HELCOM Monitoring Programme topic

Species distribution and aboundance / Benthic community

Programme:

Softbottom fauna

Contents

a.	Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes	2
	a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body	2
	a.2 Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation)	3
b.	Monitoring strategies	3
	b.1 Descriptor	3
	b.2 BSAP segments	4
	b.3 Monitoring strategy description	4
	b.4 BSAP Ecological objectives	4
	b.5 Gaps in monitoring	5
c.	Monitoring programmes	5
	c.1 Purpose of monitoring	5
	c.2 Other legislation	9
	c.3 Implementation of Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation_implementation)	10
	c.4 Monitoring concepts	11
	c.5 Monitoring and assessment requirements	14
	c.6 Data providers and access	15
	c.7 MSFD Criteria (GES Criteria)	18
٨	Pafarances	2 5

a. Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes

a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body

Please indicate the relevant expert group/network if available, otherwise the responsible HELCOM Working Group.

	Permament Groups
	Gear – Group on the Implementation of the Ecosystem Approach
	Maritime – Maritime Working Group
	Pressure – Working Group on Reduction of Pressures from the Baltic Sea Catchment Area
	Response – Response Working Group
\boxtimes	State and Conservation – Working Group on the State of the Environmental and Natgure Conservation
	Time-limited Groups
	Agri – Group on Sustainable Agricultural Practices
	Fish – Group on Ecosystem-based Sustainable Fisheries
	HELCOM-VASAB MSP WG - Joint HELCOM-VASAB Maritime Spatial Planning Working Group
	Expert Groups
	Expert Groups AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response EWG SHORE – Expert Working Group on Response on the Shore

	IN-EUTROPHICATION - Intersessional Network on Eutrophication					
	IWGAS – Informal Working Group on Aerial Surveillance					
	JWG Bird – HELCOM-OSPAR-ICES Joint Working Group on Seabirds					
☐ MORS EG – Expert group on monitoring of radioactive substances in the Baltic Sea						
	PRF Cooperation Platform – Cooperation Platform on Port Reception Facilities in the Baltic Sea					
	SAFE NAV – Group of Experts on Safety of Navigation					
	SUBMERGED – Expert Group on Environmental Risks of Hazardous Submerged Objects					
a.2 Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation) The monitoring of this programme is:						
\square Fully coordinated						
☑ Partly coordinated. Indicate missing component(s):						
	\Box Coordinated monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a recommendation on coordinated monitoring can be expected.					
Indicate missin	ng component(s): Common quality assurance programme					
 b. Monitoring strategies b.1 Descriptor The programme supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant boxes. 						
b.1 Descri The programme	iptor					
b.1 Descri The programme	iptor					
b.1 Descri The programme boxes.	iptor e supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant					
b.1 Descri The programme boxes.	iptor e supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant Biodiversity					

Eutrophication

Contaminants

Seafloor integrity

Hydrographical conditions

⊠ **D**5

⊠ **D**6

□ **D7**

□ **D8**

□ D 9	Contaminants in seafood
□ D10	Marine litter
□ D11	Energy including underwater noise
b.2 BSAP so The sub-programm	egments me serves the following BSAP segments. Tick one or more relevant boxes.
⊠Eutrophication	1
☐ Hazardous sub	ostances
⊠Biodiversity	
•	
☐ Maritime activ	vities
b.3 Monito	ring strategy description
on monitoring ca	tegy: Monitoring is to be carried out to fulfill assessment requirements of cal objectives that are specified through HELCOM core indicators. The requirements an include number of stations, the sampling frequency and replication. In Germany ows requirements by the MSFD, WFD and Habitats Directive and HELCOM Combine
	cological objectives nost relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.
Eutrophication	☐ Concentrations of nutrients close to natural levels
	☐ Clear water
	☐ Natural level of algal blooms
	\square Natural distribution and occurrence of plants and animals
	☐ Natural oxygen levels
Hazardous	\square Concentrations of hazardous substances close to natural levels
substances	\square All fish safe to eat
	☐ Healthy wildlife
	☐ Radioactivity at pre-Chernobyl levels
Biodiversity	☐ Natural landscapes and seascapes

	oxtimes Thriving and balanced communities of plants and animals							
Maritime activities	☐ No illegal pollution							
activities	\square Safe maritime traffic without accidental pollution							
	☐ Efficient response capability							
	\square No introductions of alien species from ships							
	\square Minimum air pollution from ships							
	☐ Zero discharges from offshore platforms							
	e GES criteria addressed, indicate when sufficient monitoring was in place or by when age will be in place (Coverage_GEScriteria)							
□ Adequate me	onitoring was in place in 2014							
·	onitoring was in place in 2014							
☐ Adequate mo	onitoring was in place by 2018							
☐ Adequate mo	onitoring was in place by 2018 onitoring is in place by July 2020							
☐ Adequate mo☐ Adequate mo☐ Adequate mo☐	onitoring was in place by 2018 onitoring is in place by July 2020 onitoring will be in place by 2024							
☐ Adequate mo ☐ Adequate mo ☐ Adequate mo ☐ Monitoring is	onitoring was in place by 2018 onitoring is in place by July 2020 onitoring will be in place by 2024 onot being put in place for this descriptor due to a low risk							
☐ Adequate mo ☐ Adequate mo ☐ Adequate mo ☐ Monitoring is	onitoring was in place by 2018 onitoring is in place by July 2020 onitoring will be in place by 2024							
☐ Adequate mo ☐ Adequate mo ☐ Adequate mo ☐ Monitoring is ☐ Monitoring for	onitoring was in place by 2018 onitoring is in place by July 2020 onitoring will be in place by 2024 onot being put in place for this descriptor due to a low risk							
☐ Adequate mo ☐ Adequate mo ☐ Adequate mo ☐ Monitoring is ☐ Monitoring for	onitoring was in place by 2018 onitoring is in place by July 2020 onitoring will be in place by 2024 onot being put in place for this descriptor due to a low risk or this descriptor is not relevant the implementation gaps and plans to complete the establishment and implementation of							

c. Monitoring programmes

c.1 Purpose of monitoring

c.1a Assessment purpose in general

The programme supports the assessment of:

Tick the relevant box.

Temporal trends	Spatial distribution	State classification
\boxtimes		

The **programme** supports the assessment of: (MonitoringPurpose).

Note that the answer to this question will be decisive for whether to answer upcoming questions e.g. upcoming questions on pressures should only be answered if the monitoring is defined as supporting the assessment of pressures.

Environment and impa		Pressures in the marine environment	Pressures at source (land-based, riverine, sea-based ¹ and	Human activities causing the pressures	Effectiveness of measures	
			atmospheric sources)			
\boxtimes					If this is selected fill in the following questions:	
If this is selected fi following question		If this is selected fill in the following questions:	If this is selected fill in the following questions:	If this is selected fill in the following questions:		
c.1b		c.1c, d	c.1c, d	c.1c, d		
Give any other monitoring pro		ng purpose e.g. if the pro	ogrammes include supp	orting parameters for	other	
2020 update o 2020) (Feature	of Article 1: es) to:	ect when applicable for a for the Marine Strateg	y Framework Directive	(MSFD Guidance Docu	ment 17,	
-	C3-C5, D7	onents (relevant for mo C2)	onitoring and assessme	ent for Article 8(1a) for	D1C2-C5, D3,	
		pacts in the marine env D2, D5, D6C1-C2, D7C1,	· ·	nonitoring and assessm	nent for Article	
• Pressu	re inputs t	to the marine environme	ent (relevant for monito	oring and assessment for	or Article 10)	
• Uses a	nd human	activities (relevant for r	monitoring and assessm	nent for Article 8(1c) ar	nd 13)	
	_	<i>m components (Featur</i> levant option(s). Tick on				
Theme	Sub-ther	ne La	abel feature			
Species	☐ Birds		Grazing birds			
			☐ Wading birds			
			Surface-feeding birds			
			Pelagic-feeding birds			
			Benthic-feeding birds			
	☐ Mamı	mals	Small toothed cetacea	ans		
			Deep-diving toothed	cetaceans		

☐ Baleen whales

¹ Sea-based 'Pressures at source' refers to monitoring pressures from sea-based activities where the monitoring is directly at the activity rather than at a distance from or time period after it is generated by the activity (e.g. D1 incidental by-catch when fishing, D2 ballast water discharges, D6 use of bottom fishing gear, D8 contaminant discharges and pollution events from a vessel or pipeline, D11 impulsive sound events from a vessel or platform).

		□ Seals						
	☐ Reptiles	☐ Turtles						
	☐ Fish	☐ Coastal fish						
		☐ Pelagic shelf fish						
		\square Demersal shelf fish						
		☐ Deep-sea fish						
		\square Commercially exploited fish and shellfish						
	\square Cephalopods	\square Coastal/shelf cephalopods						
		☐ Deep-sea cephalopods						
Habitats	⋈ Benthic habitats	oxtimes Benthic broad habitats						
		☑ Other benthic habitats						
	☐ Pelagic habitats	☐ Pelagic broad habitats						
		☐ Other pelagic habitats						
Ecosystems	☐ Physical and hydrological							
LCOSYSTEMS	☐ Physical and hydrological characteristics							
	☐ Chemical characteristics							
	☐ Ecosystems, including	☐ Coastal ecosystems						
	food webs	☐ Shelf ecosystems						
		☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems						
	Pressures and impacts in the most relevant option(s). Tick	the marine environment (Features) ck one or more boxes below.						
Theme	Label: Feature							
Biological	☐ Newly introduced non-indigenous species							
	☐ Established non-indigenous species							
	\square Species affected by in	cidental by-catch						
Physical and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
hydrological	☐ Physical disturbance to seabed							
	☐ Physical loss of the sea	abed						
Substances,	☐ Eutrophication							
litter and energy	☐ Contaminants - non UPBT substances							
energy	☐ Contaminants - UPBT	substances						
	☐ Contaminants – in seafood							
	☐ Adverse effects on species or habitats							
	☐ Acute pollution events							
	<u> </u>							

	☐ Litter in the environment						
	☐ Impulsive sound in water						
	☐ Continuous low frequency sound						
c.1d • F	Pressure inputs to the marine environment (Features)						
Theme	Label: Feature						
Biological	☐ Input or spread of non-indigenous species						
	☐ Input of microbial pathogens						
	\square Input of genetically modified species and translocation of native species						
	$\hfill \square$ Loss of, or change to, natural biological communities due to cultivation of animal or plant species						
	☐ Disturbance of species (e.g. where they breed, rest and feed) due to human presence						
	$\hfill\Box$ Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities)						
Substances,	\square Input of nutrients — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition						
litter and energy	☐ Input of organic matter — diffuse sources and point sources						
G,	☐ Input of other substances (e.g. synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events						
	☐ Input of litter (solid waste matter, including micro-sized litter)						
	☐ Input of anthropogenic sound (impulsive, continuous)						
	\Box Input of other forms of energy (including electromagnetic fields, light and heat)						
	\square Input of water — point sources (e.g. brine)						
c.1e • U	Ises and human activities (Features)						
Choose only the	e most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.						
Theme	Label: Feature						
Physical	☐ Land claim						
restructuring or rivers, coastlin	Canalization and athem waterna was madifications						
or seabed (wat							
management)	☐ Offshore structures (other than for oil/gas/renewables)						
	☐ Restructuring of seabed morphology, including dredging and depositing of materials						

Extraction of	☐ Extraction of minerals (rock, metal ores, gravel, sand, shell)
non-living resources	☐ Extraction of oil and gas, including infrastructure
resources	☐ Extraction of salt
	☐ Extraction of water
Production of energy	$\hfill\Box$ Renewable energy generation (wind, wave and tidal power), including infrastructure
	☐ Non-renewable energy generation
	☐ Transmission of electricity and communications (cables)
Extraction of	☐ Fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational)
living resources	☐ Fish and shellfish processing
	☐ Marine plant harvesting
	☐ Hunting and collecting for other purposes
Cultivation of	☐ Aquaculture — marine, including infrastructure
living resources	☐ Aquaculture — freshwater
	☐ Agriculture
	□ Forestry
Transport	☐ Transport infrastructure
	☐ Transport — shipping
	☐ Transport — air
	☐ Transport — land
Urban and	☐ Urban uses
industrial uses	☐ Industrial uses
	☐ Waste treatment and disposal
Tourism and	☐ Tourism and leisure infrastructure
leisure	☐ Tourism and leisure activities
Security/defence	☐ Military operations (subject to Article 2(2))
Education and research	☐ Research, survey and educational activities
c.2 Other leg	e links with the following other international legislation (OtherPoliciesConventions). Tick
☐ Bathing Water Di	irective
□Common Fisherie	es Policy and Data Collection Framework

⊠ Habitats Directive
☐ Birds Directive
□ Nitrates Directive
☐ Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive
⊠Water Framework Directive
□ OSPAR Convention
☐ Trilateral Wadden Sea Convention
☑Other, Specify: MSFD, HELCOM Combine
c.3 Implementation of Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation_implementation) Indicate the level of implementation by selecting one of the following:
☐ Agreed data collection methods
\square Common monitoring strategy (spatial and temporal design of programme)
oxtimes Coordinated data collection (delivered separately by each country)
\square Joint data collection (multinational delivery using same platform and/or algorithms)

c.4 Monitoring concepts

Monitoring concepts table²:

Current means of coordination	Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequency ³	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling	Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴	Spatial scope	Monitorin g started (year)	CPs monitoring ⁵
	Elements (Features) (Features_enum)	Parameters (Parameter) (ParametersOther)	MonitoringMethod (Monitoring Method) MonitoringMethodOt her)	(Free text)	MonitoringFrequency	(ProgrammeDescription)	(RelatedIndicator) (RelatedIndicator_n ame	(SpatialSco pe)	(TemporalSc ope)	(CountryCode_E num)
Regional (COMBINE)	Soft-bottom macrofauna	Population size (abundance)	HELCOM COMBINE manual, part C Annex C8	HELCOM COMBINE manual	Yearly	3-10 offshore stations per subbasin and 3-10 stations per coastal water type.	State of the soft-bottom macrofauna communities	EEZ	1965 SE 1971	All HELCOM Contracting Parties
Regional (COMBINE)	Soft-bottom macrofauna	Population size (biomass)	HELCOM COMBINE manual, part C Annex C8	HELCOM COMBINE manual	Yearly	3-10 offshore stations per subbasin		EEZ	1965	All HELCOM Contracting Parties
National	Soft-bottom macrofauna	Size of individuals (length or weight)	National	National	Yearly	1-3 selected stations in offshore and coastal waters per sub-basin		EEZ	1965	FI
National	Soft-bottom macrofauna	abundance and biomass	National	National	Yearly	stations in offshore sandbanks and reefs	State of the soft-bottom macrofauna communities	EEZ		DE

-

² Needed codelists can be found on 2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD Guidance Document 17, 2020).

³ The option "Different for each country - see MORE overview" refers to the <u>overview</u> carried out in 2013

 $^{^{\}rm 4}$ Give the name of HELCOM core indicators that are based on the monitoring parameter.

⁵ Provide information on the Contracting Partie(s) that are monitoring the parameter.

Current means of coordination	Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequency ³	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling	Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴	Spatial scope	0	CPs monitoring ⁵
National	Sediment living macrofauna	(abundance and	National – 95% Ethanol & + 5% Glycerol method	National	Monitoring frequency range from yearly to every 2 or 3 years	5-10 stations per coastal waterbody and 10-20 stations per offshore area	State of the soft-bottom macrofauna communities	EEZ	2017	SE

PARAMETER

Element/Parameter pair

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (abundance)

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (biomass)

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population structure (size distribution)

METHOD (Monitoring Details)

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (abundance)

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (biomass)

Offshore samples taken by van Veen grabs, while coastal samples taken by Ekman, van Veen, small van Veen, (and some other grabs). Sieve size according to Part C Annex C8 of HELCOM COMBINE manual is 1.0 mm (+ 0.5mm optionally), but some countries take only 0.5 mm samples or even 0.25 mm samples. Number of replicates varies between 3-5.

In Denmark samples are taken with a Soft-bottom Haps. On some monitoring stations 5 replicates are taken. On other monitoring stations 42 samples are taken as a spatial station grid.

In 2017, Sweden started changing the frequency and spatial coverage of the national, regional and local monitoring programmes from replicated annual sampling per station to a low-frequency cyclic cluster monitoring (5-20 stations) in order to improve the spatial coverage during a six year cycle. Thus, the numbers of stations have increased and the monitoring frequency ranges from every two-three years. In 2018-2019 the method for fixing and conserving samples was modified from using formalin and ethanol to using only 95 % ethanol + 5 % glycerol.

QA/QC

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (abundance)

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (biomass)

HELCOM COMBINE manual

FREQUENCY

Frequency

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (abundance)

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (biomass)

Yearly in offshore and many coastal sites Every 3rd – 6th year in some coastal sites. In Sweden, since 2017 the monitoring frequency ranges from every 2-3 years. Selected stations have annual sampling in reference

cluster surveilance monitoring.

SPATIAL SCOPE

Spatial Scope

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (abundance)

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (biomass)

Monitoring is divided, in practice, to COMBINE monitoring in the offshore and national coastal monitoring programmes, which are restricted to coastal waterbodies. Spatial strategies differ nationally: in the extremes, Sweden has aggregations of stations along the coast, while Finland has evenly distributed stations over the offshore area and coastal stations within waterbodies. National strategies have not been coordinated.

Sweden has circa 580 stations in the Baltic Sea.

SPATIAL RESOLUTION (DENSITY) OF SAMPLING

Spatial resolution

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (abundance)

Soft-bottom macrofauna/Population size (biomass)

All sub-basins

Provide considerations for the scale of aggregation of data for an indicator-based assessment Tick one or more relevant boxes below:

⊠HELCOM assessment	unit Level	4: Subbasins	with	coastal W	FD division
--------------------	------------	--------------	------	-----------	-------------

⊠HELCOM assessment unit Level 3: Subbasins with coastal and offshore division

☐ HELCOM assessment unit Level 2: Subbasin

☐ HELCOM assessment unit Level 1: Baltic Sea

☐ MSFD Region

 \square EU

□Other (specify)

□Unknown

c.5 Monitoring and assessment requirements

Monitoring requirements:

An appropriate assessment of state of the soft-bottom macrofauna is consider to require 3-6 monitoring stations per HELCOM assessment unit in offshore waters and coverage of several waterbodies per coastal water type. Monitoring is to be carried out during summer months

(COMBINE manual does not specify time of year, but generally late autumn-early summer is recommended as year-to-year variation tend to be much higher during summer) every year if the aim is to detect temporal change or every 3-6 years, if the aim is to assess the state of the area.

Replicate samples (n=3-6) need to be taken in a monitoring location to cover the small scale spatial variation of the fauna communies. Regional coherence of the assessment results also require similar sieve sizes. This has been (almost) achieved in the offshore sampling, whereas coastal sampling methods seem to vary nationally and comparability is weaker. Monitoring on soft bottom macrofauna was carried out according to the HELCOM COMBINE manual.

Adequacy for assessment of GES:

Monitoring should provide adequate data and information to enable the periodic assessment of environmental status, and distance from and progress towards GES as required by MSFD under Article 9 and Article 11.

	Yes	No
Adequate data?	\boxtimes	
-Yes for HELCOM core indicator, not for MSFD assessments		
Established methods for assessment?		
-Yes for HELCOM core indicator, not for MSFD assessments		
Adequate understanding of GES?	\boxtimes	
-Yes for HELCOM core indicator, not for MSFD assessments		
Adequate capacity to perform assessments?		
-Yes for HELCOM core indicator, (but not for all parameters, e.g., not for size of individuals and MSFD assessments)		

Assessment of natural variability

Quantitative, qualitative and expert opinion. Long time series (over 40 years) have shown the natural variability in the data set, which can be taken into account in the assessment.

c.6 Data providers and access

\bowtie HELCOM	\square HELCOM PLC	☐ HELCOM MORS
COMBINE		

\square Other:	
_	
If the previous answ the HELCOM Secret	ver is "Other" please fill in the next questions (In case the answer is a HELCOM database, ariat will do it)
Data type Tick the	e relevant boxes below:
□Unprocessed/raw	v Data
⊠ Processed Data s	ets
☐ Data Products	
\square Modelled data	
Data management:	General description of data management (DataManagement, Free text)
What method/med provide location (Da	hanism will be used to make the data available? Tick the relevant boxes below and ataAccess):
\square Providing URL to	view data:
\square Providing URL to	download data:
\square Provide location	of data in national data centre: Click here to enter text.
oxtimes Provide location	of data in international data centre (e.g. RSC, ICES, EEA, EMODnet): ICES Data Centre
NA/leas sell alea date	First because any Hable 2 (Date Dublication Date)
	first become available? (DataPublicationDate)
Enter the date of re	porting, or even a past date if desired (MM/YYYY):
How frequently are	the data expected to be updated thereafter? Tick the relevant box below:
☐ Every 6 years ☐ Every 3 years	□Daily
□ Every 2 years	□Hourly
, ,	☐ Continually
⊠ Yearly	
☐6-monthly	
☐3-monthly	☐ As needed
□Monthly	☐Other (specify) Every 1-6 years
\square 2-weekly	□Unknown

List providing contact points in the Contracting Parties
Has the data been used or is it planned to be used in HELCOM assessments? Tick the relevant box below:
⊠Yes □No
Select if data is used in the following Baltic Sea Environment Fact Sheets (BSEF) Tick the relevant boxes below:
Biodiversity
⊠ Abundance and distribution of marenzelleria species
☐ Abundance and distribution of Round goby
☐ Abundance and distribution of the Zebra mussel
☐ Biopollution level index
□Observed non-indigenous and cryptogenic species in the Baltic Sea
☐ Population development of Great Cormorant
☐ Population development of Sandwich Tern
☐ Population development of Southern Dunlin
☐ Population Development of White-tailed Sea Eagle
\Box Temporal development of Baltic coastal fish communities and key species
Eutrophication
\square Bacterioplankton growth
$\label{lem:concentrations} \square \textit{Chlorophyll-a concentrations, temporal variations and regional differences from satellite remote sensing}$
□ Cyanobacteria biomass
☐ Cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic Sea
□Cyanobacteria bloom index
\square Impacts of invasive phytoplankton species on the Baltic Sea ecosystem in 1980-2008
□ Nitrogen atmospheric deposition to the Baltic Sea
□ Nitrogen emissions to the air in the Baltic Sea area
☐ Phytoplankton biomass and species succession
\square Shifts in the Baltic Sea summer phytoplankton communities in 1992-2006
☐ Spatial distribution of the winter nutrient pool
□Unusual phytoplankton event
Hazardous substances

\square Atmospheric de	position of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea			
☐ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea				
☐ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region				
☐Atmospheric em	☐ Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region			
□Cesium-137 in B	altic Sea sediments			
☐Temporal trends	in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010			
\square Emissions from	Baltic Sea shipping			
□Illegal discharge	s of oil in the Baltic Sea			
\square Liquid discharge	s of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea			
☐Trace metal con	centrations and trends in Baltic surface and deep waters			
Hydrography				
\square Development of	Sea Surface Temperature in the Baltic Sea			
\square Hydrography an	d Oxygen in the Deep Basins			
\square Ice season				
\square Total and region	al runoff to the Baltic Sea			
☐ Water Exchange	between the Baltic Sea and the North Sea, and conditions in the Deep Basins			
\square Wave climate in	the Baltic Sea			
	citeria (GES Criteria) ost relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.			
Choose only the in	ost relevant option(s). Tick one of more boxes below.			
Descriptor 1	□ D1C1 – Primary:			
	The mortality rate per species from incidental by-catch is below levels which threaten the species, such that its long- term viability is ensured.			
	Member States shall establish the threshold values for the mortality rate from incidental by-catch per species, through regional or subregional cooperation.			
	□ D1C2 – Primary:			
	The population abundance of the species is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures, such that its long-term viability is ensured.			
	Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of natural variation in population size and the mortality rates derived from D1C1, D8C4 and D10C4 and other relevant pressures. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these values shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Population values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.			
	□ D1C3 − Primary for commercially- exploited fish and cephalopods and secondary for other species:			
	The population demographic characteristics (e.g. body size or age class structure, sex			

	ratio, fecundity, and survival rates) of the species are indicative of a healthy population which is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for specified characteristics of each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of adverse effects on their health derived from D8C2, D8C4 and other relevant pressures.
	\Box D1C4 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV or V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:
	The species distributional range and, where relevant, pattern is in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and climatic conditions.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Range values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.
	\square D1C5 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:
	The habitat for the species has the necessary extent and condition to support the different stages in the life history of the species.
	☐ D1C6 – Primary
	The condition of the habitat type, including its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5 and 8, through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 2	□ D2C1 – Primary:
	The number of non-indigenous species which are newly introduced via human activity into the wild, per assessment period (6 years), measured from the reference year as reported for the initial assessment under Article 8(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC, is minimised and where possible reduced to zero.
	Member States shall establish the threshold value for the number of new introductions of non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D2C2 — Secondary:
	Abundance and spatial distribution of established non-indigenous species, particularly of invasive species, contributing significantly to adverse effects on particular species groups or broad habitat types.
	☐ D2C3 — Secondary:
	Proportion of the species group or spatial extent of the broad habitat type which is adversely altered due to non-indigenous species, particularly invasive non-indigenous species.
	Member States shall establish the threshold values for the adverse alteration to species

	subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 3	□ D3C1 — Primary:
	The Fishing mortality rate of populations of commercially-exploited species is at or below levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
	☐ D3C2 — Primary:
	The Spawning Stock Biomass of populations of commercially-exploited species are above biomass levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
	□ D3C3 — Primary:
	The age and size distribution of individuals in the populations of commercially-exploited species is indicative of a healthy population. This shall include a high proportion of old/large individuals and limited adverse effects of exploitation on genetic diversity.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation for each population of species in accordance with scientific advice obtained pursuant to Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
Descriptor 4	☑ D4C1 — Primary:
	The diversity (species composition and their relative abundance) of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	☐ D4C2 — Primary:
	The balance of total abundance between the trophic guilds is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D4C3 — Secondary:
	The size distribution of individuals across the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	\square D4C3 — Secondary (to be used in support of criterion D4C2, where necessary):
	Productivity of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 5	□ D5C1 — Primary:
	Nutrient concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse eutrophication effects.

The threshold values are as follows:
(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation
□ D5C2 — Primary:
Chlorophyll a concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
The threshold values are as follows:
(c) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
(d) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
\square D5C3 — Secondary:
The number, spatial extent and duration of harmful algal bloom events are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
\square D5C4 — Secondary:
The photic limit (transparency) of the water column is not reduced, due to increases in suspended algae, to a level that indicates adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
The threshold values are as follows:
(e) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
(f) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
\square D5C5 — Primary (may be substituted by D5C8):
The concentration of dissolved oxygen is not reduced, due to nutrient enrichment, to levels that indicate adverse effects on benthic habitats (including on associated biota and mobile species) or other eutrophication effects.
The threshold values are as follows:
(g) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
(h) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
□ D5C6 — Secondary:
The abundance of opportunistic macroalgae is not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
The threshold values are as follows:
(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values

	consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D5C7 — Secondary:
	The species composition and relative abundance or depth distribution of macrophyte communities achieve values that indicate there is no adverse effect due to nutrient enrichment including via a decrease in water transparency, as follows:
	(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	☑ D5C8 — Secondary: (except when used as a substitute for D5C5):
	The species composition and relative abundance of macrofaunal communities, achieve values that indicate that there is no adverse effect due to nutrient and organic enrichment, as follows:
	(a) in coastal waters, the values for benthic biological quality elements set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 6	□ D6C1 – Primary:
	Spatial extent and distribution of physical loss (permanent change) of the natural seabed.
	□ D6C2 – Primary:
	Spatial extent and distribution of physical disturbance pressures on the seabed.
	□ D6C3 – Primary:
	Spatial extent of each habitat type which is adversely affected, through change in its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. through changes in species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), by physical disturbance.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of physical disturbance, through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D6C4 – Primary:
	The extent of loss of the habitat type, resulting from anthropogenic pressures, does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.
	Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of habitat loss as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	⊠ D6C5 – Primary:

	habitat type, including alteration to its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for adverse effects on the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities. Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of those adverse effects as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
Descriptor 7	□ D7C1 – Secondary:
	Spatial extent and distribution of permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions (e.g. changes in wave action, currents, salinity, temperature) to the seabed and water column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.
	□ D7C2 – Secondary:
	Spatial extent of each benthic habitat type adversely affected (physical and hydrographical characteristics and associated biological communities) due to permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions.
Descriptor 8	□ D8C1 − Primary:
	Within coastal and territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:
	(a) for contaminants set out under point 1(a) of criteria elements, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) when contaminants under point (a) are measured in a matrix for which no value is set under Directive 2000/60/EC, the concentration of those contaminants in that matrix established by Member States through regional or subregional cooperation;
	(c) for additional contaminants selected under point 1(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation, considering their application within and beyond coastal and territorial waters.
	Beyond territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:
	(a) for contaminants selected under point 2(a) of criteria elements, the values as applicable within coastal and territorial waters;
	(b) for contaminants selected under point 2(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D8C2 – Secondary:

	The health of species and the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance at locations of chronic pollution) are not adversely affected due to contaminants including cumulative and synergetic effects.
	Member States shall establish those adverse effects and their threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D8C3 – Primary:
	The spatial extent and duration of significant acute pollution events are minimised.
	\square D8C4 – Secondary (to be used when a significant acute pollution event has occurred):
	The adverse effects of significant acute pollution events on the health of species and on the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance) are minimised and, where possible, eliminated.
Descriptor 9	□ D9C1 – Primary:
	The level of contaminants in edible tissues (muscle, liver, roe, flesh or other soft parts, as appropriate) of seafood (including fish, crustaceans, molluscs, echinoderms, seaweed and other marine plants) caught or harvested in the wild (excluding fin-fish from mariculture) does not exceed:
	(a) for contaminants listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, the maximum levels laid down in that Regulation, which are the threshold values for the purposes of this Decision;
	(b) for additional contaminants, not listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, threshold values, which Member States shall establish through regional or subregional cooperation.

Descriptor 10	□ D10C1 – Primary:
	The composition, amount and spatial distribution of litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and on the seabed, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D10C2 — Primary:
	The composition, amount and spatial distribution of micro-litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and in seabed sediment, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D10C3 — Secondary:
	The amount of litter and micro-litter ingested by marine animals is at a level that does not adversely affect the health of the species concerned. Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D10C4 — Secondary:
	The number of individuals of each species which are adversely affected due to litter, such as by entanglement, other types of injury or mortality, or health effects. Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of litter, through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 11	□ D11C1 – Primary:
	The spatial distribution, temporal extent, and levels of anthropogenic impulsive sound sources do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D11C2 – Primary:
	The spatial distribution, temporal extent and levels of anthropogenic continuous low-frequency sound do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.

d. References

Make a list of cited references and literature for further supportive information.

Anon. 2007. Report of the Estonian assessment method and the preliminary evaluation of the comparability with methods from other Baltic Sea countries is presented in the Baltic Sea Milestone Report 6. Quality element: Benthic Fauna. Version 16 June 2006. Rev. 3, 30 March 2007. (GIG Milestone Reports 60).

Błeńska M., Osowiecki A., Kraśniewski W., Piątkowska Z., Łysiak-Pastuszak E. 2010. Macrozoobenthos quality assessment in the Polish Part of the Southern Baltic Sea using a biotic index "B". ICES Annual Science Conference Handbook. s. 217.

Blomqvist, M., Cederwall, H., Leonardsson, K. & Rosenberg, R. 2007. Bedömningsgrunder för kust och hav. Benska evertebrater 2006. Rapport II Naturvårdsverket 2007-04-11. 70 pp. (in Swedish with English summary)

Carle, A. & Heiskanen, A-S. (2009): Water Framework Directive intercalibration technical report. Part 3: Coastal and transitional waters. JRC Scienfic and Technical Reports.

HELCOM. 2009. Thematic Assessment of eutrophication. Baltic Sea Environmental Proceeding 116 B.

Swedish monitoring program on macrofauna in sediments available here: https://www.havochvatten.se/hav/samordning--fakta/miljoovervakning/marin-miljoovervakning/sedimentlevande-makrofauna.html