heavy metals, discharges of radioactive substances, atmospheric deposition of metals and dioxins, polluting ship accidents excluding oil spills). Pollution can have detrimental ecosystem impacts that may range from direct toxicity and death of individual animals or plants (biota) to more persistent health and displacement effects.
EPIDEMICS	large-scale epidemics or diseases.
EUTROPHICATION	detrimental effects of nutrient enrichment that can be defined in more detail, e.g. anoxia and hypoxia, excessive growth of algae, reduction in water transparency, or siltation.
LITTER	plastic waste, ghost nets etc. Entanglement and ingestion.
MIGRATION BARRIERS	dams by hydroelectric power plants or other river constructions preventing spawning migrations of fish.
OVERGROWTH OF OPEN AREAS	e.g. coastal meadows or shallow water areas that become overgrown due to lack of management (related to eutrophication and interfloral competition, incl. expansion of reed).
HUMAN DISTURBANCE	e.g. disturbance due to people visiting bird islands or passing by too close to bird colonies, hauling-out areas of seals, etc., also disturbance of species due to hunting activities (especially species other than those targeted by hunting).
RANDOM THREAT FACTORS	used only for species that are so rare that even random catastrophic events can destroy their popula- tions.
OIL SPILLS	oil spills from ship accidents, also from oil terminals, refineries, oil rigs. Oiling and contamination.
NOISE	elevated levels of underwater sound may affect aquatic animals, with impacts including masking of other sounds, behavioural disturbance and physiological changes (hearing loss, discomfort, injury to the auditory system). In extreme cases, where animals are close to very loud sources (in particular underwater explosions), the consequences can be tissue damage and death.







Figure 34. Past and current threats (reasons for becoming threatened) for the red-listed species and future threats, counted over all species groups. The x-axis shows the number of red-listed species for which the threat was regarded important by the HELCOM Red List experts in 2013 and in 2024.

4. Implementation reporting for HELCOM Recommendation 37/2 Conservation of Baltic Sea species categorized as threatened according to the 2013 HELCOM Red List

The Helsinki Convention is a legally binding instrument to protect the Baltic Sea marine environment and enables the Helsinki Commission (HELCOM) to adopt soft-law Recommendations that set out specific actions and measures to be implemented by the Contracting Parties.

An updated Red List assessment is a key component in reviewing the progress and effectiveness of implementation of both HELCOM and other relevant commitments. Regional coordination through HELCOM enhances the efficiency and impact of measures by focusing on areas or species identified as priorities. HELCOM applies adaptive management which implies that information received from updated assessments will be used to modify the management response, i.e. to review and as necessary revise existing management tools such as HELCOM Recommendations. Implementation reporting is a requirement and regularly recuring activity where Contracting Parties provide information on their implementation of HELCOM Recommendations. The information collected through this process is coupled in this section with the previous and current Red List assessment results to provide an indication of how well the actions and measures have been implemented and whether a positive change in status can be seen.

In 2016 the HELCOM Recommendation 37/2 on conservation of the Baltic Sea species categorized as threatened according to the 2013 HELCOM Red List was adopted. The aim of the Recommendation was to take necessary measures to improve the status of regionally threatened species according to the 2013 HELCOM Red List and reduce the number of red-listed species, herewith also working towards the goal to achieve a favourable conservation status of all species by 2021.

According to HELCOM Recommendation 37/2 those threatened species are to be protected from becoming regionally extinct and protection actions and conservation measures must be taken for HELCOM threatened species by the Contracting Parties and when scientifically meaningful, reintroduction programmes should be enforced for "Regionally Extinct" species.



The first implementation reporting of Recommendation 37/2 was conducted from October 2020 until June 2021. This resulted in an inventory of existing and planned national and regional conservation-, recovery- and/or action plans as well as other relevant programmes and measures for the protection of species which are threatened according to the 2013 HELCOM Red List.

The 2013 HELCOM Red List project listed 69 species as threatened (CR, EN, VU) and three species as Regionally Extinct (RE). In comparison the 2024 HELCOM Red List II project listed 95 species as threatened and five species as RE (Table 36).

 Table 36. Threatened red-listed species in 2013 and 2024.

RED LIST:	2013	2024	2013	2024	2013	2024	2013	2024
SPECIES GROUPS:	RE	RE	CR	CR	EN	EN	VU	VU
BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES	0	0	0	2	1	9	18	31
BREEDING BIRDS	1	1	1	7	4	5	8	6
WINTERING BIRDS	0	0	2	1	7	3	3	3
FISH AND LAMPREY	2	4	4	4	3	7	7	10
MACROPHYTES	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	2
MARINE MAMMALS	0	0	1	1	0	2	3	1
TOTAL:	3	5	8	15	18	27	43	53

The following sections provide an overview of the implementation reporting from Contracting Parties against the 2013 Red List results of species then assessed as threatened and provides examples of what actions and measures have since been implemented by Contracting Parties. The section finally provides observations on cases where the 2024 Red List II assessment identified new species or topics that may require new types of interventions. The information is intended as a simple review of Recommendation 37/2 as a basis for possible future discussions on any needs for review of the Recommendation. A summary is presented in the conclusion section.

4.1. Macrophyte species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview

For the majority of the 2013 Red List threatened species (categories CR-VU) Contracting Parties have measures and plans in place – for five species out of the seven threatened species (see Table 37).

When looking at the overall status of macrophytes, of the seven species that were categorized as threatened in 2013 two species, *Lamprothamnium papulosum* (Endangered, no measures in place) and *Zostera noltii* (Vulnerable, measures in place) have kept their threat categories the same, other species have been moved to a category of lower threat during the 2024 assessment process, meaning their status has improved.

Table 37.Macrophyte implementation overview and 2024 Red List II categories assigned.* a threat category assigned in 2024 (previously not threatened) and/or a species of interest to keep on the checklist of the Recommendation implementation overview.Light green colour indicates a plan is in place (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation- or legal measures).Light orange colour indicates that the species is present, but no plan is in place.

Species group	Threatened species	Threat category 2013	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	Germany	Latvia	Lithuania	Poland	Sweden	Threat category 2024
	Lamprothamnium papulosum	EN	Present. No measures in place.	Not present	Not present	Present. No measures in place.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. No measures in place.	EN
	Hippuris tetraphylla	EN	Not present	Not present	Present. Gen- eral conser- vation- and additional legal meas- ures.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	VU
Macrophytes	Persicaria foliosa	EN	Not present	Not present	Present. Gen- eral conser- vation- and other legal measures.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. General conservation measures.	NT
	Chara braunii	VU	Not present	Present. No measures in place.	Present. Plan under con- sideration. Other legal measures.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	NT
	Nitella hyalina	VU	Not present	Not present	Present. Plan under con- sideration. Other legal measures.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	NT
	Alisma wahlenbergii	VU	Not present	Not present	Present. Gen- eral conser- vation- and additional legal meas- ures.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. General conservation measures.	NT
	Zostera noltii	VU	Present. Spa- tial manage- ment plan.	Not present	Not present	Present. Other legal measures.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	VU
	Chara horrida*	NT									DD



The 2024 HELCOM Red List II assessment highlighted some needs for future management action:

- only Lamprothamnium papulosum (Endangered), which is present in three Contracting Parties' marine waters, does not have any conservation measures in place. There is a need to revisit the need for such management plans nationally and if necessary than agree on joint measures regionally.
- Chara horrida was previously assessed as Near Threatened but has been categorised as Data Deficient during Red List II, needing additional data to be collected via monitoring programmes.
- Also, there is a general recommendation to keep on the implementation overview list Near Threatened category species, as their categorization my change either direction between red listing periods.

4.2. Benthic invertebrate species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview

Out of 19 threatened benthic invertebrate species only one, *Hippasteria phrygiana* (Vulnerable in 2013), has a plan or measures in place (Table 38).

Five species were moved in 2024 to a higher threat category:

- Haploops tubicola was previously Vulnerable, has no conservation measures in place and has now been moved to a higher threat category (Critically Endangered in 2024).
- Haploops tenuis was previously Endangered, being present in two Contracting Parties' marine waters, but not having protection measures in place and has been moved to higher, Critically Endangered, threat category. Might need regionally agreed joint measures to prevent the status going worse.
- Cliona celata was Vulnerable in 2013, the species is present in two Contracting Parties' marine waters, but no protections measures are in place and has now moved up to Endangered category.
- Stomphia coccinea was previously Vulnerable, is present in two Contracting Parties' marine waters, one has a plan in place, the other not and has moved up in 2024 into category Endangered.
- Hippasteria phrygiana was previously Vulnerable and measures are in place in both Contracting Parties' marine waters but despite has been moved to higher threat category (Endangered in 2024).

12 species have kept their previous Vulnerable category, and this might be due to the reason that despite the presence of the species about half of them have protection measures or plans in place and the other half do not, thus keeping the species in the same threat category.

Epitonium clathrus and *Abra prismatica* were Vulnerable in 2013, are present in two Contracting Parties' marine waters but have no measures in place, despite that have been moved to a lower category (Near Threatened) in 2024.

 Table 38.
 Benthic invertebrate implementation overview and 2024 Red List II categories assigned.

 * a threat category assigned in 2024 (previously not threatened) and/or a species of interest to keep on the checklist of the Recommendation implementation overview.

 ?? indicates that there is no information provided by the Contracting Party.

 Light green colour indicates a plan is in place (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation- or legal measures).

 Light orange colour indicates that the species is present, but no plan is in place.

Species group	Threatened species	Threat category 2013	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	Germany	Latvia	Lithuania	Poland	Sweden	Threat category 2024
	Haploops tenuis	EN	Present. No action plan, under develop- ment.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan, under considera- tion.	CR
	Cliona celata	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	EN
	Stomphia coccinea	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	EN
	Clelandella miliaris	VU	Present. No action plan, under develop- ment.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	VU
Benthic inverte- brates	Epitonium clathrus	VU	Present. No action plan, under develop- ment.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan (nationally LC).	NT
	2013: Lunatia pallida / 2024: Euspira pallida	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	VU
	Abra prismatica	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present, no action plan (nationally LC).	NT
	Macoma calcarea	VU	Present. Spatial manage- ment plan.	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	??	??	Not present	Present. Targeted conser- vation measures (nationally LC).	VU

 Table 38. (Continued). Benthic invertebrate implementation overview and 2024 Red List II categories assigned.

 * a threat category assigned in 2024 (previously not threatened) and/or a species of interest to keep on the checklist of the Recommendation implementation overview.

 ?? indicates that there is no information provided by the Contracting Party.

 Light green colour indicates a plan is in place (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation- or legal measures).

 Light orange colour indicates that the species is present, but no plan is in place.

Species group	Threatened species	Threat category 2013	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	Germany	Latvia	Lithuania	Poland	Sweden	Threat category 2024
	Modiolus modiolus	VU	Present. Spatial manage- ment plan.	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	VU
Benthic	Nucula nucleus	VU	Present. No action plan, under develop- ment.	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conser- vation measures (nationally LC).	VU
	Parvicardium hauniense	VU	Present. Spatial manage- ment plan.	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	??	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	VU
inverte- brates	Scrobicularia plana	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. General conserva- tion meas- ures.	VU
	Deshayesor- chestia deshayesii	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	VU
	Haploops tubicola	VU	Present. No action plan, under develop- ment.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan, under considera- tion.	CR
	Atelecyclus rotundatus	VU	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present, no action plan.	VU

 Table 38. (Continued). Benthic invertebrate implementation overview and 2024 Red List II categories assigned.

 * a threat category assigned in 2024 (previously not threatened) and/or a species of interest to keep on the checklist of the Recommendation implementation overview.

 ?? indicates that there is no information provided by the Contracting Party.

 Light green colour indicates a plan is in place (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation- or legal measures).

 Light orange colour indicates that the species is present, but no plan is in place.

Species group	Threatened species	Threat category 2013	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	Germany	Latvia	Lithuania	Poland	Sweden	Threat category 2024
	Hippolyte varians	VU	Present. No action plan, under develop- ment.	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	VU					
Benthic	Hippasteria phrygiana	VU	Present. Spatial manage- ment plan. No action plan.	Not present	Present. Targeted conser- vation measures (nationally LC).	EN					
	Solaster endeca	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Present. General conserva- tion meas- ures.	VU					
	Pelonaia corrugata	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Present. General conserva- tion meas- ures.	VU					
inverte- brates	Corophium multisetosum*	NT									EN
	Boreotrophon truncatus*	NT									EN
	Epitonium turtonis*	DD									EN
	Hanleya hanleyi*	LC									EN
	Upogebia stellata*	DD									EN
	Talitrus saltator*	DD									EN
	Leptochiton alveolus*	LC									VU
	Mya truncata*	NT									VU
	Nuculana pernula*	LC									VU
	Crenella decussata*	LC									VU
	Alvania testae*	LC									VU
	Roxania utriculus*	DD									VU

Table 38. (Continued).Benthic invertebrate implementation overview and 2024 Red List II categories assigned.* a threat category assigned in 2024 (previously not threatened) and/or a species of interest to keep on the checklist of the Recommendation implementation overview.?? indicates that there is no information provided by the Contracting Party.Light green colour indicates a plan is in place (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation- or legal measures).Light orange colour indicates that the species is present, but no plan is in place.

Species group	Threatened species	Threat category 2013	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	Germany	Latvia	Lithuania	Poland	Sweden	Threat category 2024
	Amauropsis islandica*	NT									VU
	Calocaris macandreae*	LC									VU
	Eurynome aspera*	LC									VU
	Campylaspis costata*	LC									VU
	Corystes cassivelaunus*	NT									VU
	Diastylis cornuta*	LC									VU
	Eurynome spinosa*	LC									VU
	Ophiura robusta*	LC									VU
Benthic inverte-	Amphipholis squamata*	DD									VU
brates	Eupolymnia nesidensis*	LC									VU
	Palliolum incomparabile*	LC									VU
	Musculus niger*	LC									VU
	Pinnotheres pisum*	LC									VU
	Skeneopsis planorbis*	DD									NT
	Agrypnetes crassicornis*	DD									NT
	Geryon trispinosus*	DD									NT
	Inachus phalangium*	DD									NT
	Gammarellus angulosus*	DD									NT



The 2024 HELCOM Red List II assessment highlighted some needs for future management action:

- The five species (Haploops tubicola, Haploops tenuis, Cliona celata, Stomphia coccinea and Hippasteria phrygiana) that moved to a category of higher threat are in need of protection measures from all Contracting Parties where the species are present. For Hippasteria phrygiana there is a need to consider regional joint measures since the nationally taken measures seem not to be enough.
- For the 12 species that have kept their previous Vulnerable category, there is a need to revise currently existing measures and plan measures where they are not currently present, to protect the species from moving into higher threat category.
- In the Red List II assessment five previously in 2013 Near Threatened species (*Corophium multisetosum*, *Boreotrophon truncatus*, *Mya truncata*, *Amauropsis islandica* and *Corystes cassivelaunus*) have moved up into threatened categories in 2024 and need a closer look which measures need to be taken in the near future to protect the species.
- 15 species that were categorized as Least Concern and five species categorized as Data Deficient in 2013 have been moved to a threat category in 2024;
- In addition, five Data Deficient species were categorized as Near Threatened in 2024 red-listing.
- The 2024 assessment results indicate a strongly the need to add the Near Threatened species on the implementation overview list that now only comprises of the threatened categories (CR, EN, VU).
- Also, there is a strong need is to keep collecting data for Data Deficient species to be correctly categorized during the next red listing.
- A '??' marking in the implementation reporting column indicates that no information was received during the implementation reporting round.

4.3. Fish and lamprey species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview

Out of 16 threatened fish and lamprey species three have protection measures in place (*Anguilla Anguilla* (Critically Endangered), *Coregonus maraena* (Endangered) and *Salmo salar* (Vulnerable in 2013). Two fish species remained as Regionally Extinct (*Acipenser oxyrinchus* and *Dipturus batis*). For *Acipenser oxyrinchus* five Contracting Parties have a recovery plan or other measures in place (Table 39).

For the three Critically Endangered species (*Lamna nasus, Squalus acanthias* and *Thymallus thymallus*), some Contracting Parties have protection measures in place, some do not. This inconsistency is the probable reason why despite some of the measures in place, the species have remained in their threat status also in 2024 and might need regionally coordinated joint measures in the future.

Anarhichas lupus has remained in the Endangered category and has no protection measures taken nationally. *Molva molva* has also remained in the Endangered category, but one of the Contracting Party has measures in place, the other does not.

Petromyzon marinus, Raja clavate, Merlangius merlangus and Salmo trutta have kept their Vulnerable category probably due to the reason that some of the Contacting Parties have measures in place, some not.

Gadus morhua has been moved up from Vulnerable to Endangered category and needs a revision of the nationally taken measures and measures to be impleamented where there is nothing in place currently. Table 39. Fish and lamprey implementation overview and 2024 Red List II categories assigned.

* a threat category assigned in 2024 (previously not threatened) and/or a species of interest to keep on the checklist of the Recommendation implementation overview. ?? indicates that there is no information provided by the Contracting Party. Light green colour indicates a plan is in place (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation- or legal measures). Light orange colour indicates that the species is present, but no plan is in place.

Species group	Threatened species	Threat category 2013	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	Germany	Latvia	Lithuania	Poland	Sweden	Threat category 2024
	Acipenser oxyrinchus	RE	RE	Recovery plan and reintroduc- tion project in place.	RE	Reestablish- ment of a selfsustain- ing Baltic sturgeon population.	RE	Targeted conservation measures.	Other legal measures.	General conservation measures.	RE
	Dipturus batis	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE
	Lamna nasus	CR	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. General conservation measures.	CR
	Squalus acanthias	CR	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Plan in place.	CR
Fish and lamprey	Anguilla anguilla	CR	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Spa- tial manage- ment plan.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Plan in place.	CR
	Thymallus thymallus	CR	Present. No action plan.	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Plan in place.	Not present	Not present	Present. General conservation measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. General conservation measures (nationally LC).	CR
	Anarhichas lupus	EN	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	EN
	Coregonus maraena	EN	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	??	??	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	EN
	Molva molva	EN	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	EN
	Petromyzon marinus	VU	Present. No action plan.	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Present. Other legal measures.	Not present	Not present	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. General conservation measures.	VU
	Galeorhinus galeus	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	NA
	Raja clavata	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. General conservation measures.	VU
	Gadus morhua	VU	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. No action plan.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Plan in place.	??	??	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Plan in place.	EN
	Merlangius merlangus	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	Present. General conservation measures.	VU

Table 39. (Continued). Fish and lamprey implementation overview and 2024 Red List II categories assigned.

* a threat category assigned in 2024 (previously not threatened) and/or a species of interest to keep on the checklist of the Recommendation implementation overview. ?? indicates that there is no information provided by the Contracting Party.

Light green colour indicates a plan is in place (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation- or legal measures).

Light orange colour indicates that the species is present, but no plan is in place.

Species group	Threatened species	Threat category 2013	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	Germany	Latvia	Lithuania	Poland	Sweden	Threat category 2024
Fish and	Salmo salar	VU	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. General conservation measures.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Plan in place (nationally LC).	EN
	Salmo trutta	VU	Present. No action plan.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Plan in place.	Present. General conservation measures.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. No action plan (nationally LC).	VU
	Pomatoschistus norvegicus*	DD									RE
	Pomatoschistus pictus*	DD									RE
	Glyptocephalus cynoglossus*	LC									EN
lamprey	Liparis liparis*	LC									EN
	Amblyrayes radiata*	LC									VU
	Myoxocephalus scorpius*	LC									VU
	Cyclopterus lumpus*	NT									VU
	Enchelyopus cimbrius*	NT									VU
	Zoarces viviparus*	NT									VU
	Leuciscus aspius*	NT									VU

The 2024 HELCOM Red List II assessment highlighted some needs for future management action:

- Overall conclusion is to have the protection measures implemented for the threatened species by all Contracting Parties where the species is present.
- Where the threat category has not changed or has been moved up, there might be a need to consider joint regional measures to protect the threatened species.
- Galeorhinus galeus was excluded from the Red List II assessment and categorized as Not Applicable.
- Two species that were Data Deficient in 2013 are now categorized as Regionally Extinct in 2014 - *Pomatoschistus norvegicus* and *Pomatoschistus pictus*.
- Glyptocephalus cynoglossus and Liparis liparis were categorized as Least Concern in 2013 but have been moved up into Endangered category in Red List II.
- Amblyrayes radiata and Myoxocephalus scorpius were moved up from 2013 Least Concern to Vulnerable in 2024.
- Four Near Threatened species (Cyclopterus lumpus, Enchelyopus cimbrius, Zoarces viviparus and Leuciscus aspius) were moved into a Vulnerable threat category in 2024, indicating again that also Near Threatened categories need to be on the implementation overview list.
- A '??' marking in the implementation reporting column indicates that no information was received during the implementation reporting round.



4.4. Breeding bird species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview

Out of 13 threatened breeding bird species about half have conservation measures in place and *Gelochelidon nilotica* remained Regionally Extinct, also having recovery plans or other measures in place by the Contracting Parties (Table 40).

Hydroprogne caspia is the only breeding bird species that has been moved from Vulnerable status to a lower category of Near Threatened, having measures in place by all Contracting Parties where it is present, thus moved into more favourable status in 2024. *Podiceps auritus* has also been moved from Vulnerable into Near Threatened in 2024, but not all the Contracting Parties have protection measures in place.

Four species have remained in their previous categorization:

- Both Charadrius alexandrines kept its Critically Endangered category and Larus melanocephalus remained Endangered, despite all the national measures in place.
- Rissa tridactyla (Endangered) is the only breeding bird species that remains in the 2013 category also in 2024, with no protection measures in place by the Contracting Parties.
- Melanitta fusca has kept the Vulnerable category, since not all the Contracting Parties have protection measures in place.

Calidris alpina schinzii, Xenus cinereus, Aythya marila and *Somateria mollissima* have been moved to a higher threat categorization and need all Contracting Parties to have protection measures in place to prevent the species becoming even more threatened during the next red-listing process.

Despite all the protection measures taken by the Contracting Parties, *Philomachus pugnax, Arenaria interpres and Larus fuscus fuscus* has been moved to a higher threat category in 2024.

Table 40. Breeding bird implementation overview and 2024 Red List II categories assigned.

* a threat category assigned in 2024 (previously not threatened) and/or a species of interest to keep on the checklist of the Recommendation implementation overview. ?? indicates that there is no information provided by the Contracting Party.

Light green colour indicates a plan is in place (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation- or legal measures). Light orange colour indicates that the species is present, but no plan is in place.

Threat Threat Species **Threatened species** category Denmark Estonia Finland Germany Latvia Lithuania Poland Sweden category group 2013 2024 Gelochelidon nilotica Additional Additional RE RE RE RE RE RE RE RE conservation conservation activities. actions. Charadrius Present. Not present CR Present. Not present Not present Not present Not present Not present CR alexandrinus Other legal Spatial but somemeasures. management times sighted. General plan and other legal conservation Breeding measures measures birds Calidris alpina ?? Present. Spa-Present. No Present. Present. Present. Present. Not present CR schinzii Other legal Targeted General Spatial tial manage action plan. measures. conservation conservation management ment plan. measures. measures. plan and other legal measures. Present. No Present. Plan Not present ?? ĊR Xenus cinereus Not present Not present Not present Not present action plan. in place.

 Table 40. (Continued). Breeding bird implementation overview and 2024 Red List II categories assigned.

 * a threat category assigned in 2024 (previously not threatened) and/or a species of interest to keep on the checklist of the Recommendation implementation overview.

 ?? indicates that there is no information provided by the Contracting Party.

 Light green colour indicates a plan is in place (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation- or legal measures).

Light orange colour indicates that the species is present, but no plan is in place.

Species group	Threatened species	Threat category 2013	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	Germany	Latvia	Lithuania	Poland	Sweden	Threat category 2024
	Larus melanocephalus	EN	Present. Other legal measures.	Not present	Not present	Present. Spa- tial manage- ment plan.	Not present	Not present	Present. Plan in place	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	EN
	Rissa tridactyla	EN	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	EN
	Podiceps auritus	VU	Present. Other legal meas- ures.	Present. No action plan.	Present. General conservation measures.	Present. Spatial management plan and other legal measures.	??	Not present	Not present	Present, No action plan.	NT
	Aythya marila	VU	Present. No action plan.	Present. General conservation measures.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Spa- tial manage- ment plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	EN
	Somateria mollissima	VU	Present. No action plan.	Present. No action plan.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Spa- tial manage- ment plan.	??	??	Not present	Present. No action plan.	CR
Breeding birds	Melanitta fusca	VU	Not present	Present. No action plan.	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Other legal measures.	??	??	Not present	Present. No action plan.	VU
	Philomachus pugnax	VU	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. General conservation measures.	Present. Spatial management plan and other legal measures.	??	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	CR
	Arenaria interpres	VU	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. General conservation measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Other legal measures.	EN
	Larus fuscus fuscus	VU	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. General conservation measures and spatial management plan.	Present. Other legal measures.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	EN
	Hydroprogne caspia	VU	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. General conservation measures and spatial management plan.	Present. Gen- eral conser- vation- and other legal measures.	Present. Spatial management plan and other legal measures.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. General conservation measures.	NT
	Limosa limosa*	NT									CR
	Calidris temminckii*	NT									CR
	Larus canus*	LC									VU
	Actitis hypoleucos*	NT									VU
	Vanellus vanellus*	NT									VU
	Aythya fuligula*	NT									VU
	Larus marinus*	LC									VU



The 2024 HELCOM Red List II assessment highlighted some needs for future management action:

- Charadrius alexandrines has protection measures in place but still remains as Critically Endangered. Larus melanocephalus has also protection measures in place but remains in the Endangered category. Here is a need to revise the existing measures and consider the need for additional stricter measures, also discussion among the Contracting Parties on the need for joint regional measures.
- Three vulnerable breeding bird species, *Philomachus pugnax* (Critically Endangered in 2024), *Arenaria interpres* (Endangered in 2024) and *Larus fuscus fuscus* (Endangered in 2024), have been moved to a higher threat category despite the existing measures and are in need of the revision of existing measures and the consideration of joint regional measures.
- Rissa tridactyla remained Endangered and needs protection measures implemented during the next coming years.
- Species that are listed as threatened need to have protection measures in place by all the Contracting Parties and if needed also additional joint regional measures.
- Those species that have national protection measures in place, but the status has not improved or has even moved up to a higher threat category might need regionally coordinated additional measures to be taken.
- Limosa limosa and Calidris temminckii were previously categorized as Near Threatened and now moved to a threat category Critically Endangered.
- Two species categorized as Least Concern in 2013 (*Larus canus* and *Larus marinus*) and three Near Threatened species from 2013 (*Actitis hypoleucos, Vanellus vanellus* and *Aythya fuligula*) were all moved to a threat category Vulnerable in Red List II.
- A '??' marking in the implementation reporting column indicates that no information was received during the implementation reporting round.

4.5. Wintering bird species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview

Recommendation implementation table (Table 41) shows that out of 12 threatened species only three wintering bird species (Gavia stellata, Gavia arctica and Cepphus grylle arcticus) have protection measures implemented nationally by all Contracting Parties and the species have moved to a non-threatened category in 2024.

Five wintering species have been moved to a lower category in 2024, although the implementation of protection measures is somewhat 50-50 among Contracting Parties having the species present in their marine waters.

For *Somateria mollissima* not all Contracting Parties have the measures in place and that has resulted in remaining in Endangered status.

Polysticta stelleri has been upscaled from Endangered to Critically Endangered in 2024 due to a very steep decline of 93% documented according to HELCOM HOLAS 3. The Recommendation implementation shows that in many Contracting Parties the species is present, but none have a protection plan in place.

 Table 41. Wintering bird implementation overview and 2024 Red List II categories assigned.

 * a threat category assigned in 2024 (previously not threatened) and/or a species of interest to keep on the checklist of the Recommendation implementation overview.

 ?? indicates that there is no information provided by the Contracting Party.

 Light green colour indicates a plan is in place (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation- or legal measures).

 Light orange colour indicates that the species is present, but no plan is in place.

Species group	Threatened species	Threat category 2013	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	Germany	Latvia	Lithuania	Poland	Sweden	Threat category 2024
Wintering	Gavia stellata	CR	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. General conservation measures and spatial management plan.	Not present	Present. Other legal measures.	??	??	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. General conservation measures.	NT
	Gavia arctica	CR	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. General conservation measures and spatial management plan.	Not present	Present. Other legal measures.	??	Not present	Present. Partial plan.	Present. Partial plan.	NT
	Podiceps grisegena	EN	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Present. Spatial management plan and other legal measures.	Not present	Not present	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	LC
	Anser fabalis fabalis	EN	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Not present	Not present	Present. Other legal measures.	Not present	Not present	Present. Plan in place.	Present. No action plan.	NA
	Somateria mollissima	EN	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Present. Spa- tial manage- ment plan.	??	??	Present. No action plan.	Present. No action plan.	EN
	Polysticta stelleri	EN	Present. No action plan.	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present, sometimes sighted. Other legal measures.	CR
	Clangula hyemalis	EN	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. General conservation measures and spatial management plan.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	??	Present. No management plan.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. General conservation measures.	VU
	Melanitta nigra	EN	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. No action plan.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	??	??	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. No action plan.	LC
	Melanitta fusca	EN	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	Present. General conservation measures.	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Spa- tial manage- ment plan.	??	Present. No action plan.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Targeted conservation measures.	LC
	Mergus serrator	VU	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. No action plan.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Spa- tial manage- ment plan.	??	??	Present. Plan in place.	Present. No action plan.	NT
	Rissa tridactyla	VU	Present. No action plan.	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. No action plan.	DD
	Cepphus grylle arcticus	VU	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	Present. Other legal measures.	??	??	Not present	Present. Other legal measures.	LC
	Aythya ferina*	LC									EN
	Fulica atra*	LC									EN
	Aythya fuligula*	LC									VU
	Larus argentatus*	LC									VU
	Hydrocoloeus minutus*	NT									DD


The 2024 HELCOM Red List II assessment highlighted some needs for future management action:

- For Somateria mollissima and Polysticta stelleri Contracting Parties need to consider protection measures to prevent the species becoming even more threatened than the current statuses.
- Rissa tridactyla has been changed from Vulnerable to Data Deficient in 2024 due to the lack of data. It is highly recommended to collect monitoring data for this species in the coming years for the next red listing process. Noting that the species is present in two Contracting Parties with no action plan in place, which needs to be reconsidered based on the collected additional data.
- Anser fabalis fabalis has been excluded from the assessment process as not a marine species and categorized as Not Applicable in 2024 (Endangered in 2013).
- 2024 Red List II has categorized as Endangered two species (Aythya ferina and Fulica atra) previously categorized as Least Concern and as Vulnerable Aythya fuligula and Larus argentatus, previously categorized as Least Concern.
- Hydrocoloeus minutus was previously assessed as Near Threatened and in 2024 as Data Deficient.
- The same as for the breeding birds applies also for the wintering birds - all threatened species could benefit in this species group when Contracting Parties take a stricter approach to protective measures and also joint regional measures can influence the next red listing categories.
- A '??' marking in the implementation reporting column indicates that no information was received during the implementation reporting round.

4.6. Marine mammal species; Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview

The implementation overview (Table 42) shows that if the marine mammal is present in Contracting Parties marine waters, then there are also plans in place to protect the species (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation measures).

Despite the plans that are in place, for example harbour porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena* (Baltic Sea subpopulation), is still Critically Endangered as the population is considered to be on decline or on expected decline with a total of about 500 individuals left, from which 216 are reproductive individuals.

Harbour porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena* (Belt Sea subpopulation) and ringed seal, *Pusa hispida botnica* (Southern Management Units) have been upscaled from Vulnerable in 2013 into Endangered in 2024.

For the harbour porpoise both subpopulations all Contracting Parties where the species is present have taken different measures to protect the species, like reduce by-catch, continuous research and monitoring, assign marine protection areas, raise public awareness.

For the ringed seal Estonia and Finland have in place many different measures, like habitat protection, continuation of national monitoring, environmental assessment of plans and projects, avoiding by-catch, raising public awareness, international cooperation, updating management plan, but despite this the status has not improved. Table 42. Marine mammal implementation overview and 2024 Red List II categories assigned.

* a threat category assigned in 2024 (previously not threatened) and/or a species of interest to keep on the checklist of the Recommendation implementation overview. ?? indicates that there is no information provided by the Contracting Party.

Light green colour indicates a plan is in place (either targeted conservation measures or some other additional conservation- or legal measures).

Light orange colour indicates that the species is present, but no plan is in place.

Species group	Threatened species	Threat category 2013	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	Germany	Latvia	Lithuania	Poland	Sweden	Threat category 2024
	2013: Phocoena phocoena (Baltic Sea subpopula- tion) / 2024: Phocoena phocoena (Baltic Proper)	CR	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Other legal measures.	CR
	2013: Phocoena phocoena (Western Baltic subpopulation) / 2024: Phocoena phocoena (Belt Sea)	VU	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	Not present	Not present	Present. Plan in place.	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	EN
Marine mammals	Phoca vitu- lina (Kalmarsund population)	VU	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	NT
	2013: Phoca hispida botnica / 2024: Pusa hispida botnica (Southern Management Units)	VU	Not present	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	Present. Targeted conserva- tion meas- ures.	Not present	??	??	Not present	Present. No action plan.	EN
	Pusa hispida botnica (Gulf of Bothnia) *	VU									VU
	Lutra lutra*	NT									LC

The 2024 HELCOM Red List II assessment highlighted some needs for future management action:

- harbour porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena* (Baltic Sea subpopulation) remains Critically Endangered despite the national measures in place. There is a need to consider joint regional measures for this species. Also looking at possible pressures to the species might give a valuable input to plan further measures/actions.
- For the Belt Sea harbour porpoise there is a strong need for further monitoring data to be collected for the next red listing assessment process.
- For both harbour porpoise subpopulations Contracting Parties need to revise the already existing protection measures and consider joint regional measures.
- The Southern Management Units ringed seals this assess-

ment was based on expert opinion as a likely situation not based on factual data. Thus, also for this species it is essential to collect more monitoring data during the next years, to be able to categorize the species based on factual data for the next red listing process.

- Ringed seal, *Pusa hispida bothnica* was in 2013 assessed as one subpopulation, in 2024 it was suggested by the experts to be assessed in two separate subpopulations (*Pusa hispida botnica* (Southern Management Units) and *Pusa hispida botnica* (Gulf of Bothnia)). For both subpopulations in addition to the national measures already taken and those under consideration, also joint regional measures should be considered to protect the species becoming more threatened.
- A '??' marking in the implementation reporting column indicates that no information was received during the implementation reporting round.



4.7. Recommendation 37/2 review conclusions based on the implementation overview

Based on the Recommendation 37/2 implementation reporting on available national conservation measures, species can be divided into three groups based on the reported plans and measures in place:

- those threatened species for which no measures are in place (Table 43)
- those threatened species for which some measures have been taken by some of the Contracting Parties (Table 44) and
- those threatened species for which measures are in place in all Contracting Parties where the species occurs (Table 45).

Table 43. Threatened species with no measures in place.

Red List 2023	Species group	Species with no measures in place	Red List II 2024
EN	Benthic invertebrates	Haploops tenuis	CR
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Cliona celata	EN
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Epitonium clathrus	NT
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Abra prismatica	NT
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Haploops tubicola	CR
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Atelecyclus rotundatus	VU
EN	Macrophytes	Lamprothamnium papulosum	EN
EN	Fish and lamprey	Anarhichas lupus	EN
EN	Breeding birds	Rissa tridactyla	EN
VU	Wintering birds	Rissa tridactyla	DD

Table 44. Threatened species with some measures in place by some of the Contracting Parties.

Red List 2023	Species group	Species with some measures in place	Red List II 2024
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Stomphia coccinea	EN
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Clelandella miliaris	VU
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Lunatia pallida	VU
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Macoma calcarea	VU
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Modiolus modiolus	VU
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Nucula nucleus	VU
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Parvicardium hauniense	VU



Red List 2023	Species group	Species with some measures in place	Red List II 2024
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Scrobicularia plana	VU
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Deshayesorchestia deshayesii	VU
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Hippolyte varians	VU
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Solaster endeca	VU
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Pelonaia corrugata	VU
VU	Macrophytes	Chara braunii	NT
CR	Fish and lamprey	Lamna nasus	CR
CR	Fish and lamprey	Squalus acanthias	CR
CR	Fish and lamprey	Thymallus thymallus	CR
EN	Fish and lamprey	Molva molva	EN
VU	Fish and lamprey	Petromyzon marinus	VU
VU	Fish and lamprey	Galeorhinus galeus	NA
VU	Fish and lamprey	Raja clavata	VU
VU	Fish and lamprey	Gadus morhua	EN
VU	Fish and lamprey	Merlangius merlangus	VU
VU	Fish and lamprey	Salmo trutta	VU
EN	Breeding birds	Calidris alpina schinzii	CR
EN	Breeding birds	Xenus cinereus	CR
VU	Breeding birds	Podiceps auritus	NT
VU	Breeding birds	Aythya marila	EN
VU	Breeding birds	Somateria mollissima	CR
VU	Breeding birds	Melanitta fusca	VU
EN	Wintering birds	Podiceps grisegena	LC
EN	Wintering birds	Anser fabalis fabalis	NA
EN	Wintering birds	Somateria mollissima	EN
EN	Wintering birds	Polysticta stelleri	CR
EN	Wintering birds	Clangula hyemalis	VU
EN	Wintering birds	Melanitta nigra	LC
EN	Wintering birds	Melanitta fusca	LC
VU	Wintering birds	Mergus serrator	NT
VU	Marine mammals	Phoca hispida botnica (2013) / Pusa hispida botnica (Southern Management Units) (2024)	EN

76



Table 45. Threatened species with measures in place.

Red List 2023	Species group	Species with measures in place	Red List II 2024
VU	Benthic invertebrates	Hippasteria phrygiana	EN
EN	Macrophytes	Hippuris tetraphylla	VU
EN	Macrophytes	Persicaria foliosa	NT
VU	Macrophytes	Nitella hyalina	NT
VU	Macrophytes	Alisma wahlenbergii	NT
VU	Macrophytes	Zostera noltii	VU
CR	Fish and lamprey	Anguilla anguilla	CR
EN	Fish and lamprey	Coregonus maraena	EN
VU	Fish and lamprey	Salmo salar	EN
CR	Breeding birds	Charadrius alexandrinus	CR
EN	Breeding birds	Larus melanocephalus	EN
VU	Breeding birds	Philomachus pugnax	CR
VU	Breeding birds	Arenaria interpres	EN
VU	Breeding birds	Larus fuscus fuscus	EN
VU	Breeding birds	Hydroprogne caspia	NT
CR	Wintering birds	Gavia stellata	NT
CR	Wintering birds	Gavia arctica	NT
VU	Wintering birds	Cepphus grylle arcticus	LC
CR	Marine mammals	Phocoena phocoena (Baltic Sea subpopulation) (2013) / Phocoena phocoena (Baltic Proper) (2024)	CR
VU	Marine mammals	Phocoena phocoena (Western Baltic subpopulation) (2013) / Phocoena phocoena (Belt Sea) (2024)	EN
VU	Marine mammals	Phoca vitulina (Kalmarsund population)	NT



This review of the Recommendation 37/2 identified a further need to understand and classify the measures taken by the Contracting Parties and have a coordinated approach for which level of measures (spatial measures, conservation measures, targeted measures, legal measures etc.) should be applied as a minimum requirement for protecting the status of red-listed threatened species (Table 46). It was not feasible in this review to compare reported information from Contracting Parties on a level of the concrete actions implemented for each species. To evaluate whether measures are sufficient, more information is needed than merely whether plans and measures are in place or not. There is also a need to identify those species that need regionally joint measures to reach the positive change in certain species threat categories.

The current Recommendation 37/2 implementation overview list consists of those species that were categorized as threatened (Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN) and Vulnerable (VU)) according to the 2013 Red List results. Regionally Extinct species are also on the reporting list for the Contracting Parties to indicate if there are any recovery plans in place or reintroduction measures if deemed necessary. The 2024 Red List II has also identified that there could be a need to add those species that have been categorized as Near Threatened to the species for which implementation reporting would be needed. When comparing the 2013 Red List categories to 2024 Red List II categories it shows changes for many species categorization from Near Threaten into threaten categories. It thereby seems like Near Threatened species would already be in need of protective action in order to prevent further deterioration.

There is also a need to call on those Contracting Parties that find '??' marking in their implementation reporting column to contribute information to the next implementation reporting round.

Strong emphasis is on the need for continuous periodical data collection especially for those species that are categorized as Data Deficient already since the 2013 Red List and for those that have been categorized Data Deficient as a result of the Red List II process in 2024. Without data availability it is not possible to assess these species status in the Baltic Sea and necessary measures cannot be taken to protect these species from becoming regionally extinct.

Table 46. Recommendations to strengthen future protection.

Recommendation 37/2 imple- mentation overview list and reporting template update	Crucial data collection by Con- tracting Parties	Conservation measures imple- mentation	Joint regional measures
Add to the implementation over- view list of the implementation reporting Near Threatened (NT) category species.	Data Deficient species should be prioritized through monitor- ing programmes for collecting crucial background data for the (next) red listing process.	Agree on a minimum imple- mentation level of conservation measures (spatial measures, conservation measures, targeted measures, legal measures etc.) to be implemented by each Con- tracting Party.	Despite the national measures in place, some species remain threatened. There is a possible need for those species to have re- gionally coordinated plan/meas- ures in place to protect them be- coming regionally extinct.
Update current reporting tem- plate with selective drop-down answers to keep the comparable responses.	Many species that have been cat- egorized by the red-listing pro- cess are still in need of additional background data collection.	A joint approach is needed for those species that are nation- ally not in a threatened category, however, are regionally assessed as threatened. Recommend which conservation actions are needed as a minimum for these species to be implemented by Contracting Parties.	Those species that are nation- ally Least Concern (e.g. benthic invertebrate species: <i>Epitonium</i> <i>clathrus, Abra prismatica</i>) but are regionally threatened might need to have joint regional ac- tions in place.
Add a field to the reporting tem- plate column for reporting spe- cies national red listing category (as an input to SIS sheets).			Those species where no action plans/measures are in place might also need joint regional cooperation/measures.

78



5. Conclusions and proposals of the HELCOM Red List II project

The number of Regionally Extinct species have increased to five from the three in 2013. In 2024, American atlantic sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus*), skate (*Dipturus batis*), Norway goby (*Pomatoschistus norvegicus*), Painted goby (*Pomatoschistus pictus*) and Gull-billed tern (*Gelochelidon nilotica*) - are regionally extinct from the Baltic Sea.

Altogether, 95 species or subspecies (compared to 69 in 2013) are threatened (CR-VU) and classified either as Critically Endangered (15 in 2024, 8 in 2013), Endangered (27 in 2024, 18 in 2013) or Vulnerable (53 in 2024, 43 in 2013) (Table 47).

An additional 46 species were listed as threatened in 2024 that had not been assessed as threatened in the 2013 Red List. This might be due in part to having more data available for the 2024 Red List II assessment process.

The HELCOM BioBase database was updated as a result of the Red List II project with about 6 million observation points. This information will be made available for future Red List projects as well as other assessments of Baltic Sea biodiversity.



Table 47. Summary of the threatened species in Red List II 2024.

Red List II 2024	Scientific name	English name	Species group	Red List 2013
RE	Acipenser oxyrinchus	American atlantic sturgeon	Fish and lamprey	RE
RE	Dipturus batis	Skate	Fish and lamprey	RE
RE	Pomatoschistus norvegicus	Norway goby	Fish and lamprey	DD
RE	Pomatoschistus pictus	Painted goby	Fish and lamprey	DD
RE	Gelochelidon nilotica	Gull-billed tern	Breeding bird	RE
CR	Anguilla anguilla	Eel	Fish and lamprey	CR
CR	Lamna nasus	Porbeagle	Fish and lamprey	CR
CR	Squalus acanthias	Spurdog	Fish and lamprey	CR
CR	Thymallus thymallus	Grayling	Fish and lamprey	CR
CR	Haploops tenuis		Benthic invertebrates	EN
CR	Haploops tubicola		Benthic invertebrates	VU
CR	Charadrius alexandrinus	Kentish plover; snowy plover	Breeding bird	CR
CR	Xenus cinereus	Terek sandpiper	Breeding bird	EN
CR	Calidris alpina schinzii	Dunlin (Short-billed)	Breeding bird	EN
CR	Philomachus pugnax	Ruff	Breeding bird	VU
CR	Somateria mollissima	Common eider; eider; eider duck	Breeding bird	VU
CR	Calidris temminckii	Temminck's stint	Breeding bird	NT
CR	Limosa limosa	Black-tailed godwit	Breeding bird	NT
CR	Polysticta stelleri	Steller's eider	Wintering birds	EN
CR	Phocoena phocoena (Baltic Proper)	Harbour porpoise	Marine mammal	CR
EN	Anarhichas lupus	Wolf-fish	Fish and lamprey	EN
EN	Coregonus maraena	Whitefish	Fish and lamprey	EN
EN	Molva molva	Ling	Fish and lamprey	EN
EN	Salmo salar	Salmon	Fish and lamprey	VU
EN	Gadus morhua	Cod	Fish and lamprey	VU
EN	Glyptocephalus cynoglossus	Witch	Fish and lamprey	LC
EN	Liparis liparis	Sea-snail	Fish and lamprey	LC
EN	Lamprothamnium papulosum		Macrophytes	EN
EN	Stomphia coccinea*	Spotted sea anemone; spotted swim- ming anemone; swimming anemone	Benthic invertebrates	VU
EN	Hippasteria phrygiana*		Benthic invertebrates	VU
EN	Cliona celata*	Boring sponge; red boring sponge; sulfur sponge; yellow boring horny sponge; yellow boring sponge	Benthic invertebrates	VU
EN	Corophium multisetosum		Benthic invertebrates	NT
EN	Boreotrophon truncatus		Benthic invertebrates	NT
EN	Epitonium turtonis	Finely ribbed wentletrap; Turton's wentletrap	Benthic invertebrates	DD
EN	Upogebia stellata		Benthic invertebrates	DD
EN	Talitrus saltator	Sand hopper	Benthic invertebrates	DD
EN	Hanleya hanleyi	Eastern hanleya; Hanley's chiton	Benthic invertebrates	LC
EN	Rissa tridactyla	Black-legged kittiwake; kittiwake; kittiwake gull	Breeding bird	EN
EN	Larus melanocephalus	Mediterranean gull	Breeding bird	EN
EN	Aythya marila	Greater scaup; scaup	Breeding bird	VU
EN	Arenaria interpres	Ruddy turnstone; turnstone	Breeding bird	VU
EN	Larus fuscus fuscus	Lesser black-backed gull	Breeding bird	VU



Table 47. (Continued). Summary of the threatened species in Red List II 2024.

Red List II 2024	Scientific name	English name	Species group	Red List 2013
EN	Somateria mollissima	Common eider; eider; eider duck	Wintering birds	EN
EN	Aythya ferina	Common pochard; pochard	Wintering birds	LC
EN	Fulica atra	Eurasian coot; coot; common coot	Wintering birds	LC
EN	Phocoena phocoena (Belt Sea)	Harbour porpoise	Marine mammal	VU
EN	<i>Pusa hispida botnica</i> (Southern Management Units)	Ringed Seal	Marine mammal	vu
VU	Merlangius merlangus	Whiting	Fish and lamprey	VU
VU	Raja clavata	Thornback ray	Fish and lamprey	VU
VU	Petromyzon marinus	Sea lamprey	Fish and lamprey	VU
VU	Salmo trutta	Trout	Fish and lamprey	VU
VU	Cyclopterus lumpus	Lumpsucker	Fish and lamprey	NT
VU	Enchelyopus cimbrius	Four-bearded rockling	Fish and lamprey	NT
VU	Zoarces viviparus	Eelpout	Fish and lamprey	NT
VU	Leuciscus aspius	Asp	Fish and lamprey	NT
VU	Amblyrayes radiata	Starry ray /Thorny skate	Fish and lamprey	LC
VU	Myoxocephalus scorpius	Bull-rout	Fish and lamprey	LC
VU	Hippuris tetraphylla	Fourleaf mare's tail	Macrophytes	EN
VU	Zostera (Zosterella) noltei	Dwarf eelgrass	Macrophytes	VU
VU	Modiolus modiolus	Horse mussel; horse-mussel; north- ern horsemussel	Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Pelonaia corrugata*		Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Clelandella miliaris*		Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Hippolyte varians	Chamaeleon prawn; chameleon prawn	Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Parvicardium hauniense	Copenhagen cockle	Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Atelecyclus rotundatus*	Circular crab; old mans face crab; old- man's face crab	Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Euspira pallida*	Pale moonsnail	Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Deshayesorchestia deshayesii		Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Macoma calcarea	Chalky macoma	Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Nucula nucleus	Common nut clam; nuclear nut clam	Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Scrobicularia plana	Peppery furrow clam; peppery fur- row shell	Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Solaster endeca	Purple sun star	Benthic invertebrates	VU
VU	Mya truncata	Blunt gaper; blunt gaper clam; clam; truncate softshell; truncate softshell clam	Benthic invertebrates	NT
VU	Amauropsis islandica	Iceland moonsnail	Benthic invertebrates	NT
VU	Corystes cassivelaunus*	Helmet crab; masked crab	Benthic invertebrates	NT
VU	Amphipholis squamata*	Brooding snake star; dwarf brittle star	Benthic invertebrates	DD
VU	Roxania utriculus*		Benthic invertebrates	DD
VU	Leptochiton alveolus*		Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Nuculana pernula	Müller's nut clam; Müller's nutclam; northern nut clam; northern nutclam	Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Crenella decussata	Cross-sculpture crenella; decussate crenella	Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Alvania testae*		Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Calocaris macandreae*		Benthic invertebrates	LC



Table 47. (Continued). Summary of the threatened species in Red List II 2024.

Red List II 2024	Scientific name	English name	Species group	Red List 2013
VU	Eurynome aspera*	Strawberry crab	Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Campylaspis costata		Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Diastylis cornuta*		Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Eurynome spinosa*		Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Ophiura robusta		Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Eupolymnia nesidensis*		Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Palliolum incomparabile*		Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Musculus niger	Black musculus; black mussel; little black mussel	Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Pinnotheres pisum*		Benthic invertebrates	LC
VU	Melanitta fusca	Velvet scoter; white-winged scoter	Breeding bird	VU
VU	Actitis hypoleucos	Common sandpiper	Breeding bird	NT
VU	Vanellus vanellus	Lapwing; northern lapwing	Breeding bird	NT
VU	Aythya fuligula	Tufted duck	Breeding bird	NT
VU	Larus canus	Common gull; mew gull	Breeding bird	LC
VU	Larus marinus	Great black-backed gull; greater black-backed gull	Breeding bird	LC
VU	Clangula Hyemalis	Long-tailed duck; oldsquaw	Wintering birds	EN
VU	Aythya fuligula	Tufted duck	Wintering birds	LC
VU	Larus argentatus	European herring gull; herring gull	Wintering birds	LC
VU	<i>Pusa hispida botnica</i> (Gulf of Bothnia)	Ringed Seal	Marine mammal	VU

There are still many species that do not have enough data available to categorize them through the red listing process. A total of 148 species were categorized as Data Deficient and 14 as Not Evaluated due to this reason. In comparison to the 2013 HELCOM Red List indicated that 37 species were Data Deficient and 818 were Not Evaluated. This big difference in final numbers might be the result of less data available for the 2013 process and/or some different approach in categorizing the species.

Total of 564 species were categorized as Not Applicable in 2024 due to not being a marine species or being a non-indigenous species (220 Not Applicable species in 2013). The significant difference in number may be the result of the different definition of a "marine species" and "not a marine species" among the experts assessing the different species groups in 2013.

As a conclusion of the HELCOM Recommendation 37/2 implementation reporting, there are ten threatened species from 2013 that do not have any conservation measures in place and 38 threatened species that have some measures implemented by some of the Contracting parties and 12 threatened species which have conservation measures in place but still remain in the same threat category or have even higher in their threat status. This indicated a need for a review among the Contracting Parties to clarify which level of measures (spatial measures, conservation measures, targeted measures, legal measures, regional measures etc.) have been implemented, list the concrete measures in place, and what can be done both regionally and nationally in near future to protect the threatened species even more from not becoming regionally extinct.

Some overall recommendations based on the HELCOM Red List II project for future include:

- Ensure continued, coordinated monitoring, assessment and analysis among Baltic Sea countries of common and rare species, and developing these processes further. These are key to ensuring the coherence and communication needed to support environmental policy when applying the ecosystem approach and precautionary principle.
- There is a need for continuous monitoring of the threatened species to be able to see the changes in their status and threat categorization. Lack of monitoring is a general problem, resulting in lack of data for the assessment and species status categorization process (HELCOM BSAP action S49).
- Conservation measures and action plans must be set in place to protect the species with a high risk of becoming regionally extinct. Experience shows that reintroducing species once lost is very difficult to accomplish.
- Compile a separate list of species that are relevant to the definitions of benthic and pelagic habitats (e.g. macrophytes, benthic invertebrates) to give additional input the habitat red listing process.
- For the IUCN threat categorization process different species parameter information needs to be available (e.g generation length, continuing decline, number of reproductive individuals etc.). Red List II project collected species parameter information into an excel, based on the available national red lists parameter info. For the next assessment process this collected info should be updated with all available information between the period of red list assessments by the relevant expert groups of the HELCOM Working Group on Biodiversity (WG BioDiv) (HELCOM BSAP action B10, see Table 1).
- For the IUCN threat categorization process the extent of occurrence (EOO) and area of occupancy (AOO) values need to be made available as well in the species parameter excel. During the Red List II project, it was indicated by nationally nominated experts that the HELCOM EOO and AOO tool needs modification, especially for the highly mobile species (e.g. birds, mammals and fish). The validation of the updated HELCOM EOO and AOO tool can be done in the relevant expert groups of the HELCOM WG BioDiv (HELCOM BSAP action B10, see Table 1).
- HELCOM pressure layers might give a valuable input to the species assessment process in the future, as tried out and proven a valuable exercise within the Red List II biotope complexes. With the input from HELCOM pressure layers it would be possible to tie more concretely pressures to species (HELCOM BSAP action B22) and thus be able to better plan needed conservation measures for threatened species (HELCOM BSAP action B23 and B24) not becoming regionally extinct.
- The Red List II assessment has pointed out that it is important to keep also those species on the horizon that are not categorized as threatened, since there have been big flips in categories (e.g. otters that were Near Threatened in 2013 but are Least Concern in 2024).
- Regional joint actions taken in the frame of the HELCOM BSAP is essential to assure the most effective approach to keep the Baltic Sea in a good status and species not becoming regionally extinct.
- Regularly updating the HELCOM Red List assessments and national red list assessments are crucial processes for following the status of the species in the Baltic Sea.
- Red List expert network is crucially needed for the Red List assessment process to be the most up to date with the best available knowledge and expertise. National red list expert participation in the HELCOM red-listing process should be ensured and encouraged by the Contracting Parties.
- The status and progress update by the Contracting Parties for preparing for the next HELCOM Red List process should be a continuous work programme task for the HELCOM WG BioDiv, ensuring a regular overview of the ongoing national data collection and conservation measure implementation processes with an aim of aligning national processes and needs with the ongoing HELCOM work and with other EU related obligations and processes related to red listing and to using the generated information on risk of extinction.

83



Annex 1 Macrophyte threat categories 2024

Download the Excel sheet (.XLSX) here. 🔮

				<u> </u>	
Red List II 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
EN	B2b(i,ii,iii,iv,v)c(iv)	Lamprothamnium papulosum		EN	B2ab(ii,iii,iv,v)
VU	B2ab(ii,iii,v); D2	Hippuris tetraphylla	Fourleaf mare's tail	EN	B2ab(i,ii,iii,iv,v)
VU	A2b; B2ab(ii,iii,v)	Zostera (Zosterella) noltei	Dwarf eelgrass	VU	B2ab (iii, iv)
NT	B2ab(ii,iii)	Persicaria foliosa		EN	B2ab(ii,iii,iv,v)
NT	B2ab(ii,iii,iv,v)	Alisma wahlenbergii		VU	B2ab(ii,iii,iv,v)
NT	B2ab(ii,iii,iv,v)	Chara braunii	Braun's stonewort	VU	B2ab(iii)
NT	B1a	Nitella hyalina	Many-branched stonewort	VU	B2ab(iii)
NT		Potamogeton friesii		NT	B2a
NT		Nitellopsis obtusa	Starry stonewort	NT	B2a
DD		Chara horrida		NT	B2b(ii,iii,iv,v)
DD		Botrytella reinboldii		DD	
DD		Myriocladia lovenii		DD	
DD		Helminthora		DD	
		divaricata			
DD		attenuata		DD	
		Halopteris			
DD		scoparia		NA	
DD		Stuckenia vaginata	Sheathed pondweed	NA	
DD		Tilopteris mertensii		LC	
DD		Acrothrix gracilis		LC	
DD		Tsengia bairdii		LC	
LC		Crassula aquatica		NT	B2ab(ii,iii,iv,v)c(iv)
LC		Vertebrata nigra	Createrwater	LC	
LC		antipyretica	moss	LC	
LC		confervoides		LC	
LC		Zostera (Zostera) marina	Eelgrass; sea grass	LC	
LC		Acrosiphonia arcta		LC	
LC		Aegagropila linnaei		LC	
LC		Aglaothamnion bipinnatum		LC	
		Aglaothamnion			
LC		tenuissimum		LC	
LC		Ahnfeltia plicata	Red seaweed	LC	
LC		Alisma plantago- aquatica		LC	



LC	Antithamnion		LC
	cruciatum Antithamnion		
LC	villosum		LC
10	Apoglossum		
LU	ruscifolium		LC
LC	Arthrocladia villosa		LC
LC	Ascopnyllum		LC
	Asperococcus		
LC	bullosus		LC
10	Asperococcus		IC
20	fistulosus		20
LC	Blastophysa		LC
LC	Blidingia marginata		LC
10		Lesser grass-	
LC	Budingia minima	kelp	LC
LC	Bolboschoenus	Sea club-rush	LC
	maritimus Bonnomaisonia		
LC	asparagoides		LC
	Botrytella		
LC	micromora		LC
LC	Bryopsis hypnoides		LC
LC	Bryopsis plumosa Butomus	Hen pen	LC
LC	umbellatus	Flowering rush	LC
	Callithamnion		
LC	corymbosum		LC
LC	Callithamnion		LC
	tetragonum Collitriopo		
LC	cophocarpa		LC
	Callitriche	Autumnal	
LC	hermaphroditica	water-starwort	LC
LC	Callitriche		LC
10	palustris Colthe polyotria		
LU	Ceramium		LC
LC	tenuicorne		LC
10	Ceramium	Red seaweed	IC
20	virgatum		20
LC	Ceratophyllum	Hornwort; rigid	LC
	Chaetomorpha	nonnwort	
LC	linum		LC
10	Chaetomorpha		IC
20	melagonium	D 4	20
LC	Chara aspera	Kough stonewort	LC
LC	Chara baltica	Baltic stonewort	LC
	Chara consecutor	Bearded	
10	Gnara canescens	stonewort	LC
LC	Chara globularis	Fragile	LC
	-	STOLIEMOLL	



		_	
		Coral	
IC	Chara tomentos	stonewort;	IC
20		mossy	
		stonewort	
	Chara virgata	Delicate	10
LC	Gilara Virgata	stonewort	LC
		Carrageen;	
LC	Chondrus crispu	s carragheen;	LC
	,	Irish moss	
IC	Chorda filum	man's rope	IC
	Chordaria	manoropo	
LC	flagelliformis		LC
	Chroadactylan		
LC	ornatum		LC
	Chylogladia		
LC			LC
	Cladarhara		
LC	Cladophora		LC
	glomerata		
LC	Cladophora	Rock-weed	LC
	rupestris		
LC	Cladosiphon		LC
	zosterae		
LC	Cladostephus		LC
20	spongiosus		
10	Coccotylus		IC
LO	truncatus		20
	Colaconema		
LC	daviesii		LC
	Colaconema		10
LC	pectinatum		LC
	Compsothamnio	n	
LC	gracillimum		LC
		,. Coral meed;	
LC	Corallina officina	coral weed	LC
LC	Cruoria pellita		LC
LC	Cutleria multifida	3	LC
	Cvstoclonium		
LC	purpureum		LC
	Delesseria		
LC	sanguinea	Sea beech	LC
IC	Derhesia marina		IC
	Desmarestia		20
LC	aculeata		LC
10	nosmarostia viric	lis	10
10	Distussion	10	10
LC	chardaria		LC
	Diotucinhon		
LC	fooniouloogua		LC
		Pod rogo	
10	Dilsea carnosa	neu rags	LC
LC	Drepanocladus		LC
	aauncus		
LC	Dudresnaya		LC
	verticillata		
LC	Dumontia contor –	ta	LC
LC	Ectocarpus		LC
	fasciculatus		



LC	Ectoca siliculo	pus sus		LC
LC LC	Elachis Elachis	ta fucicola ta stellaris		LC LC
LC	Elatine	hydropiper	Eight-stamened	LC
LC	Elatine	triandra	waterwort	LC
LC	Eleocha acicula	aris ris		LC
LC	Eleocha palustri	aris S		LC
LC	Eleocha	aris parvula	Dwart spike- rush	LC
LC	Eleocha uniglum	aris nis	spikerush	LC
LC	Equiset fluviatil	um e	Swamp horsetail; water horsetail	LC
LC	Erythro irregula	cladia ris		LC
LC	Erythro traillii	dermis		LC
LC	Erythro carnea	trichia		LC
LC	Erythro: reflexa	trichia		LC
LC	Eudesn	ne virescens		LC
LC	Feldma kiellma	nnia nii		LC
LC	Fisside	ns fontanus		LC
LC	Fontina	lis		LC
	Fontina	lis	Continalia maga	
	hypnoic	les	Fontinatis moss	
	Fucus r	adicans	Serrated wrack:	LC
LC	Fucus s	erratus	toothed wrack	LC
	Fucus	piralis	Flat wrack;	
10	1 4643 5	piraus	wrack Bladder wrack:	LU
LC	Fucus v	resiculosus	popweed; rockweed	LC
LC	Furcella lumbric	aria alis	Red seaweed	LC
LC	Gayralia oxyspei	a ma		LC
LC	Giraudy	'a Iarioides		LC
LC	Glyceria	a maxima	Reed mannagrass	LC
LC	Grania		-	LC
	efflores Griffiths	cens sia		
LC	corallin	oides		LC
LC	Haeme henned	scharia 'yi		LC



LC	Halarachnion		LC
	ligulatum		
LC	Halidrys siliquosa	Pod weed; sea	LC
		oak	
10	Halosiphon		IC
20	tomentosus		20
10	Haplospora		IC
20	globosa		20
10	Harveyella		10
LO	mirabilis		20
10	Hecatonema		
10	terminale		LO
10	Herponema		
LC	desmarestiae		LC
	Heterosiphonia		
LC	plumosa		LC
	Hildenbrandia		
LC	rivularis		LC
	Hildenbrandia		
10	rubra		LC
LC	Hincksia granulosa		LC
LC	Hincksia ovata		LC
LC	Hincksia sandriana		LC
LC	Hippuris vulgaris		LC
	Hydrocharis		
LU	morsus-ranae		LC
	Isoëtes	Spiny-spore	
LC	echinospora	quillwort	LC
LC	Isoëtes lacustris	Lake quillwort	LC
10	Isthmoplea		
LO	sphaerophora		20
10	Laminaria digitata	Kelp; oarweed;	
20	Lammana agrata	tangle	20
IC	Laminaria	Cuvie; tangle or	10
20	hyperborea	cuvie	20
IC	Laminariocolax		10
20	aecidioides		20
	Laminariocolax		10
20	tomentosoides		20
LC	Leathesia marina		LC
LC	Lemna gibba	duckweed	LC
		duckweed;	
LC	Lemna minor	lesser	LC
		duckweed	
IC	l empa trisulca	lvy-leaved	IC
20	Lonnia triottoa	duckweed	20
LC	Leptodictyum		LC
	riparium		
LC	Leptonematella		LC
	fasciculata		
LC	Limosella aquatica	northern	LC
		mudwort	
LC	Lithophyllum		LC
	crouaniorum		
LC	Lithothamnion	Maerl	LC
	glaciale		



LC	Lithothamnion	LC
	Litosinhon	
LC	laminariae	LC
	Lomentaria	
LC	clavellosa	LC
	Lomentaria	
LC	orcadensis	LC
10	Meiodiscus	
LO	spetsbergensis	LU
IC	Melobesia	IC
20	membranacea	20
LC	Membranoptera	LC
	alata	
LC	Mesoglola	LC
	Microconvne	
LC	ocellata	LC
	Microspongium	
LC	globosum	LC
	Mikrosyphar	
LC	polysiphoniae	LC
	Mikrosyphar	
LC	porphyrae	LC
10	Mikrosyphar	IC
20	zosterae	20
LC	Monostroma	LC
	balticum	
LC	Monostroma	LC
10	greviller Myriactula chordao	
	Myriactula Choldae Myriactula fucorum	
20	Myrionema	20
LC	balticum	LC
	Myrionema	
LC	magnusii	LC
10	Myrionema	
LC	strangulans	LC
10	Myriophyllum Alternateflo	wer LC
20	alterniflorum watermilfoil	20
LC	Myriophyllum	LC
	sibiricum	
LC	Myriophyllum Spiked wate	r- LC
	spicatum mitroit	
LC	vorticillatum	LC
	Myriotrichia	
LC	clavaeformis	LC
	Holly-leaved	t
LC	Najas marina naiad	LC
	Nitella flavilia Smooth	
LC	stonewort	LC
	Nitella	
10	wahlbergiana	
	Yellow pond	-
LC	Nuphar lutea lily; yellow	LC
	cowlily	



LC	Nymphaea alba	European white	LC
LC LC	Nymphaea candi Odonthalia denta	da ta	LC LC
	Ostreobium		I.C.
	quekettii Polmorio polmori		
LC	Patriana patriata Percursaria	<i>a</i> Dillisk, duise	LC
LC	percursa		LC
LC	Petalonia fascia		LC
LC	Petroderma		LC
IC	Pevssonnelia dul	nvi	IC
10	Phaeostroma	, , , ,	-0
LC	pustulosum		LC
LC	Phragmites	Common reed;	LC
	australis	reed grass	
LC	foecunda		LC
LC	Phycodrys rubens	S	LC
LC	Phyllophora crisp	a	LC
LC	Phymatolithon		LC
	laevigatum Phymatolithon		
LC	lenormandii		LC
10	Phymatolithon		
10	purpureum		LC
LC	Pilinia rimosa	lie	LC
LC	Plagiospora graci Planosinhon	us	LC
LC	zosterifolius		LC
	Platyhypnidium	Platyhypnidium	
10	riparoides	moss	LU
LC	Pleurocladia		LC
	Plocamium		
LC	cartilagineum		LC
LC	Plumaria plumos	а	LC
LC	Pneophyllum		LC
	Pneophyllum		
LC	limitatum		LC
	Pogotrichum		
10	filiforme		LU
LC	Polysiphonia		LC
	Polvsiphonia		
LC	elongata		LC
10	Polysiphonia		IC
	fibrillosa		20
LC	PolySiphonia stricta		LC
LC	Porphyra linearis		LC
	Pornhura nurnura	Laver; purple	
20	r orpriyra purpure	laver	10



LC	Porphyra Laver; pink umbilicalis laver; purple laver; sloke	LC
LC	Porphyropsis	LC
LC	Potamogeton Small berchtoldii pondweed	LC
LC	Potamogeton crispus Curly pondweed	LC
LC	PotamogetonSlender-leavedfiliformispondweed	LC
LC	Potamogeton gramineus	LC
LC	Potamogeton pondweed; natans pondweed	LC
LC	Potamogeton Bluntleaf obtusifolius pondweed	LC
LC	perfoliatus pondweed	LC
LC	Potamogeton White-stem praelongus pondweed	LC
LC	pusillus	LC
LC	Prasiola crispa	LC
LC	speciosus	LC
LC	Protomonostroma undulatum	LC
LC	Pseudolithoderma extensum	LC
LC	Pseudolithoderma rosenvingei	LC
LC	Pseudolithoderma subextensum	LC
LC	Pterothamnion plumula	LC
LC	Ptilota gunneri	LC
LC	Punctaria plantaginea	LC
LC	Punctaria tenuissima	LC
LC	Pylaiella littoralis	LC
	Ratisia verrucosa Ranunculus	
LC	peltatus	LC
LC	reptans	LC
LC	Rhizoclonium riparium	LC
LC	Rhodochorton	LC
LC	Rhodophyllis	LC
LC	Rhodophysema elegans	LC
	5	



LC	Rhc	odophysema		LC
	geo Pos	orgei		
LC	nos	vrhiza		LC
	por	, mza	Spiral	
LC	Rup	opia cirrhosa	tasselweed	LC
	D		Beaked	
LC	Rup	opia maritima	tasselweed	LC
	Sac	charina	Sugar Keln	
LO	latis	ssima	ougai Ketp	20
LC	Sag	(ittaria	Arrowhead	LC
	Sag	ittifolia		
LC	SCI	nniilzia pookiana		LC
	Sch	omitzia		
LC	nea	politana		LC
	Sch	noenoplectus		
LC	laci	ustris		LC
	Sch	noenoplectus		
LC	tab	ernaemontani		LU
LC	Scy	tosiphon	Beanweed	LC
	lom	ientaria		
LC	Sell	rospora		LC
	Sor	anion kiellmanii		
20	Spa	arganium	European bur-	
LC	em	ersum	reed	LC
	Spa	arganium		
LC	grai	mineum		LC
LC	Spa	arganium natans	Small bur-reed	LC
LC	Spe	ermatochnus		LC
	par	adoxus		
LC	Spe	ermothamnion		LC
	Snt	nacelaria		
LC	ula	mula		LC
	Sph	naerotrichia		10
LC	diva	aricata		LC
	Sni	rodela	duckweed;	
LC	ווקט יוסמ	yrrhiza	common	LC
	0	naomorrho	auckmeat	
LC	Spo	uginosa		LC
	Snc	ongonema		
LC	torr	nentosum		LC
	Spo	prochnus		10
LC	pec	lunculatus		LC
LC	Stic	tyosiphon		LC
	sor	iferus		
LC	Stic	xtyosiphon vilio		LC
	tort e+ii	ius onhora		
LC	ວແພ	lulosa		LC
LC	Stil	ophora tenella		LC
LC	Stra	agularia clavata		LC
LC	Stri	aria attenuata		LC
LC	Styl	lonema alsidii		LC



		American	
LC	Subularia aquatica	awlwort;	LC
		waterawlwort	
LC	Tolvpella nidifica	Bird's-nest	LC
		stonewort	
LC	Typha angustifolia	Narrowleaf	LC
IC	Typha latifolia	cattail	IC
LC	Ulva clathrata	outun	LC
LC	Ulva compressa		LC
		Gut weed;	
LC	Olva intestinaus	gutweed	LC
LC	Ulva lactuca	Sea lettuce	LC
LC	Ulva linza		LC
LC	Ulva prolifera		LC
LC	Urospora		LC
	Lirospora		
LC	wormskioldii		LC
LC	Utricularia vulgaris		LC
	Zannichellia	Horned	
LC	palustris	pondweed	LC
LC	Zannichellia major		LC
	Ranunculus		
LC	peltatus subsp. Poudoti		LC
	Nemalion		
LC	elminthoides	Sea noodle	LC
	Phyllophora		
LC	pseudoceranoïdes		LC
LC	Gaillona hookeri		LC
LC	Gaillona rosea		LC
LC	Vertebrata		LC
	byssoldes Vortobroto		
LC	fuccides		LC
LC	Battersia arctica		LC
	Stuckenia		
LC	pectinata		LC
10	Battersia		NE
20	plumigera		
LC	Chaetopteris		NE
	plumosa Protobolontorio		
LC	radicans		NE
LC	Ulvella scutata		NF
	Sparganium		
LC	erectum		NE
	Ranunculus		
LC	aquatilis var.		NE
	diffusus		
LC	Chaetomorpha		NE
10	Capillaris Vaucharia		NE
LC	Spirogvra		NE
LC	Zygnema		NE



LC	Lithophyllum	N	A
10	corallinae Cooportuus bartzii	N	٨
	Polvides rotunda		A A
	Fucus distichus Brown	seaweed N	A
	Neostromatella		
LC	monostromatica	N	A
LC	Okellya curvata	N	A
LC	Symphyocladia	N	А
	parasitica En de dictoren		
LC	Endodictyon	N	A
	Microspongium		
LC	stilophorae	N	A
	Ulva flexuosa	NI	٨
LC	subsp. paradoxa	IN/	A
IC	Rosenvingiellopsis	N	А
	constricta		
LC	Binuclearia	N	A
	laulerbornii Neodangemannia		
LC	microcystis	N	A
	Pneophyllum	N	^
LC	coronatum	IN/	A
IC	Sphaceloderma	N	А
	caespitulum		
LC	Spnacelorbus	N	A
	Potamogeton Illinois		
LC	illinoensis pondy	veed N/	A
	Polysiphonia	N	^
LC	elongella	IN/	A
LC	Potamogeton Flatste	em N	А
	zosteriformis pondv	veed	
LC	Bangia	N	A
	Wildemania		
LC	amplissima	N	A
	Elatine	N	^
10	orthosperma	IN/	A
LC	Fissidens	N	A
	osmundoides		
LC	adianthoides Fissid	ens moss N	A
	Drepanocladus		
LC	sordidus	N	A
	Calliergon	roon mose N	Δ
20	cordifolium	50111033 N	~
LC	Calliergon Callier	rgon moss N	A
	Hydrobyopum Hydro	hypnum	
LC	luridum moss	N	A
LC	Sagittaria natans	N	A
LC	Stratiotes aloides Water	soldiers N	A
	Arrow	-grass;	
LC	Triglochin maritima seasic	le N	A
	arrow	grass	



LC	Cicuta virosa Mackenzie's water hemlock	NA
LC	Iris pseudacorus Yellow flag; paleyellow iris	NA
LC	Utricularia australis	NA
LC	Utricularia bladderwort; Utricularia flatleaf intermedia bladderwort	NA
LC	Utricularia minor Lesser bladderwort	NA
LC	Hippuris lanceolata	NA
LC	Eleocharis Common club- mamillata rush	NA
LC	Juncus gerardii Saltmarsh rush	NA
LC	Pyropia leucosticta	NA
LC	Wildemania miniata	NA
LC	Rubrointrusa membranacea	NA

Annex 2 Fish and lamprey threat categories 2024

Download the Excel sheet (.XLSX) here. 🔮

Red	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common	Red	Criteria
List II			name	List	2013
2024		- <u>.</u> .		2013	
RE		Acipenser oxyrinchus	American	RE	
			atlantic		
DE			sturgeon	DE	
RE		Dipturus batis	Skate	RE	
KE		Pomatoschistus	Norway goby	סט	
DE		norvegicus Romatasahistus pistus	Daintad gaby		
	Alod+2bodo+2cd+4cd		Fainted goby		A2bdo+4bdo
	A100+20000+300+400 A1d+2d+3d+4d•D	Anguna anguna Lampa pasus	Porbeade		A3bde+4bde A2bd
CR	A1d+2d+3d+4d, D	Squalus acanthias	Spurdog	CR	A2bd A2bd
CR	Δ 2bd+3bd+4bd	Thymallus thymallus	Gravling	CR	A2bcd
FN	A1cd+2bcde+3cd+4cd	Anarhichas lunus	Wolf-fish	FN	A2d
EN	A2d+3d+4d	Coregonus maraena	Whitefish	EN	A2bd
EN	A2d+3d+4d	Molva molva	Ling	EN	A2d
EN	B1ab(v)	Salmo salar	Salmon	VU	A2cd+3d+4d
EN	A2abcde+3bcd+4bcd	Gadus morhua	Cod	VU	A2b,c+A4b,c
EN	A2d	Glyptocephalus	Witch	LC	
		cynoglossus			
EN	A2b	Liparis liparis	Sea-snail	LC	
VU	A2abd+3bd+4bd	Merlangius merlangus	Whiting	VU	A2bd
VU	A3bd+4bd	Raja clavata	Thornback ray	VU	A2bd
VU	A2ac; C2a(i)	Petromyzon marinus	Sea lamprey	VU	C2a(i)
VU	A3d	Salmo trutta	Trout	VU	A3d
VU	A2bd	Cyclopterus lumpus	Lumpsucker	NT	A2b
VU	A2bd	Enchelyopus cimbrius	Four-bearded	NT	A2b
V/L1		7	rockling Folgout	NIT	4.0 h
			Leipour		AZD
	A2bd	Amblyrayos radiata	Asp Stornurov		ASU
VU	AZDU	Ambiyrayes radiata	/Thorny skate	LU	
VII	A2hcd	Myoxocenhalus scornius	Bull-rout	IC	
NT	A1bd+2bd+3bd+4bd	l ampetra fluviatilis	River lamprev	NT	A2bd
NT	A2abcd+3bd+4bd	Lota lota	Burbot	NT	A2b
NT	A1bd+2bd+3bd+4bd:	Scophthalmus maximus	Turbot	NT	A2bd
	B1b(v)				
NT	A4bd	Abramis brama	Bream	LC	
NT	A4bd	Blicca bjoerkna	Silver bream	LC	
NT	A4bd	Carassius carassius	Crucian carp	LC	
NT	B1b(v)+2b(v)	Ciliata mustela	Five-bearded	LC	
			rockling		
NT	A2bcd	Cottus gobio	Bullhead	LC	
NT	D1	Thorogobius ephippiatus	Leopard-	LC	
			spotted goby		
DD		Lebetus guilleti	Guillet's goby	DD	
DD		Lebetus scorpioides	Diminutive	DD	
DD		Landar de l'	goby	DD	
DD		Lycoaes gracilis	Checker	DD	
			eelpout		

HELCOM Red List II of the Baltic Sea species in danger of becoming extinct

	-		
	Ŀ	i	
~			

DD	Phrynorhombus	Norwegian	DD	
	norvegicus	topknot		
DD	Zeugopterus punctatus	Topknot	DD	
DD	Platichthys solemdali	Baltic flouder	NA	
DD	Chelon ramada	Thin-lipped	NA	
		grey mullet		
LC	Melanogrammus	Haddock	NT	B1a+2a
	aeglefinus			
LC	Merluccius merluccius	Hake	NT	
LC	Lesueurigobius friesii	Fries's goby	DD	B1a+2a
LC	Belone belone	Garfish	LC	
LC	Hippoglossoides	Long rough	LC	
	platessoides	dab		
LC	Esox lucius	Pike	LC	
LC	Pelecus cultratus	Razor-fish	LC	
LC	Phoxinus phoxinus	Minnow	LC	
LC	Rutilus rutilus	Roach	LC	
LC	Sander lucioperca	Zander	LC	
LC	Spinachia spinachia	Fifteen-spined	LC	
		stickleback		
LC	Scyliorhinus canicula	Lesser	LC	
		spotted		
		dogfish		
LC	Agonus cataphractus	Hook-nose	LC	
LC	Alburnus alburnus	Bleak	LC	
LC	Ammodytes marinus	Raitt,s	LC	
		Sandeel		
	Ammodytes toblanus	Sandeel		
LC	Αρηιά πιημτά	Transparent	LC	
		GODY		
	Amoglossus laterna	Scalonsh		
	Suglossidium luteum	Solenelle		
	Callionymus tyra	Spottod		
LC	Callonymus maculatus	dragonat	LC	
10	Controlobrus ovolatus	Book oook		
	Cholon Jabrosus	Thick lippod		
LO	Chelon labiosus	drev mullet	10	
	Chirolophis ascanii	Varroll's		
LO	Chillotophis ascanii	hlenny	10	
IC	Clupea harengus	Herring	IC	
	Cobitis taenia	Spined loach		
IC	Coregonus albula	Vendace		
IC	Crystallogobius linearis	Crystal goby		
LC	Ctenolabrus rupestris	Goldsinnv		
LC	Entelurus aequoreus	Snake		
		pipefish		
LC	Eutrigla gurnardus	Grey gurnard	LC	
LC	Gasterosteus aculeatus	Three-spined	LC	
		stickleback		
LC	Gobius niger	Black goby	LC	
LC	Gobiusculus flavescens	Two-spotted	LC	
		goby		
LC	Gymnocephalus cernuus	Ruffe	LC	
LC	Hyperoplus lanceolatus	Greater	LC	
		sandeel		
LC	Labrus bergylta	Ballan wrasse	LC	

	5
	_
	сń
- 0 7	

	-		
LC	Labrus mixtus	Cuckoo	LC
		wrasse	
LC	Leucaspius delineatus	Sunbleak	LC
LC	Leuciscus idus	lde	LC
LC	Leuciscus leuciscus	Dace	LC
LC	Limanda limanda	Dab	LC
LC	Liparis montagui	Montagu's	I.C
20	Lipano monagai	sea-snail	20
	Lumponus	Sca-shall	
LC	Lampenas	Shake blenny	LC
	lampretaeronnis Misrostosova kitt		
LC	Microstomus kitt	Lemon sole	LC
LC	Myxine glutinosa	Hagtish	LC
LC	Nerophis lumbriciformis	Worm	LC
		pipefish	
LC	Nerophis ophidion	Straight-	LC
		nosed	
		pipefish	
LC	Osmerus eperlanus	Smelt	LC
LC	Perca fluviatilis	Perch	LC
LC	Pholis gunnellus	Butterfish	LC
LC	Platichthys flesus	Flounder	LC
LC	Pleuronectes platessa	Plaice	LC
LC	, Pomatoschistus microps	Common	LC
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	goby	
IC	Pomatoschistus minutus	Sand goby	IC
	Pungitius	Nine-snine	
20	T ungitus pungitus	stickleback	20
	Ranicens raninus	Tadnole-fish	
	Soordinius	Pudd	
LC	Scalullius	nuuu	LC
		D:11	
	Scophinalmus mombus	Britt	
LC	Solea solea	Sole	
LC	Sprattus sprattus	Sprat	LC
LC	Symphodus melops	Corkwing	LC
		wrasse	
LC	Syngnathus acus	Greater	LC
		pipefish	
LC	Syngnathus rostellatus	Nilsson's	LC
		pipefish	
LC	Syngnathus typhle	Deep-snouted	LC
		pipefish	
LC	Taurulus bubalis	Sea scorpion	LC
LC	Tinca tinca	Tench	LC
LC	Trachinus draco	Greater	LC
		weever	
LC	Trisopterus esmarkii	Norway pout	LC
LC	Trisopterus minutus	Poor cod	LC
LC	Vimba vimba	Vimba bream	LC
LC	Auxis rochei	Frigate	NA
		mackerel.	
		Bullet tuna	
LC	Mvoxocenhalus	Fourhorn	NA
	quadricornis	sculpin	
IC	Alosa fallar	Twaite shad	NA
	Scomberesox saurus	Skinner	NA
20	000110010308 320103	Atlantic source	
		r ttantio saury	



Annex 3 Benthic invertebrate threat categories 2024

Download the Excel sheet (.XLSX) here. 🔮

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	the second second as a second se	IZ . II	الجهري الأليان المتحالين والمتحاد والمتحاد
Shecles that are	restricted to the	K ATTEGAT REGION 2	are marked with a ^
opeoles that are		παιιοχαιτοχισητ	

Red List II	Criteria	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List	Criteria 2013
2024	2024			2013	
CR	A2b	Haploops tenuis		EN	B1ab(i,iii)+2a b(ii,iii)
CR	A2b	Haploops tubicola		VU	B1ab(i,iii)+2a b(ii,iii)
EN	B2ab(iii,v)	Stomphia coccinea*	Spotted sea anemone; spotted swimming anemone; swimming anemone	VU	B1ab(iii)
EN	B2ab(v)	Hippasteria phrygiana*		VU	B1ab(iii)
EN	B1ab(v)+2 ab(v)	Cliona celata*	Boring sponge; red boring sponge; sulfur sponge; yellow boring horny sponge; yellow boring sponge	VU	D2
EN	B2ab(v)	Corophium multisetosum		NT	B2b
EN	B2ab(i,ii,iii)	Boreotrophon truncatus		NT	B2ab(ii,iii)
EN	B2ab(i,ii,iii ,v)	Epitonium turtonis	Finely ribbed wentletrap; Turton's wentletrap	DD	
EN	B2ab(v)	Upogebia stellata		DD	
EN	B2ab	Talitrus saltator	Sand hopper	DD	
EN	B1ab(iii)+ 2ab(iii)	Hanleya hanleyi	Eastern hanleya; Hanley's chiton	LC	
VU	A2c	Modiolus modiolus	Horse mussel; horse- mussel; northern horsemussel	VU	A2c
VU	D2	Pelonaia corrugata*		VU	D2
VU	B1ab(iii,v) +2ab(iii,v)	Clelandella miliaris*		VU	B1ab(i,iii)
VU	B1ab(iii,v) +2ab(iii,v)	Hippolyte varians	Chamaeleon prawn; chameleon prawn	VU	B1ab(iii)
VU	D2	Parvicardium hauniense	Copenhagen cockle	VU	B2ab(ii,iii)
VU	D2	Atelecyclus rotundatus*	Circular crab; old mans face crab; old-man's face crab	VU	D2
VU	B1ab(iii,v) +2ab(iii,v)	Euspira pallida*	Pale moonsnail	VU	B1ab(iii)
VU	B2	Deshayesorchestia deshayesii		VU	B2ab(iii)
VU	A2	Macoma calcarea	Chalky macoma	VU	A2c
VU	A2	Nucula nucleus	Common nut clam; nuclear nut clam	VU	A2c
VU	A2	Scrobicularia plana	Peppery furrow clam; peppery furrow shell	VU	A2c
VU	B1	Solaster endeca	Purple sun star	VU	B1ab(iii)
VU	A2ac	Mya truncata	Blunt gaper; blunt gaper clam; clam; truncate	NT	A2c



			softshell; truncate		
			softshell clam		
VU	B2ab(III)	Amauropsis islandica	Iceland moonshall	NI	B2ab(II,III,IV)
VU	ab(v)	Corystes cassivelaunus*	Helmet crab; masked crab	IN I	D2
VU	B1ab(v)+2 ab(v)	Amphipholis squamata*	Brooding snake star; dwarf brittle star	DD	
VU	B1ab(iii,v) +2ab(iii,v)	Roxania utriculus*		DD	
VU	B1ab(iii)+ 2ab(iii)	Leptochiton alveolus*		LC	
VU	A2b	Nuculana pernula	Müller's nut clam; Müller's nutclam; northern nut clam; northern nutclam	LC	
VU	D2	Crenella decussata	Cross-sculpture crenella; decussate crenella	LC	
VU	B1ab(i,iii)	Alvania testae*		LC	
VU	A2ac	Calocaris macandreae*		LC	
VU	B1ab(iii)+ 2ab(iii)	Eurynome aspera*	Strawberry crab	LC	
VU	B1ab(ii,iii) +2ab(ii,iii)	Campylaspis costata		LC	
VU	D2	Diastylis cornuta*		LC	
VU	D2	Eurynome spinosa*		LC	
VU	B2ab(ii,iii)	Ophiura robusta		LC	
VU	B1ab(i,ii,iii)+2ab(i,ii,ii i)	Eupolymnia nesidensis*		LC	
VU	, B1ab(i)+2 ab(i)	Palliolum incomparabile*		LC	
VU	A1	Musculus niger	Black musculus; black mussel; little black mussel	LC	
VU	A2c	Pinnotheres pisum*		LC	
NT	B1ab(v)+2 ab(v)	Abra prismatica		VU	B1ab(iii)+2ab(iii)
NT	B1ab(v)+2 ab(v)	Epitonium clathrus	Common European wentletrap; common wentletrap; European wentletrap; false wentletrap	VU	B1ab(iii)
NT	B2b(v)	Sabella pavonina*	Peacock feather-duster worm; peacock worm	NT	B1ab(iii)
NT	B2	Alderia modesta		NT	B2a
NT	D2	Skeneopsis planorbis	Flat skenea; planorb skenea	DD	
NT	B1a+2a	Agrypnetes crassicornis		DD	
NT	B1ab(iii,v) +2ab(iii,v)	Geryon trispinosus*		DD	
NT	B1b(v)+2b (v)	Inachus phalangium*	Leach's spider crab; Mediterranean spider crab	DD	
NT	D2	Gammarellus angulosus		DD	
NT	D2	Palaemon varians		DD	
NT	A2bc; B2ab(ii,v)	Ampelisca macrocephala		LC	

NT	B1ab(i,ii,iii)+2ab(i,ii,ii	Ampelisca spinipes*		LC
NT	ı) B2ab(i,ii,iii	Ampelisca typica*		LC
NT) B2b(ii.iii.v)	Bvblis gaimardii*		IC
NT	D2	Calliopaea bellula		LC
NT	B1a+2a	Callochiton septemvalvis*	Sevenplated chiton; smooth European chiton	LC
NT	A2a	Crangon allmanni		LC
NT	A2bc; B2b(ii,v)	Nuculana minuta	Beaked nutclam; minute nutclam	LC
NT	B1ab(iii)+ 2ab(iii)	Panningia hyndmani		LC
NT	D2	Polycirrus norvegicus		LC
NT	B1ab(i,ii,iii)+2ab(i,ii,ii i)	Spatangus purpureus	Purple heart urchin; violet heart-urchin	LC
NT	A1d	Travisia forbesii		LC
NT	A1a (GER)	Astarte montagui		LC
NT	A1a (GER)	Arctica islandica	lceland cyprina; lcelandic cyprine; ocean quahog	LC
NT	B1b+B2b	Cuspidaria obesa*		LC
NT	B1b+B2b	Eualus pusiolus	Doll eualid; doll shrimp	LC
NT	B1b+B2b	Spirontocaris liljeborgii*	Friendly blade shrimp; friendly bladed shrimp	LC
NT	B2b(ii)	Tryphosa nana		LC
NI	B2b	Neoamphitrite affinis		
NI	B1b(III) + B2b(iii)	Patinella verrucaria^		NE
NI	810+820	Nucella lapillus*	Atlantic dog whelk; Atlantic dogwinkle; dog whelk; dogwhelk; northern dog whelk; northern dogwinkle	NA
NT	A1d	Ostrea edulis	Common oyster; edible oyster; European flat oyster; flat oyster	NA
DD		Ekmania barthii	Barth's sea cucumber	DD
DD		Lekanesphaera rugicauda		DD
DD		Limnoria lignorum	Gribble; wood gribble	DD
		Myosotella myosotis	Mouse-eared shall	
טט		Orchestia gammarettus	scud; common shore- skipper: shore-hopper	טט
DD		Thia scutellata	Polished crab; thumbnail crab	DD
DD		Eurydice pulchra	Speckled sea louse; speckled selouse	DD
DD		Cryptonatica affinis*	Arctic moonsnail; Artic moonsnail	DD
DD		Epitonium clathratulum*	Small wentletrap; white wentletrap	DD
DD		Ebala nitidissima		LC
DD		Eulima bilineata		LC
DD		Protohydra leuckarti		LC
טט		reachia cyundrica*		LC

17
•

DD	Gari tellinella*	LC
DD	Yoldiella lucida*	LC
DD	Gracilechinus acutus*	LC
DD	Harrimania kupfferi*	
חח	Lamellaria perspicua* Transr	arent lamellaria
	Emorginulo erosset	EC
UU	Emarginuta crassa · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	sut um	pet
DD	Iothia fulva* Tawny	limpet LC
DD	Ondina divisa* Divide	d pyramid snail LC
DD	Ondina perezi*	LC
DD	Raphitoma leufroyi*	LC
DD	Aetea truncata*	LC
DD	Parasmittina trispinosa*	LC
DD	Galathea strigosa* Spinou	us squad lobster; LC
	strigos	e squat lobster
DD	Diastylis boecki*	LC
DD	Hemilamprops assimilis*	IC
DD	Ephesiella abyssorum*	
חח	Hvalinoecia tubicola* Bristle	worm IC
סס	Perinereis cultrifere* Marine	radworm: radworm
	Sabella spallanzanii*	chagworm;
00	Sabella Spallanzanni Europe	rm: footbor dustor
	Taliwo	
	worm;	Teather-duster
	worm;	Mediterranean
	fanwoi	rm
DD	Onchnesoma steenstrupii	LC
	steenstrupii*	
DD	Kellia suborbicularis*	LC
DD	Montacuta substriata* Substr	iated montacutid LC
DD	Kelliella miliaris*	LC
DD	Antedon petasus*	LC
DD	Curtitoma trevelliana* Small	arrow cone LC
DD	Melanella sinuosa*	LC
DD	Omalogyra atomus* Aaom	snail LC
DD	Margarites helicinus* Helicir	na margarite; pearly LC
	top sh	ell: pearly topsnail:
	smoot	h margarite: spiral
	marga	rite
חח	Smittina hella	
חח	Phaeostachys spinifera*	
סס	Alevonidium albidum	
	Amphiblostrum flomingii	
	Amphiblestrum termingin Membropiperelle pitide*	
	Escharella ventricosa	LC
DD	Aquiloniella scabra	LC
DD	Crisularia purpurotincta	LC
DD	Cradoscrupocellaria	LC
	reptans	
DD	Scruparia chelata*	LC
DD	Scruparia ambigua*	LC
DD	Psolus squamatus* Psolus	squamatus* LC
DD	Oerstedia similiformis*	LC
DD	Calycella syringa Creep	ing bell hydroid LC
DD	Astacilla intermedia	LC
DD	Leucothoe incisa	LC
DD	Leptomysis gracilis*	LC



DD	Microdeutopus versiculatus*	LC
DD	Monoculopsis longicornis*	LC
	Anhorusa avalinas	
סט	Epimeria (Epimeria)	LC
	cornigera	
חח	Centraloecetes kroveranus	10
	E a the a set	
סט	Erythrops	LC
	erythrophthalmus*	
חח	Fruthrons serratus*	IC
	Ciahan antanan hilin aatum	
טט	Siphonenteron bilineatum	LC
DD	Capitella giardi*	LC
DD	Nicomache (Loxochona)	LC
	trispipato*	
	uispinata	
DD	Nicomache (Nicomache)	LC
	minor	
חח	Magalona filiformis	10
	Augerona naronnis	
סט	Ophelina abranchiata*	LC
DD	Cirriformia tentaculata	LC
DD	Dasybranchus caducus*	LC
	Onhalina madaata	
טט	Ophelina modesta	LC
DD	Parougia eliasoni*	LC
DD	Hauchiella tribullata*	LC
חח	Discocelides langi*	
	Discocentes langi	10
סט	Onchnesoma squamatum*	LC
DD	Nephasoma (Nephasoma)	LC
	minutum*	
חח	Phasaalian (laamua)	10
00	Filascolion (isolnya)	LC
	tuberculosum*	
DD	Entalophoroecia deflexa*	LC
חח	Tubulipora lohifera	IC
טט	Grisidia cornuta	LC
DD	Crisiella producta	LC
DD	Crisia calvptostoma*	LC
חח	Tubulipora plumosa*	
סט	Phoronis pallida	LC
DD	Nephasoma (Nephasoma)	LC
	abvssorum abvssorum*	
סס	Sobizomovollo	10
UU	Schizomavella	LC
	(Schizomavella) linearis	
DD	Amathia imbricata	NE
סס	Metopa rubrovittata	NF
DD		
סט	Glathrina blanca*	NE
DD	Lumbriclymene	NE
	cvlindricauda*	
חח	Malmorenia mcintoshi*	
DD	Stomacrustula sinuosa*	NE
DD	Janua heterostropha	NE
DD	Chirimia biceps biceps	NF
	Malmarania androanalis*	NE
סט	Callopora rylandi	NA
DD	Ericthonius brasiliensis	NA
DD	Fricthonius rubricornis*	NA
DD		
00	Pseudomysudes limbata	NA
DD	Sertularella polyzonias Great tooth hydroid	NA
DD	Sertularella tenella	NA
חח	Schizotricha frutescens	NA
טט	Campanularia nincksii	NA



DD	Halecium beanii		NA	
	Halonteris catharina		NA	
סס	Halecium muricatum	See hedgebog hydroid	ΝΔ	
	l aomadaa noglaata			
	Parapieusies bicuspis		NA	
DD	Imetonyx cicada*		NA	
DD	Idotea neglecta		NA	
DD	Gammaropsis palmata		NA	
DD	Prosphaerosyllis tetralix		NA	
DD	Hubrechtella dubia		NA	
DD	Circeis spirillum		NA	
DD	Bushiella (Jugaria) granulata		NA	
DD	Scoletoma impatiens		NA	
	Eusyllis blomstrandi*		NA	
חח	Drilopereis filum		NA	
	Crupto polidos lovonit			
	Cryptocettaes tovenin	Describer of the second second	NA	
DD	Modiolula phaseolina*	Bean horse mussel; bean	NA	
		mussel		
LC	Inachus dorsettensis	Scorpion spider crab	NT	B1ab(iii)
LC	Gammarus inaequicauda		DD	
LC	Macroplea pubipennis		DD	
LC	Pleurogonium rubicundum		DD	
LC	Pandalus borealis	Deep-water prawn;	LC	
		northern pink shrimp:		
		northern prawn: northern		
		prawn/shrimp: northern		
		shrimp: nink shrimp		
	Alouanium disitatum	Deed ments fingeres deed		
LC	Alcyonium aigitatum	Dead man's ingers; dead	LC	
		man's fingers soft coral;		
		dead-man's fingers; sea-		
		fingers		
LC	Edwardsia danica		LC	
LC	Edwardsia longicornis		LC	
LC	Metridium senile		LC	
LC	Swiftia rosea		LC	
LC	Ciona intestinalis	Sea vase: vellow sea	LC	
		squirt		
10	Dendrodoa grossularia	Baked bean ascidian:	10	
20	Donarouou groooutunu	baked been assidian	20	
10	Malgula aitrina			
	Molgula chima	Sea grapes		
	Molgula occulta			
LC	Abra alba		LC	
LC	Cerastoderma edule	Common cockle;	LC	
		common edible cockle;		
		common European		
		cockle; edible cockle		
LC	Spisula elliptica	Elliptic trough shell;	LC	
		elliptical surfclam;		
		elliptical trough shell		
LC	Abra nitida		LC	
IC	Barnea candida			
	Cerestoderma daucum	Brackish cocklet lagoon		
10	Gerasiouenna glaucum		10	
		COCKIE; OIIVE green COCKIE		
	Brissopsis lyrifera	Heart urchin		
LC	Echinocardium cordatum	Heart-urchin; sea-potato	LC	
LC	Echinocardium flavescens		LC	
LC	Einhornia crustulenta		LC	

LC

Broad-leaved horn wrack;

	broad-leaved hornwrack;	
	greater horn wrack;	
	greater hornwrack;	
	hornwrack	
Balanus crenatus	An acorn barnacle;	LC
	crenate barnacle;	
	wrinkled barnacle	
Balanus balanus		LC
Semibalanus balanoides	Acorn barnacle; barnacle;	LC
	common rock barnacle;	
	northern rock barnacle	
Dynamena pumila	Garland hydroid; minute	LC
	garland hydroid; minute	
	hydroid; sea oak	
Kirchenpaueria pinnata*	Fine feather-hydroid;	LC
	plumed hydroid	
Laomedea flexuosa	Seabells	LC
Opercularella lacerata		LC
Abietinaria abietina		LC
Clytia hemisphaerica		LC
Corymorpha nutans	Nodding hydroid	LC
Gonothyraea loveni		LC
Hartlaubella gelatinosa		LC
Hydractinia echinata	Hermit crab fur; hermit	LC
	crab hydroid; rough	
	hydroid; snail fur; snailfur	
Obelia longissima	Hydroid	LC
Sertularia cupressina	Sea cypress; sea cypress	LC
	hydroid; squirrel's-tail	
	hydroid; white weed;	
	whiteweed; whiteweed	
	hydroid	
Corophium volutator	European mud scud; mud	LC
	dwelling amphipod; mud	
	shrimp	
Gammarus duebeni		LC
Gammarus locusta	Common intertidal	LC
	amphipod; locust	
	amphipod	
Apocorophium lacustre		LC
Bathyporeia elegans		LC
Bathyporeia pilosa		LC
Bathyporeia sarsi		LC
Crangon crangon	Brown shrimp; common	LC
	European shrimp;	
	common shrimp	
Crassicorophium bonellii		LC
Crassicorophium		LC
crassicorne		
Gammarus oceanicus	Oceanic scud	LC
Gammarus salinus	Gammarid shrimp	LC
Gammarus zaddachi		LC
Medicorophium affine		LC
Monocorophium insidiosum		LC
Monoporeia affinis		LC

Tanaissus lilljeborgi

Flustra foliacea

LC

LC

LC LC

LC

LC

LC LC LC LC LC LC LC

LC LC

LC

LC LC

LC LC LC LC

LC LC

LC LC LC LC LC

LC

LC

LC	Amphiura chiajei		LC
LC	Amphiura filiformis		LC
LC	Hediste diversicolor	Estuary ragworm; harbour rag; harbour ragworm;	LC
		ragworm	
LC	Lagis koreni	Trumpet worm	LC
LC	Arenicola marina		LC
LC	Capitella capitata	Gallery worm	LC
LC	Pygospio elegans	Pygospio worm	LC
LC	Streptosyllis websteri		LC
LC	Halcampa chrysanthellum		LC
LC	Sagartiogeton undatus	Small snakelocks	LC
IC	Urticina felina	Dahlia anemone: dahlia	IC
		sea anemone: feline	
		dahlia anemone: feline	
		sea dablia	
10	Sagartiogeton viduatus	Felgrass anemone	IC
	Sarcodictyon roseum		
	Ascidia virginea*		
	Fugura araposa*		
	Stypia arenosa		
	Styela conacea		
	Ascidia conclinega		
	Ascidialla apparaa		
	Ascidiella aspersa		
	Asciuleita scapia		
	Botryllus schlosseri*		
	Corella parallelogramma*	Gas mantle ascidian	
	Polyclinum aurantium	0	LC
	Crossaster papposus	Common sun star	LC
	Asterias rubens		
	Astropecteri irregularis		
LC	Leptasterias (Leptasterias) muelleri*		LC
LC	Leptasterias danica*		LC
LC	Marthasterias glacialis*	Spiny starfish	LC
LC	Astarte sulcata*	Sulcate astarte	LC
LC	Hiatella arctica	Arctic hiatella; Arctic rock	LC
		borer; Arctic saxicave; red	
		nose; red-nose clam;	
		wrinkled rock borer;	
		wrinkled rockborer	
LC	Limaria loscombi*		LC
LC	Lucinoma borealis	Northern lucina; northern	LC
		lucine	
LC	Mimachlamys varia	Variegated scallop	LC
LC	Nucula sulcata*	Furrowed nutclam;	LC
		sulcate nut clam	
LC	Palliolum striatum	Striate scallop	LC
LC	Parvicardium pinnulatum	Oval cockle	LC
LC	Saxicavella ieffrevsi		LC
LC	Spisula subtruncata	Cut surfclam: cut trough	LC
		shell; subtruncate surf	
		clam	

LC	Tellimya ferruginosa	Rusty Montagu shell	LC
LC	Acanthocardia echinata*		LC
LC	Aequipecten opercularis		LC
LC	Astarte elliptica		LC
LC	Clausinella fasciata*	Banded venus	LC
LC	Cochlodesma praetenue	European spoon clam	LC
LC	Corbula gibba	Basket shell; common	LC
	_	basket clam; common	
		corbula	
LC	Cuspidaria cuspidata*		LC
LC	Dosinia exoleta*	Raved artemis: raved	LC
		dosinia	
LC	Dosinia lupinus*	Smooth artemis: smooth	LC
		dosinia	
LC	Ennucula tenuis	Smooth nutclam	LC
	Gari fervensis*	Faroe sunset shell: Faroe	LC
20	Carrieroneie	sunsetclam	20
IC	Kurtiella bidentata	Two-toothed Montagu	IC
20		shell	20
IC	Laevicardium crassum*	Norway cockle:	IC
20	Laoviourdiann oradounn	Norwegian cockle	20
10	Musculus discors	Discordant mussel: green	IC
20		crenella	20
10	Mysia undata	Gronoud	IC
	Mytilus edulis	Bay mussel: blue mussel:	
LU		common blue mussel	20
		common mussel: edible	
		blue mussel	
	Mytilus trossulus	Foolish mussel: northern	10
20	Fiythus trossulus	hav mussel: Pacific blue	LU
		mussel	
	Nucula nitidosa	Shiny nut clam: shiny	10
LO	Nucuta miluosa	nutclam	LU
IC	Palliolum tigerinum	Tiger scallon	IC
	Parvicardium minimum*	ngor courrep	
	Parvicardium scabrum*		
	Phaxas pellucidus	Bazor shell: transparent	
20		razor shell	20
IC	Pododesmus patelliformis		IC
	Pseudamussium peslutrae	Seven-raved scallop	LC
	Tellimva tenella*		LC
	Thracia convexa*		LC
	Thracia phaseolina	Kidneybean thracia: paper	
20	in acta phaceound	thracia	20
IC	Thracia villosiuscula*		LC
	Thyasira flexuosa	Flexuose cleftclam: wavv	LC
	,	hatchetclam: wavv	
		hatchet-shell	
IC	Timoclea ovata*	Oval venus	IC
	Zirfaea crispata	Atlantic great piddock:	
		great piddock: oval	
		piddock	
	Chaetoderma nitidulum	Glistenworm	IC
	Clitellio arenarius		
	Enchytraeus albidus	White potworm:	
		whiteworm	
LC	Lumbricillus arenarius		LC

LC	Lumbricillus helgolandicus		LC
LC	l umbricillus lineatus		LC
	Marionina spicula		IC
	Tubificoides beterochaetus		
	Paranais litoralis		
	Thatassounius prostatus		
LC	Tubificoides amplivasatus		LC
LC	Tubificoides benedii		LC
LC	Novocrania anomala*		LC
LC	Chalinula limbata		LC
LC	Haliclona (Haliclona)	Mermaid's glove	LC
	oculata	Merinald 3 glove	
LC	Suberites ficus	Fig sponge; orange fig	LC
		horny sponge; sea orange	
LC	Echinocyamus pusillus	Broad beau of sea; green	LC
	5	sea urchin: green urchin	
IC	Echinus esculentus*	Edible sea urchin:	IC
20		European edible sea	20
		urchin	
	Doommoohinuo miliorio		
	Psanniechnus milans		
LC	Strongytocentrolus	Green sea urchin,	LC
	droebachiensis*	northern sea urchin; sea	
		egg	
LC	Armina loveni*		LC
LC	Bela nebula*	Nebular needle conch	LC
LC	Bittium reticulatum	Needle shell; needle	LC
		whelk	
LC	Cadlina laevis	White Atlantic cadlina;	LC
		white sea slug	
LC	Capulus ungaricus	Bonnet shell; capsnail;	LC
		fool's cap; fools capsnail;	
		Hungarian cap shell	
LC	Crisilla semistriata	5	LC
LC	Euspira catena		LC
LC	Facelina bostoniensis	Boston facelina:	LC
		Drummond's facelina:	
		facelina	
10	Hvalavitrea	Translucent byala:	10
10	l lyata viti ea	translucent nyata,	LO
	Lanata aggast	Northorn blind limnot	
LC	Littorina obtusata	Flat periwinkle; northern	LC
		yellow periwinkle; yellow	
		periwinkle	
LC	Marshallora adversa	Reversed needle-whelk	LC
LC	Onchidoris muricata	Rough doris	LC
LC	Onoba semicostata	Semi-ribbed spire snail	LC
LC	Retusa truncatula	Truncate barrel-bubble	LC
LC	Tectura virginea	White tortoiseshell limpet	LC
LC	Acanthodoris pilosa		LC
LC	Aclis minor*		LC
LC	Aclis walleri*		LC
LC	Acteon tornatilis		LC
LC	Adalaria proxima		LC
IC	Aeolidia papillosa		IC
	Ancula gibhosa		
	Aprilio a punciala Aportosis pospologoni		
LU	Aponnais pespelecani		LU


LC LC	Cylichna cylindracea Dendronotus frondosus	Cylindrical barrel-bubble Bushy-backed	LC LC
		nudibranch; bushy- backed sea slug; frond	
		eolis: frond-aeolis	
LC	Diaphana minuta	Arctic paperbubble: Arctic	LC
		paper-bubble; brown	
		paper-bubble; weak	
		paper-bubble	
LC	Doris pseudoargus*	Sea lemon	LC
LC	Ecrobia ventrosa	Hanging mud snail; spine snail	LC
LC	Emarginula fissura*	Slit limpet	LC
LC	Epitonium trevelyanum*		LC
LC	Euspira montagui	Unspotted moonsnail	LC
LC	Lacuna vincta	Banded chink shell; banded chink snail;	LC
	Line pontio conitato	northern lacuna	10
LC	Limapontia capitata	Broad-neaded lanceolate	LC
IC	l ittorina littorea	Common periwinkle:	IC
20		common winkle: edible	
		winkle; periwinkle	
LC	Littorina saxatilis	Black-lined periwinkle;	LC
		rough periwinkle	
LC	Mangelia attenuata*		LC
LC	Mangelia costata*		LC
LC	Melanella lubrica*	Smooth urchin-snail	LC
LC	Neptunea antiqua	Ancient neptune; ancient	LC
		whelk: buckie: common	
		spindle snail: neptune	
		snail; red whelk	
LC	Onoba aculeus	Pointed cingula	LC
LC	Philine aperta	European paperbubble;	LC
		open-shelled	
		paperbubble; paper- bubble	
LC	Polycera quadrilineata	Fourline nudibranch; four- striped polycera	LC
LC	Retusa obtusa	Arctic barrel-bubble; pearl	LC
		bubble	
LC	Rissoa membranacea	Thick-lipped risso snail; thicklipped spire shell	LC
LC	Rissoa parva	Tiny risso snail	LC
LC	Rissoa violacea	Violet risso; violet risso	LC
		snail	
	Tritonia plebeia Vitroplino philippi		LC
	Vitreouna philippi Alexonidium birsutum		
	Alcyonidium polyoum		
LC	Bicellariella ciliata*	Furry bryozoan	LC
LC	Palmiskenea skenei	· , · ,	LC
LC	Scrupocellaria scruposa*	Scrupocellaria	LC
LC	Securiflustra securifrons*	Narrow-leaved hornwrack	LC
LC	Alcyonidium diaphanum		LC
LC	Alcyonidium gelatinosum		LC

LC	Alcyonidium parasiticum		LC
IC	Alderina imbellis*		LC
	Amathia gracilis		
	Callopora dumerilii*		
	Cononoum ratioulum	An operating brazes	
	Conopeum reliculum	All eliciusting bryozoan	
LC	Conopeum seurati		LC
LC	Cryptosula pallasiana*	Red crust	LC
LC	Electra pilosa	Hairy sea-mat	LC
LC	Escharella immersa		LC
LC	Eucratea loricata	Paired bryozoan	LC
LC	Farrella repens		LC
LC	Membranipora	Lacy crust bryozoan; sea	LC
	membranacea	mat; sea-mat	
LC	Microporella ciliata		LC
LC	Penetrantia concharum*		LC
IC	Porella concinna		IC
	Retenorella beaniana*		
	Smittoidea reticulata		
	Togollo unicornis		
LC	Scalpellum scalpellum*	Velvet goose barnacle	LC
LC	Leptopentacta elongata		LC
LC	Labidoplax buskii*		LC
LC	Ocnus lacteus*		LC
LC	Psolus phantapus*	Fuzzy sea cucumber	LC
LC	Thyone fusus*		LC
LC	Nipponnemertes pulchra		LC
LC	Cyanophthalma obscura		LC
LC	Malacobdella grossa	Leech nemertean	LC
LC	Branchiostoma lanceolatum		LC
LC	Ampithoe rubricata		LC
IC	Anapagurus laevis	Yellow hermit crab	LC
	Athanas nitescens	Hooded shrimp	IC
	Bathymedon longimanus	needed en inp	
	Devemine spinose		
	Ebolio tumofooto*	Prioro put crobi Priorio	
LC	EDalla lumeracia	Biyers nut crab, biyers	LC
	Fundame U.a. and a main sta	nutcrab	
	Eudorella truncatula		
LC	Eudorellopsis deformis		LC
LC	Hardametopa nasuta		LC
LC	Harpinia antennaria		LC
LC	Heterotanais oerstedii		LC
LC	Lembos websteri		LC
LC	Macropodia rostrata	Long legged spider crab;	LC
		long-legged spider crab	
LC	Mesopodopsis slabberi		LC
LC	Metopa pusilla		LC
LC	Munna minuta		LC
LC	Mysis mixta		LC
LC	Nephrops norvegicus	Nephrops norvegicus	LC
LC	Pagurus cuanensis*	Woolly hermit crab	LC
	Pontocrates arenarius		
	Pontonoreia femorata		
	Protomedeja fasciata		
	Saduria entomon		
LU			20



LC	Schistomysis ornata		LC
LC	Acidostoma obesum		LC
LC	Ampelisca brevicornis		LC
LC	Ampelisca tenuicornis		LC
LC	Amphilochoides serratipes		LC
LC	Anapagurus chiroacanthus*		LC
LC	Apherusa bispinosa		LC
LC	Argissa hamatipes		LC
LC	Arrhis phyllonyx*		LC
LC	Autonoe longipes		LC
LC	Bodotria scorpioides*		LC
LC	Callianassa subterranea	Burrowing mud shrimp	LC
LC	Calliopius laeviusculus		LC
LC	Cancer pagurus	Edible crab; European	LC
		edible crab	
LC	Caprella linearis	Ghost shrimp; linear	LC
		skeleton shrimp	
LC	Caprella septentrionalis		LC
LC	Carcinus maenas	crab	LC
LC	Cheirocratus assimilis		LC
LC	Cheirocratus intermedius		LC
LC	Cyathura carinata		LC
LC	Diastylis bradyi		LC
LC	Diastylis laevis		LC
LC	Ebalia cranchii*	Cranch's nut crab	LC
LC	Eriopisa elongata*		LC
LC	Erythrops elegans		LC
LC	Galathea intermedia*		LC
LC	Galathea squamifera*	Black squat lobster;	LC
		Leach's squat lobster	
LC	Gammarellus homari		LC
LC	Gastrosaccus spinifer		LC
LC	Harpinia serrata		LC
LC	Hippomedon denticulatus		LC
LC	Hyas araneus	Atlantic lyre crab; great	LC
		spider crab; toad crab	
LC	Hyperia galba	Big-eye amphipod	LC
LC	Idotea balthica	Baltic isopod; Baltic sea	LC
		"centipede"	
LC	Idotea chelipes	Clawfooted marine isopod	LC
LC	Idotea granulosa	Granular marine isopod	LC
LC	Iphimedia obesa		LC
LC	Jaera (Jaera) albifrons		LC
LC	Jassa falcata	Mottled tube-maker	LC
LC	Jassa herdmani		LC
LC	Jassa pusilla		LC
LC	Lamprops fasciatus*		LC
LC	Lekanesphaera hookeri		LC
LC	Leptocheirus hirsutimanus		LC
LC	Leptocheirus pilosus		LC
LC	Liocarcinus depurator	Blue-leg swimcrab; blue-	LC
		leg swimming-crab;	
		harbour crab; harbour	
		swimming crab; sandy	
	<i>,</i>	swimming crab	
LC	Liocarcinus holsatus	Common swimming crab;	LC
		flying crab; flying	

		1	1
	7		

		swimming crab;	
		swimming crab	
LC	Liocarcinus navigator*	Arch-fronted swimming	LC
		crab	
LC	Liocarcinus pusillus*	Dwarf swimming crab	LC
LC	Lithodes maja*	Devil's crab; northern	LC
		stone crab; Norway crab;	
		Norway king crab; prickly	
		crab; stone king crab	
LC	Megamphopus cornutus	_	LC
LC	Melita palmata		LC
LC	Microdeutopus gryllotalpa	Tube builder	LC
LC	Mysis oculata		LC
LC	Mysis relicta	Common Northern	LC
		European opossum	
		shrimp; opossum shrimp	
LC	Natatolana borealis		LC
LC	Neomysis integer		LC
LC	Pagurus bernhardus	Bernhard's hermit crab;	LC
	-	common hermit crab;	
		large hermit crab; soldier	
		crab; soldier hermit crab	
LC	Pagurus pubescens*	Downy hermit crab	LC
LC	Palaemon adspersus	Baltic prawn	LC
LC	Palaemon serratus*	Common prawn	LC
LC	Pandalina brevirostris		LC
LC	Pandalus montagui	Aesop prawn; Aesop	LC
		shrimp; pink shrimp	
LC	Pariambus typicus		LC
LC	Perioculodes longimanus		LC
LC	Philocheras bispinosus		LC
LC	Photis longicaudata		LC
LC	Phtisica marina	Least skeleton shrimp	LC
LC	Pisidia longicornis*	Common porcelain crab;	LC
		long clawed porcelain	
		crab; long-clawed	
		porcelain crab; minute	
		porcelain crab; very hairy	
		crab	
LC	Pontocrates altamarinus		LC
LC	Praunus flexuosus	Bent mysid shrimp;	LC
		chameleon shrimp	
LC	Praunus inermis		LC
LC	Praunus neglectus		LC
LC	Processa canaliculata*		LC
LC	Processa nouveli holthuisi		LC
LC	Schistomysis spiritus	Ghost shrimp	LC
LC	Scopelocheirus hopei		LC
LC	Tryphosites longipes*		LC
LC	Upogebia deltaura*		LC
LC	Urothoe poseidonis		LC
LC	Xantho pilipes	Risso's crab	LC
LC	Ophiocten affinis		LC
LC	Ophiopholis aculeata	Crevice brittlestar	LC
LC	Ophiothrix fragilis	Common brittlestar	LC
LC	Ophiura albida	Brittlestar; Serpent's table	LC
		brittlestar	

LC	Ophiocomina nigra*	Black brittle star; black	LC
		serpent star	
LC	Ophiura ophiura	Serpent star	LC
LC	Ophiura sarsii		LC
LC	Tubulanus annulatus	Football Jersey worm	LC
LC	Tubulanus polymorphus		LC
LC	Lineus ruber	Red bootlace; red ribbon	LC
10	Micrura baltica	Wolfin	10
	Micrura fasciolata		
	Sphaerodoropsis baltica		
	Ampharete haltica		
	Anbrodita aculeata	Sea mouse	
	Artacama proboscidea		
	Eteone longe	Paddleworm	
	Eulalia hilineata	1 dddcwollin	
	Euraida biancata Euraida babusiensis		
	Eumida ockelmanni*		
	Eumida punctifera*		
	Eumida sanguinea		
	Galathowenia oculata		
	Goniada maculata		
	Harmothoe impar		
	l enidonotus squamatus	Twelve-scaled worm	
	Nenhtys ciliata		
	Nephtys hombergii	Catworm	
	Nicolea zostericola		LC
	Notomastus latericeus		LC
IC	Paraonis fulgens		LC
LC	Petaloproctus tenuis		LC
LC	Pista cristata		LC
LC	Platynereis dumerilii	Comb-toothed nereid;	LC
		Dumeril's clam worm	
LC	Poecilochaetus serpens		LC
LC	Polydora cornuta		LC
LC	Proceraea cornuta		LC
LC	Pseudopolydora		LC
	paucibranchiata		
LC	Rhodine loveni		LC
LC	Scolelepis (Scolelepis)		LC
	foliosa		
LC	Scolelepis (Scolelepis)		LC
	squamata		
LC	Spirobranchus triqueter		LC
LC	Thelepus cincinnatus		LC
LC	Aglaophamus agilis*		LC
LC	Ampharete acutifrons		LC
LC	Ampharete falcata		LC
LC	Ampharete finmarchica		LC
LC	Amphicteis gunneri		LC
LC	Amphitrite cirrata		LC
LC	Anobothrus gracilis		LC
LC	Apistobranchus tullbergi		LC
	Aricidea (Acmira) cerrutii		LC
LC	Brada villosa		LC
	Bylgides sarsi		LC
LC	Diplocirrus glaucus		LC

LC	Dipolydora caulleryi	LC
LC	Dipolvdora coeca	LC
10	Dipolydora quadrilobata	
	Enperguera quadritobata	
		LC
LC	Enipo kinbergi	LC
LC	Eteone barbata	LC
LC	Eteone flava	LC
IC	Euchone analis*	IC
	Euchone papillaga	
LC	Eucnone rubrocincta*	LC
LC	Eulalia viridis Green paddle worm; green	LC
	worm; greenleaf worm;	
	green-leaf worm	
IC	Funolymnia nebulosa Strawberry worm	IC
	Esprisia stallaris	
LC	Fabriciola baltica	LC
LC	Flabelligera affinis	LC
LC	Gattyana amondseni	LC
LC	Gattyana cirrhosa	LC
IC	Glycera alba	IC
	Chycera capitata	
LC	Harmothoe Impricata Common fifteen-scaled	LC
	worm	
LC	Heteromastus filiformis Capitellid thread worm;	LC
	capitellid threadworm; red	
	thread worm	
10	Lanassa venusta*	IC
	Lanias conchilera	
10	Lanice concluega Saliu mason, saliu mason	10
	worm	
LC	Levinsenia gracilis	LC
LC	Lysilla loveni	LC
LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina	LC LC
LC LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm	LC LC LC
LC LC LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata	LC LC LC LC
	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans	LC LC LC LC
	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus accalkowii	LC LC LC LC LC
LC LC LC LC LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii	LC LC LC LC LC LC
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm Nephtys incisa	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC
	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente Nereimyra punctata	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente Nereimyra punctata Nereis pelagica Pelagic clam worm;	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente Nereimyra punctata Nereis pelagica Pelagic clam worm; slender ragworm	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente Nereimyra punctata Nereis pelagica Pelagic clam worm; slender ragworm	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente Nereimyra punctata Nereis pelagica Pelagic clam worm; slender ragworm Nicomache lumbricalis Onbelia limacina Hamlet's ophelia worm;	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente Nereimyra punctata Nereis pelagica Nereis pelagica Nicomache lumbricalis Ophelia limacina Mentys ophelia worm; annil anhaliid	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm Nephtys incisa Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente Nereimyra punctata Nereis pelagica Pelagic clam worm; slender ragworm Nicomache lumbricalis Ophelia limacina Hamlet's ophelia worm; snail opheliid	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveniManayunkia aestuarinaMediomastus fragilisBristlewormMelinna cristataMicrophthalmus aberransMicrophthalmus sczelkowiiMyrianida proliferaNeoamphitrite figulusNephtys assimilisNephtys caecaWhite catwormNephtys cirrosaWhite catwormNephtys longosetosaNephtys penteNereimyra punctataPelagic clam worm; slender ragwormNicomache lumbricalisHamlet's ophelia worm; snail opheliidOphelia rathkei	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveniManayunkia aestuarinaMediomastus fragilisBristlewormMelinna cristataMicrophthalmus aberransMicrophthalmus sczelkowiiMyrianida proliferaMeoamphitrite figulusNephtys assimilisNephtys caecaWhite catwormNephtys cirrosaWhite catwormNephtys incisaNephtys longosetosaNereis pelagicaPelagic clam worm; slender ragwormNicomache lumbricalisHamlet's ophelia worm; snail opheliidOphelia rathkeiOwenia fusiformisTubewormTubeworm	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveniManayunkia aestuarinaMediomastus fragilisBristlewormMelinna cristataMicrophthalmus aberransMicrophthalmus sczelkowiiMyrianida proliferaNeoamphitrite figulusNephtys assimilisNephtys caecaWhite catwormNephtys cirrosaWhite catwormNephtys longosetosaNephtys penteNereis pelagicaPelagic clam worm; slender ragwormNicomache lumbricalisDphelia limacinaOphelia rathkeiOwenia fusiformisOwenia fusiformisTubeworm	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa Nephtys cirrosa Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente Nereimyra punctata Nereis pelagica Nereis pelagica Nereis pelagica Nereis pelagica Nereis pelagica Dphelia limacina Manlet's ophelia worm; snail opheliid Ophelia rathkei Owenia fusiformis Paradoneis eliasoni Paradoneis lyra	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Bristleworm Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa White catworm Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente Nereis pelagica Pelagic clam worm; slender ragworm Nicomache lumbricalis Ophelia limacina Hamlet's ophelia worm; snail opheliid Ophelia rathkei Owenia fusiformis Tubeworm	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente Nereimyra punctata Nereis pelagica Nereis pelagica Pelagic clam worm; slender ragworm Nicomache lumbricalis Ophelia limacina Hamlet's ophelia worm; snail opheliid Ophelia rathkei Owenia fusiformis Paradoneis eliasoni Paradoneis eliasoni Paradoneis lyra Pherusa plumosa Pholoa assimilire	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L
	Lysilla loveni Manayunkia aestuarina Mediomastus fragilis Melinna cristata Microphthalmus aberrans Microphthalmus sczelkowii Myrianida prolifera Neoamphitrite figulus Nephtys assimilis Nephtys caeca Nephtys caeca Nephtys cirrosa Nephtys incisa Nephtys longosetosa Nephtys pente Nereimyra punctata Nereis pelagica Nereis pelagica Pelagic clam worm; slender ragworm Nicomache lumbricalis Ophelia limacina Hamlet's ophelia worm; snail opheliid Ophelia rathkei Owenia fusiformis Paradoneis eliasoni Paradoneis lyra Pholoe assimilis	LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC LC L

LC	Pholoe inornata		LC
LC	Phyllodoce maculata	Spotted leafworm;	LC
	Dhulladaaa muaaaa	spotted paddleworm	
	Phyliodoce mucosa		
	Phylopoprogious*		
	Pisione remota		
	Polycirrus plumosus		
	Polydora ciliata	Bristleworm: common	
20	r olydord onald	polydora worm	20
LC	Potamilla neglecta		LC
LC	Pseudopolydora antennata		LC
LC	Pseudopolydora pulchra		LC
LC	Rhodine gracilior		LC
LC	Scalibregma inflatum		LC
LC	Scolelepis bonnieri		LC
LC	Sigalion mathildae		LC
LC	Sosane sulcata*		LC
LC	Sphaerodorum gracilis		LC
LC	Sphaerosyllis hystrix		LC
LC	Spio goniocephala		LC
	Spio martinensis Spiophonos hombur	Roo opiopid	
	Spiophanes bornbyx	Bee spionia	
LC	corallingo		LC
10	Spirorbis (Spirorbis)		10
LU	spirorbis		LU
IC	Svilis cornuta		IC
	Trichobranchus roseus*		LC
LC	Trochochaeta multisetosa		LC
LC	Leptochiton asellus*	Coat-of-mail chiton; pill	LC
		chiton	
LC	Tonicella marmorea*	Lined red chiton; mottled	LC
		red chiton	
LC	Priapulus caudatus	Cactus worm; tailed	LC
		priapulid worm	
LC	Halicryptus spinulosus		LC
LC	Callipallene brevirostris		LC
LC	Nymphon brevirostre	Elegant sea spider	
		lyon, briozoon	
	Oncousoecia dilatans		
	Disporella hispida*		
LC	Plagioecia patina*		LC
LC	Tubulipora aperta		LC
LC	Tubulipora liliacea		LC
LC	Phoronis muelleri	Common elongated	LC
		phoronid; horseshoe	
		worm	
LC	Halichondria (Halichondria)	Breadcrumb sponge	LC
	panicea		
LC	Golfingia (Golfingia) vulgaris		LC
	vulgaris	North Concentration 1974	
10	Ceriantnus lloyali	North Sea tube growers	LC
	Hormathia digitata*	Maned sea anomono	
20	i i una i na ugitata		10

-	
-	

LC	Gonactinia prolifera*		LC
LC	Carvophvllia (Carvophvllia)		LC
	smithii		
10	Luidia sarsii*		
	Luiuia saisii Muoouluo oubriotuo	Marblad arapalla, marblad	
LC	Musculus subpictus	Marbled Crenetta, marbled	LC
		musculus; marbled	
		mussel; spotted mussel	
LC	Fabulina fabula	Bean-like tellin; semi-	LC
		striated tellin	
LC	Parathyasira equalis		LC
LC	Pecten maximus*	James' shell	LC
LC	Chamelea striatula	Chicken venus; striped	LC
		venus	
LC	Heteranomia squamula	Prickly jingle: smallest	LC
		saddle ovster	
10	Astarta baraalis	Boroal astarto	
	Asiane boreans	Doleat astalle	
		Bellylined gustenworm	
	Ophidonais serpentina		
LC	Nais etinguis		LC
LC	Psammoryctides albicola		LC
LC	Claparedrilus semifuscus		LC
LC	Baltidrilus costatus		LC
LC	Halisarca dujardinii	Dujardin's slime sponge;	LC
		soft horny sponge	
LC	Megastomia conoidea		LC
LC	Lacuna pallidula	Pale lacuna; pallid chink	LC
		shell; pallid chink snail;	
		pallid lacuna	
10	Lacuna narva	l east chink shell: least	IC
20	Edouria parva	chink snail: small chink	20
		anail: tipy loouno	
	Ducilling incomprises	Shall, the tacuna	
	Pusitina inconspicua	Spotted fisso	
LC	Theodoxus fluviatilis	Common freshwater	LC
		nerite; river herite; the	
		nerite	
LC	Buccinum undatum	Buckie; common northern	LC
		whelk; common whelk;	
		edible European whelk;	
		waved whelk; whelk	
LC	Akera bullata	Common bubble snail	LC
LC	Testudinalia testudinalis	Common tortoiseshell	LC
		limpet; plant limpet;	
		tortoiseshell limpet	
LC	Propebela turricula*	Small staircase cone;	LC
		turriculate lora	
10	Tenellia adspersa	l agoon sea slug.	IC
20		miniature apolis	20
10	Steromnhala tumida*	Pointed tonsnail	
	Derthening interstingte		
	Pusillina sarsii	A	
LC	Turritellinella tricarinata	Auger shell; common	LC
		screw shell; common	
		tower shell; common	
		turretsnail; European	
		turretsnail; great screw	
		shell	

LC LC	Colus jeffreysianus* Sorgenfreispira brachystoma*	Jeffrey's colus	LC LC
LC	Euspira nitida	Alder's necklace shell; Alder's necklace snail; common necklace shell;	LC
		Poli's necklace snail	
LC	Hermania scabra		LC
LC	Patella pellucida*		LC
LC	Peringia ulvae	Common mudflat snail; European mudsnail; laver spire shell	LC
LC	Tritia incrassata*	Angulate nassa; smooth western nassa; thick- lipped dog whelk	LC
LC	Tritia nitida*		LC
LC	Tritia reticulata	Netted dog whelk; netted dog whelk; netted	LC
LC	Tritonia hombergii*		LC
LC	Steromphala cineraria*		LC
	Paludicella articulata		
	Turbicellepora avicularis		
LC	Aetea anguina*		LC
LC	Aetea sica*		LC
LC	Celleporina decipiens		LC
LC	Escharella laqueata*		LC
LC	Escharina vulgaris*		LC
LC	Cellaria sinuosa		
	Cellana Institiosa Celleporella byalina		
	Callopora craticula*		
LC	Cribrilina punctata		LC
LC	Callopora lineata		LC
LC	Alcyonidium mamillatum		LC
LC	Verruca stroemia		LC
LC	Halecium halecinum	Herringbone hydroid; herring-bone hydroid	LC
LC	Elophila nymphaeata Maarankaa mutiaa	Brown China-mark	
	Potthastia longimanus		
LC	Nototropis swammerdamei		LC
LC	Nototropis vedlomensis		LC
LC	Hemimysis lamornae		LC
LC	Hyas coarctatus	Arctic lyre crab; contracted crab; lesser	LC
LC	Diastvlis rathkei		LC
LC	Philocheras bispinosus		LC
	bispinosus		
LC	Microprotopus maculatus		LC
LC	Amphilochoides boecki		LC
LC	Leptognathia breviremis*		LC
	Pseudomma affine		
	Gitana sarsi		
LC	Aora gracilis		LC



LC	Bathyporeia guilliamsoniana		LC
LC	Bathyporeia pelagica		LC
LC	Ampelisca diadema		LC
LC	Baeonectes muticus*		LC
LC	Dulichia falcata		LC
LC	Ischyrocerus megacheir*		LC
LC	Typhlotanais aequiremis*		LC
LC	Abludomelita obtusata		LC
LC	Cheirocratus sundevallii		LC
LC	Dyopedos monacanthus		LC
LC	Gammaropsis melanops		LC
LC	Gammaropsis nitida		LC
LC	Cephalothrix linearis		LC
LC	Tharyx killariensis		LC
LC	Cirratulus cirratus	Bristleworm; northern cirratule; redthreads	LC
LC	Chaetopterus variopedatus	Parchment tubeworm; parchment worm	LC
LC	Alitta succinea		LC
LC	Alitta virens		LC
LC	Exogone dispar		LC
LC	Thoracophelia flabellifera		LC
LC	Harmothoe borealis		LC
LC	Capitella minima		LC
LC	Exogone naidina		LC
LC	Laonome kroyeri		LC
LC	Harmothoe glabra		LC
LC	Parexogone hebes		LC
LC	Pennatula phosphorea*		LC
LC	Aricidea (Aricidea) minuta		LC
LC	Chaetozone setosa		LC
IC	Ceratocephale loveni		LC
LC	Pectinaria belgica		LC
LC	Scoloplos armiger	Armored bristleworm:	LC
		bristleworm	
LC	Streblospio shrubsolii		LC
LC	Hypereteone foliosa		LC
LC	Phyllodoce groenlandica		LC
LC	Terebellides stroemii		LC
LC	Boreochiton ruber		LC
LC	Lepidochitona cinerea	Cinereous chiton	LC
LC	Diplosolen obelium*		LC
LC	Pencilletta penicillata		LC
LC	Phascolion (Phascolion)		LC
	strombus strombus		
LC	Tritia pygmaea	Small dog whelk	LC
LC	Retusa umbilicata		NE
LC	Megamoera dentata		NE
LC	Prionospio multibranchiata		NE
LC	Malmgrenia lunulata*		NE
LC	Ampharete octocirrata*		NE
LC	Aphelochaeta mcintoshi		NE
LC	Exogone verugera		NE
LC	Chaetozone vivipara		NE
LC	Aurospio banyulensis		NE
LC	Malmgrenia ljungmani*		NE
LC	Neogyptis rosea*		NE

LC	Orbinia sertulata		NE
LC	Aricidea (Strelzovia) suecica		NE
LC	Macomangulus tenuis	Petal tellin; plain tellin;	NE
		thin tellin	
LC	Oxydromus flexuosus		NE
LC	Prionospio steenstrupi		NE
LC	Scoletoma fragilis		NE
LC	Lipobranchius jeffreysii		NE
LC	Podarkeopsis helgolandicus		NE
LC	Coryphella verrucosa		NE
LC	Prionospio dubia*		NE
LC	Chone filicaudata		NE
LC	Hydroides norvegica		NE
LC	Leucon (Leucon)		NE
	acutirostris*		
LC	Kroyera carinata*		NE
LC	Podocoryna carnea	Smoothspined snailfur	NE
LC	Campanulina pumila		NE
LC	Thyasira sarsii*		NE
LC	Venerupis corrugata	Corrugated venus; pullet	NE
		carpet shell; pullet	
		carpetclam; pullet venus	
LC	Leucosolenia botryoides	Orange pipe calcareous	NA
		sponge; orange pipe	
		sponge; organ-pipe	
		sponge	
LC	Hybocodon prolifer		NA
LC	Anomia ephippium	Saddle oyster; European	NA
		saddle oyster; European	
		jingle shell	
LC	Eudorella hirsuta*		NA
LC	Macoma balthica	Baltic macoma; Baltic	NA
	Cumanaia za adairt	tellin	NIA
			NA
	Urotnoe grimalali		NA
LC	Microdeutopus		NA
			N 1 A
	Jaera (Jaera) Ischloselosa		
	Jaera (Jaera) praemrsula	Din bood oquirty light hulb	
LC	Clavelina lepadilonnis	tunicato: light bulb	INA
		seequirt	
10	Paramysis (Mesomysis)	Julit	NΔ
20	intermedia		
10	Fiordia lineata*		NΔ
	Stepothoe marina		ΝΔ
	Ophiocten gracilis*		NΔ
	Inhinoe trispinosa		NA
	Fchinogammarus		NA
	finmarchicus*		
LC	Spio arndti		NA
LC	Euclymene droebachiensis*		NA
LC	Ampharete lindstroemi		NA
LC	Asbiornsenia nvømaea	Dwarf tellin	NA
LC	Psamathe fusca		NA
LC	Spiralinella spiralis*		NA
LC	Chone fauveli*		NA

LC	Streblosoma bairdi*		NA
	Si ebiospio benedicii Malacoceros vulgaris		
LC	Brachystomia scalaris	Mussel pyramid shell; mussel pyramidsnail; mussel slurper	NA
LC	Alcyonidioides mytili		NA
LC	Scolelepis (Parascolelepis) tridentata		NA
LC	Antalis entalis*	Common elephant tusk; North European elephant tusk	NA
LC	Tubulipora phalangea		NA
LC	Pistella lornensis*		NA



Annex 4 Seabird threat categories 2024

Download the Excel sheet (.XLSX) here. 🔮

Breeding birds

*28 bird species assessed both as breeding and wintering

Red List II 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
RE		Gelochelidon nilotica	Gull-billed tern	RE	
CR	D1	Charadrius alexandrinus	Kentish plover; snowy plover	CR	D1
CR	D1	Xenus cinereus	Terek sandpiper	EN	D1
CR	D1	Calidris alpina schinzii*	Dunlin (Short-billed)	EN	A2ace, C1
CR	A2ab	Philomachus pugnax	Ruff	VU	A2abcd
CR	A2abce	Somateria mollissima*	Common eider; eider; eider duck	VU	A2abe
CR	C1	Calidris temminckii	Temminck's stint	NT	A2a-c
CR	A2ace	Limosa limosa	Black-tailed godwit	NT	A2ac
EN	D1	Rissa tridactyla*	Black-legged kittiwake; kittiwake; kittiwake gull	EN	D1
EN	D1	Larus melanocephalus	Mediterranean gull	EN	D1
EN	C1; D1	Aythya marila*	Greater scaup; scaup	VU	A2bcd
EN	A2b	Arenaria interpres	Ruddy turnstone; turnstone	VU	A2abce + 3ce + 4abce
EN	A2a	Larus fuscus fuscus	Lesser black-backed gull	VU	A2abce
VU	A2b	Melanitta fusca*	Velvet scoter; white-winged scoter	VU	A2b
VU	A2a	Actitis hypoleucos	Common sandpiper	NT	A2ab
VU	A4	Vanellus vanellus	Lapwing; northern lapwing	NT	A2bc
VU	A2b	Aythya fuligula*	Tufted duck	NT	A2ab
VU	A2b	Larus canus*	Common gull; mew gull	LC	
VU	A2a	Larus marinus*	Great black-backed gull; greater black-backed gull	LC	
NT	D	Hydroprogne caspia	Caspian tern	VU	C1
NT	D1	Podiceps auritus*	Horned grebe; Slavonian grebe	VU	A2abce
NT		Tringa totanus*	Common redshank; redshank	NT	A2ac
NT	A2b	Mergus serrator*	Red-breasted merganser	LC	
NT		Riparia riparia	Sand martin	LC	
NT		Sterna sandvicensis	Sandwich tern	LC	
NT	D1	Sternula albifrons	Little tern	LC	
NT	A2acde	Larus argentatus*	European herring gull; herring gull	LC	
NT	A2b	Tadorna tadorna	Common shelduck; shelduck	LC	

27
14 C
•

DD	Anas acuta*	Northern pintail; pintail	NA	
DD	Anas crecca*	Teal	NA	
DD	Anas penelope*	Eurasian Wigeon; wigeon	NA	
LC	Charadrius hiaticula	plover	NT	
LC	Cepphus grylle*	Black guillemot	NT	A2a-c
LC	Phalacrocorax carbo*	Great reed wabler	LC	
LC	Podiceps cristatus*	Great crested grebe; great- crested grebe	LC	
LC	Recurvirostra	Avocet; Eurasian avocet;	LC	
	avosetta	pied avocet		
LC	Haematopus ostralegus	oystercatcher	LC	
LC	Larus ridibundus*	Black-headed gull; common black-headed gull	LC	
LC	Haliaeetus albicilla*	White-tailed eagle	LC	
LC	Anas	Mallard	LC	
	platyrhynchos*			
LC	Anas strepera*	Gadwall	LC	
LC	Anser anser	Greylag goose	LC	
LC	Anthus petrosus*	Eurasian rock pipit; rock	LC	
		pipit		
LC	Anthus pratensis	Meadow pipit	LC	
LC	Branta leucopsis*	Barnacle goose	LC	
LC	Bucephala clangula	Common goldeneye;	LC	
		goldeneye		
LC	Cygnus olor*	Mute swan	LC	
LC	Larus fuscus	Lesser Black-backed Gull	LC	
	intermedius	(intermedius)		
LC	Mergus merganser*	Common merganser;	LC	
		goosander		
LC	Motacilla alba	Pied wagtail; white wagtail	LC	
LC	Pandion haliaetus	Osprey; western osprey	LC	
LC	Stercorarius parasiticus	Arctic skua; parasitic jaeger	LC	
LC	Sterna hirundo	Common tern	LC	
LC	Sterna paradisaea	Arctic tern; Artic tern	LC	
LC	Hydrocoloeus minutus*	Little gull	NA	
LC	Fulica atra	Eurasian coot; coot;	NA	
		common coot		
LC	Cygnus cygnus*	Whooper swan	NA	

Wintering birds

*28 bird species assessed both as breeding and wintering

Red List II 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
CR	A2b	Polysticta stelleri	Steller's eider	EN	A1a, B2ab(ii,iv,v), C1,2a
EN	A2abce	Somateria mollissima*	Common eider; eider; eider duck	EN	A2b

EN	A2bd	Aythya ferina	Common pochard; pochard	LC	
EN	A2b	Fulica atra	Eurasian coot; coot; common coot	LC	
MI	40h	Clangula Hyamalia	Long-tailed duck;		10h
VU	AZU	Clangula nyemalis	oldsquaw	EIN	AZD
VU	A2b	Aythya fuligula*	Tufted duck	LC	
VU	A2acde	Larus argentatus*	European herring gull; herring gull	LC	
NT	A3e	Gavia stellata	Red-throated diver; red- throated loon	CR	A2b
NT	A2b	Gavia arctica	Black-throated loon	CR	A2b
NT	A2b	Mergus serrator*	Red-breasted merganser	VU	A2b
NT	A2b	Anas platvrhvnchos*	Mallard	LC	
NT	A2ab	Larus marinus*	Great black-backed gull; greater black-backed gull	LC	
NT	A4	Aythya marila*	Greater scaup; scaup	LC	
DD		Rissa tridactyla*	Black-legged kittiwake; kittiwake; kittiwake gull	VU	D2
DD		Hydrocoloeus minutus*	Little gull	NT	D2
DD		Alca torda torda	Razorbill	LC	
DD		Anthus petrosus*	Eurasian rock pipit; rock pipit	LC	
LC		Podiceps grisegena	Red-necked grebe	EN	A2b, C1
LC		Melanitta nigra	Common scoter; black scoter	EN	A2b
LC		Melanitta fusca*	Velvet scoter; white-	EN	A2b
LC		Cepphus grylle*	Black guillemot	VU	
LC		Branta bernicla hrota	Brant	NT	B1ab(iii), D2
LC		Podiceps auritus*	Horned grebe; Slavonian grebe	NT	D2
LC		Tringa totanus*	Common redshank; redshank	NT	A2ac
LC		Larus ridibundus*	Black-headed gull; common black-headed gull	LC	
LC		Anas crecca*	Teal	LC	
LC		Anas penelope*	Eurasian Wigeon; wigeon	LC	
LC		Cygnus cygnus*	Whooper swan	LC	
LC		Cygnus olor*	Mute swan	LC	
LC		Larus canus*	Common gull; mew gull	LC	
LC		Mergus	Common merganser;	LC	
		Inerganser" Anas acuta*	goosanuer Northern nintail: nintail		
		Anas strenera*	Gadwall		
		Branta leuconsis*	Barnacle goose		
		Calidris maritima	Purple sandpiper		
LC		Haliaeetus albicilla*	White-tailed eagle	LC	

Mergellus albellus

Smew

LC

Common pochard;

LC



LC	Phalacrocorax carbo*	Great reed wabler	LC	
LC	Podiceps cristatus*	Great crested grebe; great-crested grebe	LC	
LC	Bucephala clangula	Common goldeneye; goldeneye	LC	
LC	Alca torda islandica	Razorbill	NE	
LC	Calidris alpina	Dunlin	NA	
LC	Plectrophenax nivalis	Snow bunting	NA	



Annex 5 Marine mammal threat categories 2024

Download the Excel sheet (.XLSX) here. 🔮

Red List II 2024	Criteria 2024	Scientific Name	Common name	Red List 2013	Criteria 2013
CR	C2a(ii)	Phocoena phocoena (Baltic Proper)	Harbour porpoise	CR	C1,2a(ii)
EN	A2ad	Phocoena phocoena (Belt Sea)	Harbour porpoise	VU	A2a
EN	C1	Pusa hispida botnica (Southern Management Units)	Ringed Seal	VU	A3c
VU	АЗс	Pusa hispida botnica (Gulf of Bothnia)	Ringed Seal		
NT	D1	<i>Phoca vitulina</i> (Kalmarsund)	Harbour Seal	VU	D1
LC		Halichoerus grypus	Grey Seal	LC	
LC		<i>Phoca vitulina</i> (Kattegat/Southweste rn Baltic)	Harbour Seal	LC	
LC		Lutra lutra	Otter	NT	D1



References

HELCOM (2013). HELCOM Red list of Baltic Sea species in danger of becoming extinct. Baltic Sea Environmental Proceedings No. 140. Helsinki Commission, Helsinki.

HELCOM (2021). HELCOM Baltic Sea Action Plan 2021 update. Helsinki Commission, Helsinki.

HELCOM (2020). HELCOM Checklist 2.0 of Baltic Sea Macrospecies. Baltic Sea Environmental Proceedings No. 174. Helsinki Commission, Helsinki.

HELCOM (2023). HELCOM Thematic assessment of biodiversity 2016-2021. Baltic Sea Environmental Proceedings No. 191. Helsinki Commission, Helsinki.

HELCOM (2023). State of the Baltic Sea. Third HELCOM holistic assessment 2016-2021. Baltic Sea Environment Proceedings No. 194. Helsinki Commission, Helsinki.

IUCN (2012). Guidelines for Application of IUCN Red List Criteria at Regional and National Levels: Version 4.0. Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK: IUCN. iii + 41pp.

HELCOM/Gessner et al. (2019). HELCOM Action Plan for the protection and recovery of Baltic sturgeon *Acipenser oxyrinchus oxyrinchus* in the Baltic Sea area. Baltic Sea Environment Proceedings No. 168. Helsinki Commission, Helsinki.