HELCOM Monitoring Programme topic

Species distribution and abundance / Benthic community

Programme:

Softbottom flora

Contents

a.	Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes	2
	a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body	2
	a.2 Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation)	3
b.	Monitoring strategies	3
	b.1 Descriptor	3
	b.2 BSAP segments	4
	b.3 Monitoring strategy description	4
	b.4 BSAP Ecological objectives	4
	b.5 Gaps in monitoring	5
c.	Monitoring programmes	5
	c.1 Purpose of monitoring	5
	c.2 Other legislation	10
	c.3 Implementation of Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation_implementation)	10
	c.4 Monitoring concepts	11
	c.5 Monitoring and assessment requirements	19
	c.6 Data providers and access	20
	c.7 MSFD Criteria (GES criteria)	23
٦	Deferences	20

a. Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes

a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body

Please indicate the relevant expert group/network if available, otherwise the responsible HELCOM Working Group.

Permament Groups						
Gear – Group on the Implementation of the Ecosystem Approach						
Maritime – Maritime Working Group						
Pressure – Working Group on Reduction of Pressures from the Baltic Sea Catchment Area						
Response – Response Working Group						
State and Conservation – Working Group on the State of the Environmental and Natture Conservation						
Time-limited Groups						
Agri – Group on Sustainable Agricultural Practices						
Fish – Group on Ecosystem-based Sustainable Fisheries						
HELCOM-VASAB MSP WG - Joint HELCOM-VASAB Maritime Spatial Planning Working Group						
·						
Group						
Group Expert Groups						
Expert Groups AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data						
Expert Groups AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances						
Expert Groups AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter						
Expert Groups AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise						
Expert Groups AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses						
Expert Groups AlS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AlS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response						
Expert Groups AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response EWG SHORE – Expert Working Group on Response on the Shore						

	IN-EUTROPHICATION - Intersessional Network on Eutrophication							
	IWGAS – Informal Working Group on Aerial Surveillance							
	JWG Bird – HELCOM-OSPAR-ICES Joint Working Group on Seabirds							
	MORS EG – Expert group on monitoring of radioactive substances in the Baltic Sea							
	PRF Cooperation Platform – Cooperation Platform on Port Reception Facilities in the Baltic Sea							
	SAFE NAV – Group of Experts on Safety of Navigation							
	SUBMERGED – Expert Group on Environmental Risks of Hazardous Submerged Objects							
☐ Fully coo								
⊠ Not coord								
\square Partly coordinated. Indicate missing component(s):								
·								
☐ Coordina	ted monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a ation on coordinated monitoring can be expected.							
☐ Coordina	ted monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a							
☐ Coordina recommend	ted monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a							
Coordinate recommend b. Monito b.1 Descri	ted monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a ation on coordinated monitoring can be expected. oring strategies							
Coordina recommend b. Monite b.1 Descri The programme	ted monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a ation on coordinated monitoring can be expected. oring strategies ptor							
b. Monito b.1 Descri The programme boxes.	ted monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a ation on coordinated monitoring can be expected. oring strategies ptor supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant							
□ Coordina recommend b. Monite b.1 Descri The programme boxes. □ D1	ted monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a ation on coordinated monitoring can be expected. oring strategies ptor supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant Biodiversity							
□ Coordinarecommend b. Monite b.1 Descri The programme boxes. □ D1 □ D2	ted monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a ation on coordinated monitoring can be expected. Oring strategies ptor supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant Biodiversity Non-indigenous Species							
□ Coordinarecommend b. Monite b.1 Descri The programme boxes. □ D1 □ D2 □ D3	ted monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a action on coordinated monitoring can be expected. Oring strategies ptor supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant Biodiversity Non-indigenous Species Commercial fish and shellfish							
□ Coordinarecommend b. Monite b.1 Descri The programme boxes. □ D1 □ D2 □ D3 □ D4	ted monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a ation on coordinated monitoring can be expected. Oring strategies ptor supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant Biodiversity Non-indigenous Species Commercial fish and shellfish Food webs							

□ D8	Contaminants
□ D9	Contaminants in seafood
□ D10	Marine litter
□ D11	Energy including underwater noise
b.2 BSAP s The sub-program	egments me serves the following BSAP segments. Tick one or more relevant boxes.
⊠Eutrophicatio	on
☐Hazardous su	bstances
⊠Biodiversity	
☐ Maritime act	vities
b.3 Monito	oring strategy description
	etegy: Monitoring is to be carried out to fulfill assessment requirements of ogical objectives that are specified through HELCOM core indicators. The on monitoring can include number of stations, the sampling frequency and
	icological objectives most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.
Eutrophication	n ☐ Concentrations of nutrients close to natural levels
	□ Clear water
	☐ Natural level of algal blooms
	☑ Natural distribution and occurrence of plants and animals
	☐ Natural oxygen levels
Hazardous	☐ Concentrations of hazardous substances close to natural levels

 $\ oxdot$ Thriving and balanced communities of plants and animals

substances

Biodiversity

 $\hfill\square$ All fish safe to eat

 $\hfill\square$ Radioactivity at pre-Chernobyl levels

 \boxtimes Natural landscapes and seascapes

 $\hfill\square$ Viable populations of species

 \square Healthy wildlife

Maritime	☐ No illegal	pollution					
activities	\square Safe maritime traffic without accidental pollution						
	☐ Efficient r	☐ Efficient response capability					
	☐ No introd	luctions of alien species from ships					
	☐ Minimum	air pollution from ships					
	☐ Zero disc	harges from offshore platforms					
In relation to th		ing dressed, indicate when sufficient more ce (Coverage_GEScriteria)	nitoring was in place or by when				
☐ Adequate mo	onitoring was in p	place in 2014					
☐ Adequate mo	onitoring was in p	lace by 2018					
☐ Adequate mo	onitoring is in pla	ce by July 2020					
☐ Adequate mo	onitoring will be i	n place by 2024					
☐ Monitoring is	not being put in	place for this descriptor due to a low	<i>ı</i> risk				
☐ Monitoring fo	or this descriptor	is not relevant					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ne implementation	on gaps and plans to complete the es gy (Gaps_Plans):	tablishment and implementation of				
there not con appropriate fo made in the fi	tinuously along r WFD purpose eld). As the visi	the depth gradient but only for s. For fjords, lagoons and bays no	along the outer coastline, and ever certain depth intervals idenfied as a samples are taken (only estimates often restricted the values can only conly rough estimates.				
	tbottom flora i D coastal wate	_	HD habitat type "Sandbanks", no				
c. Monit	•	grammes					
a 1 Duma		LOTTING					
c.1 Purpo	se of infolit	3					
c.1a As	sessment purpo	ose in general					
c.1a As	sessment purpo supports the ass	ose in general					
Tick the relevant bo	sessment purpo supports the ass	ose in general	State classification				

Note that the answer to this question will be decisive for whether to answer upcoming questions e.g. upcoming questions on pressures should only be answered if the monitoring is defined as supporting the assessment of pressures.

Tick the relevant boxes.

Environmental state and impacts	Pressures in the marine environment	Pressures at source (land-based, riverine, sea-based ¹ and atmospheric sources)	Human activities causing the pressures	Effectiveness of measures				
If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1b	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d				
Give any other monitoring purpose e.g. if the programmes include supporting parameters for other monitoring programmes								

For questions 1b-1d, select when applicable for the sub-programme, the link from the Reporting on the 2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD Guidance Document 17, 2020) (Features) to:

- Ecosystem components (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1a) for D1C2-C5, D3, D4, D6C3-C5, D7C2)
- Pressures and impacts in the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1b) for D1C1, D2, D5, D6C1-C2, D7C1, D8, D9, D10, D11)
- Pressure inputs to the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 10)
- Uses and human activities (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1c) and 13)

c.1b • Ecosystem components (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Theme	Sub-theme	Label feature
Species	☐ Birds	☐ Grazing birds
		☐ Wading birds
		☐ Surface-feeding birds
		☐ Pelagic-feeding birds
		☐ Benthic-feeding birds
	☐ Mammals	☐ Small toothed cetaceans

¹ Sea-based 'Pressures at source' refers to monitoring pressures from sea-based activities where the monitoring is directly at the activity rather than at a distance from or time period after it is generated by the activity (e.g. D1 incidental by-catch when fishing, D2 ballast water discharges, D6 use of bottom fishing gear, D8 contaminant discharges and pollution events from a vessel or pipeline, D11 impulsive sound events from a vessel or platform).

		\square Deep-diving toothed cetaceans				
		☐ Baleen whales				
		☐ Seals				
	☐ Reptiles	☐ Turtles				
	☐ Fish	☐ Coastal fish				
		☐ Pelagic shelf fish				
		\square Demersal shelf fish				
		☐ Deep-sea fish				
		\square Commercially exploited fish and shellfish				
	☐ Cephalopods	\square Coastal/shelf cephalopods				
		☐ Deep-sea cephalopods				
Habitats	⋈ Benthic habitats	☑ Benthic broad habitats				
		☑ Other benthic habitats				
	☐ Pelagic habitats	☐ Pelagic broad habitats				
		☐ Other pelagic habitats				
cosystems	☐ Physical and hydrological characteristics					
	☐ Chemical characteristics					
	\square Chemical characteristics					
	☐ Ecosystems, including					
		☑ Coastal ecosystems☐ Shelf ecosystems				
	☐ Ecosystems, including	,				
	☐ Ecosystems, including food webs	☐ Shelf ecosystems ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems the marine environment (Features)				
oose only th	☐ Ecosystems, including food webs Pressures and impacts in t	☐ Shelf ecosystems ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems the marine environment (Features)				
hoose only the	☐ Ecosystems, including food webs Pressures and impacts in the most relevant option(s). Ties	☐ Shelf ecosystems ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems the marine environment (Features) ck one or more boxes below.				
hoose only the	☐ Ecosystems, including food webs Pressures and impacts in the most relevant option(s). Tiese Label: Feature	☐ Shelf ecosystems ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems the marine environment (Features) ck one or more boxes below.				
hoose only the	☐ Ecosystems, including food webs Pressures and impacts in the most relevant option(s). Tient Label: Feature ☐ Newly introduced nor	☐ Shelf ecosystems ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems the marine environment (Features) ck one or more boxes below. n-indigenous species enous species				
hoose only theme Biological Physical and	☐ Ecosystems, including food webs Pressures and impacts in the most relevant option(s). Tien Label: Feature ☐ Newly introduced nor ☐ Established non-indigenation	☐ Shelf ecosystems ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems the marine environment (Features) ck one or more boxes below. n-indigenous species enous species cidental by-catch				
hoose only theme Biological Physical and	☐ Ecosystems, including food webs Pressures and impacts in the most relevant option(s). Tient Label: Feature ☐ Newly introduced nor ☐ Established non-indiget ☐ Species affected by including ☐ Species affected Decomposition ☐ Species affected by including ☐ Species affected Decomposition ☐ Species Decomposition ☐ Species Decomposition ☐ Species Decomposition ☐ Species	☐ Shelf ecosystems ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems the marine environment (Features) ck one or more boxes below. n-indigenous species enous species cidental by-catch es				
hoose only theme Biological Physical and	☐ Ecosystems, including food webs Pressures and impacts in the most relevant option(s). Tient Label: Feature ☐ Newly introduced nor ☐ Established non-indiget ☐ Species affected by inceed ☐ Hydrographical change	☐ Shelf ecosystems ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems the marine environment (Features) ck one or more boxes below. n-indigenous species enous species cidental by-catch es o seabed				
Theme Biological Physical and hydrological Substances,	☐ Ecosystems, including food webs Pressures and impacts in the most relevant option(s). Tiente most relevant option(s). Tien	☐ Shelf ecosystems ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems the marine environment (Features) ck one or more boxes below. n-indigenous species enous species cidental by-catch es o seabed				
Theme Biological Physical and hydrological Substances, litter and	☐ Ecosystems, including food webs Pressures and impacts in the most relevant option(s). Tiente most relevant option(s). Tien	☐ Shelf ecosystems ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems the marine environment (Features) ck one or more boxes below. n-indigenous species enous species cidental by-catch es o seabed abed				
Theme Biological Physical and hydrological	☐ Ecosystems, including food webs Pressures and impacts in the most relevant option(s). Tiente most relevant option(s). Tien	☐ Shelf ecosystems ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems the marine environment (Features) ck one or more boxes below. n-indigenous species enous species cidental by-catch es o seabed abed PBT substances				

_							
_	\square Adverse effects on species or habitats						
_	☐ Acute pollution events						
_	☐ Litter in the environment						
_	☐ Impulsive sound in water						
	☐ Continuous low frequency sound						
c.1d • Pre	ssure inputs to the marine environment (Features)						
Theme	Label: Feature						
Biological	☐ Input or spread of non-indigenous species						
_	☐ Input of microbial pathogens						
_	\square Input of genetically modified species and translocation of native species						
_	\square Loss of, or change to, natural biological communities due to cultivation of animal or plant species						
_	\square Disturbance of species (e.g. where they breed, rest and feed) due to human presence						
	\Box Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities)						
Substances,	\square Input of nutrients — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition						
litter and energy –	\square Input of organic matter — diffuse sources and point sources						
	\square Input of other substances (e.g. synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances radionuclides) — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events						
_	☐ Input of litter (solid waste matter, including micro-sized litter)						
	☐ Input of anthropogenic sound (impulsive, continuous)						
_	\square Input of other forms of energy (including electromagnetic fields, light and heat)						
	☐ Input of water — point sources (e.g. brine)						
	est relevant ention(s). Tick one or more haves below						
·	ost relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.						
Theme	Label: Feature						
Physical restructuring of	☐ Land claim						
rivers, coastline	☐ Canalisation and other watercourse modifications						
or seabed (water management)	☐ Coastal defence and flood protection						
management	☐ Offshore structures (other than for oil/gas/renewables)						

	☐ Restructuring of seabed morphology, including dredging and depositing of materials					
Extraction of	☐ Extraction of minerals (rock, metal ores, gravel, sand, shell)					
non-living resources	☐ Extraction of oil and gas, including infrastructure					
resources	☐ Extraction of salt					
	☐ Extraction of water					
Production of energy	☐ Renewable energy generation (wind, wave and tidal power), including infrastructure					
	☐ Non-renewable energy generation					
	☐ Transmission of electricity and communications (cables)					
Extraction of	☐ Fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational)					
living resources	☐ Fish and shellfish processing					
	☐ Marine plant harvesting					
	☐ Hunting and collecting for other purposes					
Cultivation of	☐ Aquaculture — marine, including infrastructure					
living resources	☐ Aquaculture — freshwater					
	☐ Agriculture					
	□ Forestry					
Transport	☐ Transport infrastructure					
	☐ Transport — shipping					
	☐ Transport — air					
	☐ Transport — land					
Urban and	☐ Urban uses					
industrial uses	☐ Industrial uses					
	☐ Waste treatment and disposal					
Tourism and	☐ Tourism and leisure infrastructure					
leisure	☐ Tourism and leisure activities					
Security/defence	☐ Military operations (subject to Article 2(2))					
Education and research	☐ Research, survey and educational activities					

c.2 Other legislationThe sub-programme links with the following other international legislation (OtherPoliciesConventions). Tick one or more relevant boxes.

c.4 Monitoring concepts

Monitoring concepts table²:

Current means of coordination	Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequenc y ³		Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴	Spatial	Monitoring started (year)	CPs monitoring ⁵
	Elements (Features) (Features_enum)	Parameters (Parameter) (ParametersOt her)	MonitoringMethod (Monitoring Method) MonitoringMethod Other)	(Free text)	MonitoringF requency	(Programme Description)	(RelatedIndicator) (RelatedIndicator_n ame			(CountryCode_Enu m)

² Needed codelists can be found on 2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD Guidance Document 17, 2020).

³ The option "Different for each country - see MORE overview" refers to the <u>overview</u> carried out in 2013

⁴ Give the name of HELCOM core indicators that are based on the monitoring parameter.

⁵ Provide information on the Contracting Partie(s) that are monitoring the parameter.

Current means of coordination Current means of coordination Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequenc y ³	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling	Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴	Spatial scope	Monitoring started (year)	CPs monitoring ⁵
National Angiosperms (Zostera and others) DK: In addition to Zostera, only the angiosperm with the deepest distribution FI: all angiosperms	Species distribution al range/ pattern Maximum depth limit Maximum depth of main distribution Coverage (%)	Assessment of depth limits by video recording or diving along transects (HELCOM COMBINE Manual, Part C, Annex C9) DK: National Technical guidelines TA M18	National	Yearly	One or several "samples" (=locations) per WFD water body with 5 replicates per sample/location In DK, a minmum of three T-shaped transects per water body Within each transect: Registration in points of 2 m broad* 5 m lengt of transect 7-10 oberservations pr depth m Max 15-20 m between observations (Exept for very flat and long transects) Only zostera: At T-part of transect: zig-zag until 10 registrations of max depth At max depth of main distribution: zig-zag until 10 registrations at max depth of main distribution For other angiosperms than Zostera: Max depth limit and max depth of main distribution, each with 7-10 point registrations FI: circa 20 sites (4 in 5 subbasins), focus in coastal bays and sheltered areas		WFD CW	DE: 2006 DK: 1982 FI: 2021	DE, DK, FI

Current means of coordination	Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequenc y ³	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling	Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴	Spatial scope	Monitoring started (year)	CPs monitoring ⁵
National	Macroalgae (Charophytes) DK: Charophytes	Species distribution al range/ pattern Maximal depth limit	Assessment of depth limits by diving along transects (HELCOM COMBINE Manual, Part C, Annex C9)	National	Yearly	One or several "samples" (=locations) per WFD water body with 5 replicates per sample/location In DK, a minmum of three T-shaped transects per water body Within each transect: Registration in points of 2 m broad* 5 m lengt of transect 7-10 oberservations pr depth m Max 15-20 m between observations (Exept for very flat and long transects) At T-part of transect (max depth): zig-zag until 7-10 registrations of max depth		WFD CW	DE 2006 DK since 1982	DE, DK

Current means of coordination	Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequenc	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling	Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴	Spatial scope	Monitoring started (year)	CPs monitoring ⁵
National	Angiosperms and macroalgae	Species distribution al range/ pattern Zostera If no Zostera, the angiosper m with the deepest distribution Drifting/loo se lying dominating opportunis tic macroalgae Drifting/loo se lying	Assessment of depth limits by video recording or assessment of species specific cover by divers (HELCOM COMBINE Manual, Part C, Annex C9)	National	Yearly	One or several "samples" (=locations) per WFD water body with 5 replicates per sample/location In Poland 4 replicates per sample In DK, a minmum of three transects per water body In DK, a minmum of three T-shaped transects per water body Within each transect: Registration in points of 2 m broad* 5 m lengt of transect 7-10 oberservations pr depth m Max 15-20 m between observations (Exept for very flat and long transects) At T-part of transect (max depth): zig-zag until 7-10 registrations of max depth		WFD CW	DE: 2006 PL: 2002 DK: 1982 EE: 2014 (regular researches since 1959)	DE, PL, SE, DK, EE
National	Angiosperms and macroalgae	Species abundance (biomass)	Sampling by divers and analysis of species specific dry weight in the laboratory (HELCOM COMBINE Manual, Part C, Annex C9)	National	Yearly	One or several "samples" (=locations) per WFD water body with 5 replicates per sample/location In Poland 4 replicates per sample		WFD CW	DE: 2006 PL: 2002	DE, PL, SE, EE

Current means of coordination	Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequenc y ³	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling	Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴	Spatial scope	Monitoring started (year)	CPs monitoring ⁵
National	Angiosperms and macroalgae	Species present (whole community or selected species only)	Assesment of species specific cover by divers and/or biomass sampling (HELCOM COMBINE Manual, Part C, Annex C9)	National	Yearly	One or several "samples" (=locations) per WFD water body with 5 replicates per sample/location		WFD CW	2006	DE
National	Sediment vegetation	Presence and coverage		National		Presence and coverage within 5 x 5 m squares = 25 m ² at different depths (1 m, 2,5 m and 5 m)	Baltic Proper		2019	SE
National	Zostera	Species depth distribution	Assessment of coverage at depth by visual observation and ecosounder	National	Low frequenc y cyclical monitori ng every 3 years	Three locations per WFD water body	Kattegat and the Sound	WFD CW	2019	SE

PARAMETER

Element/Parameter pair

Angiosperms (Zostera and others)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

Macroalgae (only Charophytes)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (number or cover)

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (biomass)

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (species present)

METHOD (Monitoring Details)

Angiosperms (Zostera and others)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

Method Line transects at selected locations along depth gradient (across the currently existing depth limit of the species, not the whole depth range) assessed using a towed video sledge or by divers. See Part C, Annex C9 of HELCOM COMBINE Manual.

Denmark and Finland:

 Method Line transects at selected locations along depth gradient (across the currently existing depth limit of the species, not the whole depth range) assessed using a towed video sledge or by divers. National Technical Directions (TA M18).

Denmark:

Depth limit of main distribution (only Zostera, defined as >10% cover) and depth limit of maximum distribution (Zostera and others, defined as deepest finding of species) defined in 7-10 points, along the end of a T shaped transect – extending 300 m to each side along the coast at the end of a transect perpendicular to the coast.

Macroalgae (only Charophytes)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

Method Line transects at selected locations along depth gradient. See Part C, Annex C9 of HELCOM COMBINE Manual

Denmark: Same as above for maximum depth limit.

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (number or cover)

In Denmark cover estimations are made by videotransects or divers along a transect perpendicular to the coast. Cover (% of bottom) of angiosperms, Charophycea and drifting macroalgae (dominating opportunistic and other) is determined in areas/points of 2 m (width of transect) times 5 m (along transect), approximately 7-10 points pr . depth meter, and with max 15-20 between each point.

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (biomass)

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (species present)

Cover estimations are made by divers at fixed stations at certain depths in an area of 20-25 m² and in frames (1 m²), frames with 5 replicates per location, covering all species (or other relevant taxonomical group) that are identifiable under water. Biomass and taxonomy is determined though sampling by divers at fixed stations in certain depth intervals (densest parts of the eelgrass biotope) in frames (0,25m²) with 5 replicates per location, taxa-specific determination of dry weight in the laboratory. See Part C, Annex C9 of HELCOM COMBINE Manual.

In Poland and Estonia, sampling is done by divers along line transects at selected locations along depth gradient until the depth limit of the vegetation. Sample in frames 0,25 m² with 4 replicates per each depth interval in Poland.

QA/QC

Angiosperms (Zostera and others)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

NationalNational

Macroalgae (only Charophytes)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

National

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (number or cover)

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (biomass)

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (species present)

National

FREQUENCY

Frequency

Angiosperms (Zostera and others)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

Yearly. Season: mid-June to mid-September. Denmark: 1st of June to 30th of September. Finland: yearly, but rotating sites in 3 year cycles.

Macroalgae (only Charophytes)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

Yearly. Season: mid-June to mid-September. Denmark: 1st of June to 30^{th} of September

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (number or cover)

Denmark: Yearly. Season: 1st of June to 30th of September

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (biomass)

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (species present)

Yearly. Season: mid-June to mid-September

SPATIAL SCOPE

Spatial Scope

Angiosperms (Zostera and others)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

Germany: densest and largest eelgrass biotopes along the outer coastline of the Baltic Sea.

Denmark: inner fjords, outer fjords, coastal waters and Limfjorden

Finland: coastal bays and sheltered coastal areas.

Macroalgae (only Charophytes)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

Germany: only in fjords, bays and coastal lagoons, where charophytes are usually distributed

Denmark: inner fjords, outer fjords, coastal waters and Limfjorden

SPATIAL RESOLUTION (DENSITY) OF SAMPLING

Spatial resolution

Angiosperms (Zostera and others)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

Macroalgae (only Charophytes)/Distributional pattern (depth limits)

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (number or cover)

Denmark: inner fjords, outer fjords, coastal waters and Limfjorden. Total of 84 transects annually (79 in WFD, 5 in HD)

Finland: circa 20 sites (4 sites in 5 subbasins). Each sites consists of several transects.

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (biomass)

Angiosperms and macroalgae/Species abundance (species present)

Germany: HELCOM Assessment Unit 4, within a 6-year time period the whole vegetated area of fjords, bays and lagoons will be attempted to cover by several line transects. The number of transects differs between WFD water bodies due to their different spatial area and natural conditions. Some line transects are fixed and investigated several times in a 6-year time period (if possibly yearly). Others are only investigated once in a 6-year time period.

Provide considerations for the scale of aggregation of data for an indicator-based assessment Tick one or more relevant boxes below:

oxtimes HELCOM assessment unit Level 4: Subbasins with coastal WFD division
\square HELCOM assessment unit Level 3: Subbasins with coastal and offshore division
☐ HELCOM assessment unit Level 2: Subbasin

☐ HELCOM assessment unit Level 1:	Baltic Sea		
☐MSFD Region			
□EU			
⊠Other (specify) EE: HD sandbanks	habitatsEE: HD sandba	anks habitats	
□Unknown			
c.5 Monitoring and ass	sessment requ	uirements	
Monitoring requirements:			
In Denmark, monitoring is groupe Limfjorden). In Finland, the moni stations per unit varies according monitoring species within each	d into four groups: in toring targets at coang to the natural g unit. Therefore no pe (assessment unit	astal types (HELCOM Level 4 assessment unnerfjords, outer fjords, coastal waters and astal bays and shelteres areas. The number gradient and the natural occurrences of fixed number of assessment stations cant) and species specific. At each station at for small scale variation.	d the er of the n be
In Estonia softbottom flora is mo HD habitat types (Torn et al 2017)		on to assessment of structure and function	on of
(bathymetry data are supplement has to be followed below the typ	tary, giving an impresee- and species-spec	and substrate data along the depth gradesion of the bottom slope). The depth gradesific defined GES value (\sim GES depth + 2-mover the maximal depth distribution.	dient
Assessments are conducted once yearly within the currently existing	e within a 6-year ting depth limit of the rall timing of the prog	regetation period between July and Aug me period for the whole depth gradient respective species (current depth limit ± gramme is the main vegetation period betwo conducted annually.	and 2 m
Adequacy for assessment of GES:			
		mation to enable the periodic assessmer vards GES as required by MSFD under Article S	
	Yes	No	
Adequate data?	\boxtimes		
-Yes for eutrophication, not so clear for biodiversity	r		
Established methods for	\boxtimes	П	

 \boxtimes

assessment?

for biodiversity

-Yes for eutrophication, not so clear

Adequate understanding of GES?

-Yes for eutrophication, not so clear

for biodiversity		
Adequate capacity to perform	\boxtimes	
assessments?		
-Yes for eutrophication, not so clear for biodiversity		
Assessment of natural variability		
Quantitave. Natural variability is tal areas and using time series data.	ken into account by t	aking replicate samples in similar sampling
areas and using time series data.		
c.6 Data providers and a	access	
From which database the data can be r	made available? Tick the	e relevant boxes below:
☐ HELCOM ☐ HELCOM PLC COMBINE	☐HELCOM MORS	
_		
☑ Other: National databa	ases, ICES	
If the previous answer is "Other" pleas the HELCOM Secretariat will do it)	e fill in the next question	ons (In case the answer is a HELCOM database,
Data type Tick the relevant boxes b	elow:	
☐ Unprocessed/raw Data		
⊠Processed Data sets		
☐ Data Products		
☐ Modelled data		
Data management: General description		
Denmark: National Environmental Environment and Energy, Aarhus Ur		collects data. DCE National Center for
Estonia: Estonian Environment Age University (raw data	ency (processed data	a, QA), Estonian Marine Institute of Tartu
What method/mechanism will be use provide location (DataAccess):	d to make the data ava	nilable? Tick the relevant boxes below and
\square Providing URL to view data:		
\square Providing URL to download data:		
$\hfill\Box$ Provide location of data in national	data centre: Click here	to enter text.

\square Provide location of data in international data centre (e.g. RSC, ICES, EEA, EMODnet):					
When will the data first become available? (DataPublicationDate)					
Enter the date of reporting	Enter the date of reporting, or even a past date if desired (MM/YYYY):				
In Denmark, data are available approximately 1-1½ year after data collection, once QA is terminated. In Denmark, data are available approximately 1-1½ year after data collection, once QA is terminated.					
EE: March next year afte	•				
How frequently are the d	ata expected to be updated thereafter? Tick the relevant box below:				
☐ Every 6 years	□Weekly				
☐ Every 3 years	□Daily				
☐ Every 2 years	□Hourly				
⊠Yearly	☐ Continually				
\Box 6-monthly	□One-off				
\square 3-monthly	☐ As needed				
\square Monthly	\square Other (specify)				
\square 2-weekly	□Unknown				
List providing contact poi	nts in the Contracting Parties				
EE: Estonian Environme	nt Agency				
Has the data been used o	r is it planned to be used in HELCOM assessments? Tick the relevant box below:				
□Yes ⊠No					
Select if data is used in the following Baltic Sea Environment Fact Sheets (BSEF) Tick the relevant boxes below:					
Biodiversity					
☐ Abundance and distribu	☐ Abundance and distribution of marenzelleria species				
☐ Abundance and distribution of Round goby					
☐ Abundance and distribution of the Zebra mussel					
☐ Biopollution level index					
☐ Observed non-indigenous and cryptogenic species in the Baltic Sea					
☐ Population development of Great Cormorant					
☐ Population development of Sandwich Tern					
☐ Population development of Southern Dunlin					

☐ Population Development of White-tailed Sea Eagle
☐ Temporal development of Baltic coastal fish communities and key species
Eutrophication
☐ Bacterioplankton growth
\Box Chlorophyll-a concentrations, temporal variations and regional differences from satellite remote sensing
☐ Cyanobacteria biomass
☐ Cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic Sea
☐ Cyanobacteria bloom index
\square Impacts of invasive phytoplankton species on the Baltic Sea ecosystem in 1980-2008
\square Nitrogen atmospheric deposition to the Baltic Sea
\square Nitrogen emissions to the air in the Baltic Sea area
☐ Phytoplankton biomass and species succession
\square Shifts in the Baltic Sea summer phytoplankton communities in 1992-2006
☐ Spatial distribution of the winter nutrient pool
☐Unusual phytoplankton event
Hazardous substances
Hazardous substances □ Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea
☐ Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea
☐ Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea ☐ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea
□ Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region
□ Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region □ Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region
□ Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region □ Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region □ Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments
□ Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region □ Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region □ Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments □ Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010
□ Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region □ Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region □ Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments □ Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010 □ Emissions from Baltic Sea shipping
□ Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region □ Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region □ Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments □ Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010 □ Emissions from Baltic Sea shipping □ Illegal discharges of oil in the Baltic Sea
□ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region □ Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region □ Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments □ Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010 □ Emissions from Baltic Sea shipping □ Illegal discharges of oil in the Baltic Sea □ Liquid discharges of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea
□ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region □ Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region □ Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments □ Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010 □ Emissions from Baltic Sea shipping □ Illegal discharges of oil in the Baltic Sea □ Liquid discharges of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea
□ Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region □ Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region □ Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments □ Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010 □ Emissions from Baltic Sea shipping □ Illegal discharges of oil in the Baltic Sea □ Liquid discharges of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea □ Trace metal concentrations and trends in Baltic surface and deep waters
□ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea □ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region □ Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region □ Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments □ Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010 □ Emissions from Baltic Sea shipping □ Illegal discharges of oil in the Baltic Sea □ Liquid discharges of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea □ Trace metal concentrations and trends in Baltic surface and deep waters Hydrography
Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010 Emissions from Baltic Sea shipping Illegal discharges of oil in the Baltic Sea Liquid discharges of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea Trace metal concentrations and trends in Baltic surface and deep waters Hydrography Development of Sea Surface Temperature in the Baltic Sea

	e between the Baltic Sea and the North Sea, and conditions in the Deep Basins
	riteria (GES criteria) nost relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.
Descriptor 1	□ D1C1 – Primary:
	The mortality rate per species from incidental by-catch is below levels which threaten the species, such that its long- term viability is ensured.
	Member States shall establish the threshold values for the mortality rate from incidental by-catch per species, through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D1C2 – Primary:
	The population abundance of the species is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures, such that its long-term viability is ensured.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of natural variation in population size and the mortality rates derived from D1C1, D8C4 and D10C4 and other relevant pressures. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these values shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Population values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.
	☐ D1C3 — Primary for commercially- exploited fish and cephalopods and secondary for other species:
	The population demographic characteristics (e.g. body size or age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity, and survival rates) of the species are indicative of a healthy population which is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for specified characteristics of each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of adverse effects on their health derived from D8C2, D8C4 and other relevant pressures.
	☐ D1C4 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV or V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:
	The species distributional range and, where relevant, pattern is in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and climatic conditions.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Range values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.
	☐ D1C5 — Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:
	The habitat for the species has the necessary extent and condition to support the different stages in the life history of the species.
	□ D1C6 – Primary
	The condition of the habitat type, including its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of

	particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure
	of species), is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5 and 8, through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 2	□ D2C1 – Primary:
	The number of non-indigenous species which are newly introduced via human activity into the wild, per assessment period (6 years), measured from the reference year as reported for the initial assessment under Article 8(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC, is minimised and where possible reduced to zero.
	Member States shall establish the threshold value for the number of new introductions of non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D2C2 — Secondary:
	Abundance and spatial distribution of established non-indigenous species, particularly of invasive species, contributing significantly to adverse effects on particular species groups or broad habitat types.
	□ D2C3 — Secondary:
	Proportion of the species group or spatial extent of the broad habitat type which is adversely altered due to non-indigenous species, particularly invasive non-indigenous species.
	Member States shall establish the threshold values for the adverse alteration to species groups and broad habitat types due to non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 3	□ D3C1 — Primary:
	The Fishing mortality rate of populations of commercially-exploited species is at or below levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
	□ D3C2 — Primary:
	The Spawning Stock Biomass of populations of commercially-exploited species are above biomass levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
	□ D3C3 — Primary:
	The age and size distribution of individuals in the populations of commercially-exploited species is indicative of a healthy population. This shall include a high proportion of old/large individuals and limited adverse effects of exploitation on genetic diversity.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation for each population of species in accordance with scientific advice obtained pursuant to Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
Descriptor 4	□ D4C1 — Primary:

	The diversity (species composition and their relative abundance) of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D4C2 — Primary:
	The balance of total abundance between the trophic guilds is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	☐ D4C3 — Secondary:
	The size distribution of individuals across the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	\Box D4C3 — Secondary (to be used in support of criterion D4C2, where necessary):
	Productivity of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 5	□ D5C1 — Primary:
	Nutrient concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse eutrophication effects.
	The threshold values are as follows:
	(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation
	□ D5C2 — Primary:
	Chlorophyll a concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
	The threshold values are as follows:
	(c) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(d) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	☐ D5C3 — Secondary:
	The number, spatial extent and duration of harmful algal bloom events are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
	□ D5C4 — Secondary:
	The photic limit (transparency) of the water column is not reduced, due to increases in

suspended algae, to a level that indicates adverse effects of nutrient enrichment. The threshold values are as follows: (e) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (f) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation. \square D5C5 — Primary (may be substituted by D5C8): The concentration of dissolved oxygen is not reduced, due to nutrient enrichment, to levels that indicate adverse effects on benthic habitats (including on associated biota and mobile species) or other eutrophication effects. The threshold values are as follows: (g) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (h) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation. \square D5C6 — Secondary: The abundance of opportunistic macroalgae is not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment. The threshold values are as follows: (a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation. \boxtimes D5C7 — Secondary: The species composition and relative abundance or depth distribution of macrophyte communities achieve values that indicate there is no adverse effect due to nutrient enrichment including via a decrease in water transparency, as follows: (a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation. ☐ D5C8 — Secondary: (except when used as a substitute for D5C5): The species composition and relative abundance of macrofaunal communities, achieve values that indicate that there is no adverse effect due to nutrient and organic enrichment, as follows: (a) in coastal waters, the values for benthic biological quality elements set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.

Descriptor 6	□ D6C1 – Primary:
	Spatial extent and distribution of physical loss (permanent change) of the natural seabed.
	☐ D6C2 — Primary:
	Spatial extent and distribution of physical disturbance pressures on the seabed.
	□ D6C3 – Primary:
	Spatial extent of each habitat type which is adversely affected, through change in its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. through changes in species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), by physical disturbance.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of physical disturbance, through regional or subregional cooperation.
	☐ D6C4 – Primary:
	The extent of loss of the habitat type, resulting from anthropogenic pressures, does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.
	Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of habitat loss as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	☑ D6C5 – Primary:
	The extent of adverse effects from anthropogenic pressures on the condition of the habitat type, including alteration to its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for adverse effects on the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities. Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of those adverse effects as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
Descriptor 7	□ D7C1 – Secondary:
	Spatial extent and distribution of permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions (e.g. changes in wave action, currents, salinity, temperature) to the seabed and water column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.
	□ D7C2 – Secondary:
	Spatial extent of each benthic habitat type adversely affected (physical and hydrographical characteristics and associated biological communities) due to permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions.

Descriptor 8	□ D8C1 – Primary:
	Within coastal and territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:
	(a) for contaminants set out under point 1(a) of criteria elements, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) when contaminants under point (a) are measured in a matrix for which no value is set under Directive 2000/60/EC, the concentration of those contaminants in that matrix established by Member States through regional or subregional cooperation;
	(c) for additional contaminants selected under point 1(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation, considering their application within and beyond coastal and territorial waters.
	Beyond territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:
	(a) for contaminants selected under point 2(a) of criteria elements, the values as applicable within coastal and territorial waters;
	(b) for contaminants selected under point 2(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D8C2 – Secondary:
	The health of species and the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance at locations of chronic pollution) are not adversely affected due to contaminants including cumulative and synergetic effects.
	Member States shall establish those adverse effects and their threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D8C3 − Primary:
	The spatial extent and duration of significant acute pollution events are minimised.
	☐ D8C4 – Secondary (to be used when a significant acute pollution event has occurred):
	The adverse effects of significant acute pollution events on the health of species and on the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance) are minimised and, where possible, eliminated.
Descriptor 9	□ D9C1 – Primary:
	The level of contaminants in edible tissues (muscle, liver, roe, flesh or other soft parts, as appropriate) of seafood (including fish, crustaceans, molluscs, echinoderms, seaweed and other marine plants) caught or harvested in the wild (excluding fin-fish from mariculture) does not exceed:
	 (a) for contaminants listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, the maximum levels laid down in that Regulation, which are the threshold values for the purposes of this Decision;

	(b) for additional contaminants, not listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, threshold values, which Member States shall establish through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 10	□ D10C1 – Primary:
	The composition, amount and spatial distribution of litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and on the seabed, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D10C2 — Primary:
	The composition, amount and spatial distribution of micro-litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and in seabed sediment, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D10C3 — Secondary:
	The amount of litter and micro-litter ingested by marine animals is at a level that does not adversely affect the health of the species concerned. Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D10C4 — Secondary:
	The number of individuals of each species which are adversely affected due to litter, such as by entanglement, other types of injury or mortality, or health effects. Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of litter, through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 11	□ D11C1 – Primary:
	The spatial distribution, temporal extent, and levels of anthropogenic impulsive sound sources do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D11C2 – Primary:
	The spatial distribution, temporal extent and levels of anthropogenic continuous low-frequency sound do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.

d. References

Make a list of cited references and literature for further supportive information.

Krause-Jensen D, Greve TM, Nielsen K. 2005. Eelgrass as a bioindicator under the Water

ĺ	Framework Directive. Water Resources Management 19: 6375.
	Torn, K.; Herkül, K.; Martin, G.; Oganjan, K. (2017). Assessment of quality of three marine benthic habitat types in northern Baltic Sea. Ecological Indicators, 73, 772–783.10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.10.037
ļ	