# HELCOM Monitoring Programme topic Inputs

## Programme:

Nutrient inputs from atmosphere

## **Contents**

a.	Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes	2
	a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body	2
	a.2 Regional Cooperation	3
b.	Monitoring strategies	3
	b.1 Descriptor	З
	b.2 BSAP segments	4
	b.3 Monitoring strategy description	4
	b.4 BSAP Ecological objectives	4
	b.5 MSFD Criteria (Criteria) Error! Bookmark not define	ed
	b.6 Gaps in monitoring	5
c.	Monitoring programmes	5
	c.1 Purpose of monitoring	5
	c.2 Other legislation	9
	c.3 Implementation of Regional Cooperation	10
	c.4 Monitoring concepts	10
	c.5 Monitoring and assessment requirements	14
	a C Data providers and access	1 [
	c.6 Data providers and access	1-

## a. Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes

## a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body

Please indicate the relevant expert group/network if available, otherwise the responsible HELCOM Working Group.

	Permament Groups
	Gear – Group on the Implementation of the Ecosystem Approach
	Maritime – Maritime Working Group
$\boxtimes$	Pressure – Working Group on Reduction of Pressures from the Baltic Sea Catchment Area
	Response – Response Working Group
	State and Conservation – Working Group on the State of the Environmental and Natgure Conservation
	Time-limited Groups
	Agri – Group on Sustainable Agricultural Practices
	Fish – Group on Ecosystem-based Sustainable Fisheries
	HELCOM-VASAB MSP WG - Joint HELCOM-VASAB Maritime Spatial Planning Working Group
	Expert Groups
	Expert Groups  AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances  EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances  EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter  EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances  EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter  EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise  ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances  EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter  EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise  ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses  EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances  EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter  EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise  ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses  EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response  EWG SHORE – Expert Working Group on Response on the Shore

	IN-EUTROPHICATION - Intersessional Network on Eutrophication
	IWGAS – Informal Working Group on Aerial Surveillance
	JWG Bird – HELCOM-OSPAR-ICES Joint Working Group on Seabirds
	MORS EG – Expert group on monitoring of radioactive substances in the Baltic Sea
	PRF Cooperation Platform – Cooperation Platform on Port Reception Facilities in the Baltic Sea
	SAFE NAV – Group of Experts on Safety of Navigation
	SUBMERGED – Expert Group on Environmental Risks of Hazardous Submerged Objects
	nal Cooperation (RegionalCooperation)  of this programme is:
⊠ Fully coo	rdinated
☐ Partly co	ordinated. Indicate missing component(s):
	ted monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a ation on coordinated monitoring can be expected.
Air emission ar	nd atmospheric deposition monitoring are coordinated by EMEP.
b. Monit	oring strategies
<b>b.1 Descri</b> The programme boxes.	<b>ptor</b> supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant
□ <b>D1</b>	Biodiversity
□ <b>D2</b>	Non-indigenous Species
□ <b>D3</b>	Commercial fish and shellfish
□ <b>D4</b>	Food webs
⊠ <b>D</b> 5	Eutrophication
□ <b>D</b> 6	Seafloor integrity
□ <b>D7</b>	Hydrographical conditions
□ <b>D8</b>	Contaminants

□ <b>D</b> 9	Contaminants in seafood
□ <b>D10</b>	Marine litter
□ <b>D11</b>	Energy including underwater noise
<b>b.2 BSAP so</b> The sub-programi	egments me serves the following BSAP segments. Tick one or more relevant boxes.
⊠Eutrophicatio	n
☐Hazardous sub	bstances
☐Biodiversity	
☐ Maritime activ	vities
b.3 Monito	ring strategy description
Monitoring stra with the latest	tegy: Atmospheric deposition of oxidised and reduced nitrogen is computed version of the EMEP/MSC-W model. The latest available emission data for the
HELCOM countri	ies and all other EMEP sources have been used in the model calculations.
L 4 DCAD E	
	cological objectives nost relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.
Eutrophication	
	☐ Clear water
	☐ Natural level of algal blooms
	☐ Natural distribution and occurrence of plants and animals
	□ Natural oxygen levels
Hazardous	☐ Concentrations of hazardous substances close to natural levels
substances	□ All fish safe to eat
	☐ Healthy wildlife
	☐ Radioactivity at pre-Chernobyl levels
Biodiversity	☐ Natural landscapes and seascapes
	☐ Thriving and balanced communities of plants and animals
	☐ Viable populations of species
Maritime	☐ No illegal pollution
activities	☐ Safe maritime traffic without accidental pollution
	•

icient response capability
introductions of alien species from ships
nimum air pollution from ships
ro discharges from offshore platforms
itoring eria addressed, indicate when sufficient monitoring was in place or by when in place (Coverage_GEScriteria)
vas in place in 2014
vas in place by 2018
s in place by July 2020
vill be in place by 2024
put in place for this descriptor due to a low risk
criptor is not relevant
nentation gaps and plans to complete the establishment and implementation of strategy (Gaps_Plans):
i ! ' ' ' S

## c. Monitoring programmes

## c.1 Purpose of monitoring

c.1a Assessment purpose in general

The programme supports the assessment of:

Tick the relevant box.

Temporal trends	Spatial distribution	State classification
	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$

The **programme** supports the assessment of: (MonitoringPurpose).

Note that the answer to this question will be decisive for whether to answer upcoming questions e.g. upcoming questions on pressures should only be answered if the monitoring is defined as supporting the assessment of pressures.

Tick the relevant boxes.

Environmental state and impacts	Pressures in the marine environment	Pressures at source (land-based, riverine, sea-based and atmospheric sources)	Human activities causing the pressures	Effectiveness of measures
		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$

If this is selected fill in the following questions:		If this is selected fill in the following questions:		If this is selected fill in the following questions:
c.1b	c.1c, d	c.1c, d	c.1c, d	c.1c, d

Give any other monitoring purpose e.g. if the programmes include supporting parameters for other monitoring programmes

Data on atmospheric deposion of nitrogen is needed to assess the amount of nutrient input to the sea to allow for follow-up of effectiveness of implemented measures (under e.g. BSAP, Gothenburg Protocol, National Emission Ceiling Directive), for the core pressure indicator on nutrient inputs as well as follow-up of progress towards the agreed BSAP country-wise nutrient reduction targets (CART). Pressure data should also be useable for HELCOM holistic assessments (i.e. pressure index). Deposion data is calculated as total annual inputs per 0.1 x 0.1 degree grid (available via the EMEPwebsite).

For questions 1b-1d, select when applicable for the sub-programme, the link from the Reporting on the 2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD Guidance Document 17, 2020) (Features) to:

- Ecosystem components (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1a) for D1C2-C5, D3, D4, D6C3-C5, D7C2)
- Pressures and impacts in the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1b) for D1C1, D2, D5, D6C1-C2, D7C1, D8, D9, D10, D11)
- Pressure inputs to the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 10)
- Uses and human activities (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1c) and 13)

## c.1b • Ecosystem components (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Theme	Sub-theme	Label feature
Species	☐ Birds	☐ Grazing birds
		☐ Wading birds
		☐ Surface-feeding birds
		☐ Pelagic-feeding birds
		☐ Benthic-feeding birds
	☐ Mammals	☐ Small toothed cetaceans
		☐ Deep-diving toothed cetaceans
		☐ Baleen whales
		□ Seals
	☐ Reptiles	☐ Turtles
	☐ Fish	☐ Coastal fish

		☐ Pelagic shelf fish		
		$\square$ Demersal shelf fish		
		$\square$ Deep-sea fish		
		$\square$ Commercially exploited fish and shellfish		
	$\square$ Cephalopods	$\square$ Coastal/shelf cephalopods		
		$\square$ Deep-sea cephalopods		
Habitats	$\square$ Benthic habitats	$\square$ Benthic broad habitats		
		☐ Other benthic habitats		
	☐ Pelagic habitats	☐ Pelagic broad habitats		
		☐ Other pelagic habitats		
Ecosystems	☐ Physical and hydrologica	I characteristics		
	☐ Chemical characteristics			
	☐ Ecosystems, including	☐ Coastal ecosystems		
	food webs	☐ Shelf ecosystems		
		☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems		
Choose only th Theme	ne most relevant option(s). Tic Label: Feature	ck one or more boxes below.		
Biological	☐ Newly introduced nor			
	☐ Established non-indigenous species			
<b>6</b> 1 · 1 · 1	☐ Species affected by in			
Physical and hydrological		☐ Hydrographical changes		
, -	☐ Physical disturbance t			
<b>C.</b> I	☐ Physical loss of the se	abed		
Substances, litter and	☐ Eutrophication			
energy	☐ Contaminants - non U			
	☐ Contaminants - UPBT			
	☐ Contaminants – in sea			
	Adverse effects on species or habitats			
	☐ Acute pollution event			
	Litter in the environm			
	☐ Impulsive sound in wa			
	☐ Continuous low frequency	ency sound		

## c.1d • Pressure inputs to the marine environment (Features)

Theme	Label: Feature
Biological	☐ Input or spread of non-indigenous species
	☐ Input of microbial pathogens
	$\hfill \square$ Input of genetically modified species and translocation of native species
	$\hfill\Box$ Loss of, or change to, natural biological communities due to cultivation of animal or plant species
	$\hfill\Box$ Disturbance of species (e.g. where they breed, rest and feed) due to human presence
	$\hfill\Box$ Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities)
Substances,	oxtimes Input of nutrients — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition
litter and	☐ Input of organic matter — diffuse sources and point sources
CHEI <sub>B</sub> )	☐ Input of other substances (e.g. synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events
	☐ Input of litter (solid waste matter, including micro-sized litter)
	☐ Input of anthropogenic sound (impulsive, continuous)
	$\hfill\Box$ Input of other forms of energy (including electromagnetic fields, light and heat)
	☐ Input of water — point sources (e.g. brine)
•	<ul> <li>☑ Input of nutrients — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition</li> <li>☐ Input of organic matter — diffuse sources and point sources</li> <li>☐ Input of other substances (e.g. synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances radionuclides) — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events</li> <li>☐ Input of litter (solid waste matter, including micro-sized litter)</li> <li>☐ Input of anthropogenic sound (impulsive, continuous)</li> <li>☐ Input of other forms of energy (including electromagnetic fields, light and heat)</li> </ul>

## c.1e • Uses and human activities (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Theme	Label: Feature
Physical	☐ Land claim
restructuring of rivers, coastline	☐ Canalisation and other watercourse modifications
or seabed (water management)	☐ Coastal defence and flood protection
management	☐ Offshore structures (other than for oil/gas/renewables)
	☐ Restructuring of seabed morphology, including dredging and depositing of materials
Extraction of	☐ Extraction of minerals (rock, metal ores, gravel, sand, shell)
non-living resources	☐ Extraction of oil and gas, including infrastructure
	☐ Extraction of salt

	☐ Extraction of water
Production of energy	☐ Renewable energy generation (wind, wave and tidal power), including infrastructure
	☐ Non-renewable energy generation
	☐ Transmission of electricity and communications (cables)
Extraction of	☐ Fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational)
living resources	☐ Fish and shellfish processing
	☐ Marine plant harvesting
	☐ Hunting and collecting for other purposes
Cultivation of	☐ Aquaculture — marine, including infrastructure
living resources	☐ Aquaculture — freshwater
	☐ Agriculture
	☐ Forestry
Transport	☐ Transport infrastructure
	☐ Transport — shipping
	☐ Transport — air
	☐ Transport — land
Urban and	☐ Urban uses
industrial uses	☐ Industrial uses
	☐ Waste treatment and disposal
Tourism and	☐ Tourism and leisure infrastructure
leisure	☐ Tourism and leisure activities
Security/defence	☐ Military operations (subject to Article 2(2))
Education and research	☐ Research, survey and educational activities
<b>c.2 Other leg</b> The sub-programm one or more releva	e links with the following other international legislation (OtherPoliciesConventions). Tick
☐ Bathing Water D	irective
☐Common Fisheric	es Policy and Data Collection Framework
☐ Habitats Directiv	e
☐Birds Directive	

□ Nitrates Directive
☐ Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive
☐ Water Framework Directive
□ OSPAR Convention
☐Trilateral Wadden Sea Convention
☐ Other, Specify: The National Emission Ceilings Directive (2016/2284/EU)
c.3 Implementation of Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation_implementation) Indicate the level of implementation by selecting one of the following:
☐ Agreed data collection methods
$\square$ Common monitoring strategy (spatial and temporal design of programme)
$\square$ Coordinated data collection (delivered separately by each country)
⊠Joint data collection (multinational delivery using same platform and/or algorithms)
c.4 Monitoring concepts

## Monitoring concepts table:

Current means of coordination	Features or elements Elements (Features) (Features_e num)	Parameter  Parameters (Parameters_en um)	Method  MonitoringMethod (Monitoring Method_Enum)	QA/QC (Free text)	Frequency <sup>1</sup> MonitoringFrequency, DescriptionProgramme (Frequency_Enum)	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling (ProgrammeDescripti on)	Link to HELCOM core indicators <sup>2</sup> (RelatedIndica tor) (RelatedIndica tor_name	Spatial scope (SpatialScope)	Monitoring started (year) (TemporalSco pe)	CPs monitoring <sup>3</sup> (CountryCode_Enum)
Regional	PresInput Nut (Input of nutrients )	DEP (Deposition of NOx)	OTH https://em ep.int/publ /helcom/2 017/	Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP) in Vienna, Austria	Monthly	EMEP grid 0.1 x 0.1 degree grid	Input of nutrients	Beyond MS Marine Waters	1998 SE: 1979	All HELCOM Contracting Parties (modelling covers whole drainage area)
Regional	PresInput Nut (Input of nutrients )	DEP (Deposition of NHx)	OTH https://em ep.int/publ /helcom/2 017/	Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP) in Vienna, Austria	Monthly	EMEP grid 0.1 x 0.1 degree grid	Input of nutrients	Beyond MS Marine Waters	1998 SE: 1979	All HELCOM Contracting Parties (modelling covers whole drainage area)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The option "Different for each country - see MORE overview" refers to the <u>overview</u> carried out in 2013 <sup>2</sup> Give the name of HELCOM core indicators that are based on the monitoring parameter. <sup>3</sup> Provide information on the Contracting Partie(s) that are monitoring the parameter.

#### **PARAMETER**

#### **Element/Parameter pair**

NOx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

NHx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

#### **METHOD** (Monitoring Details)

## NOx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

## NHx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Dry/wet deposion of oxidized and reduced nitrogen + total nitrogen.

Annual total emissions of nitrogen oxides and ammonia are officially reported every year to the UN ECE Secretariat by the HELCOM Contracting Parties and compiled by EMEP/MSC-W.

The methodology for data collection is based on combination of emission measurements and emission estimates based on activity data and emission factors.

The atmospheric depositions of oxidized and reduced nitrogen are calculated with the latest version of EMEP/MSC-W model in Oslo. The latest available official emission data for the HELCOM countries are used in the model computations. Both official data and expert estimates were used for modeling atmospheric transport and deposition of nitrogen compounds to the Balc Sea.

Atmospheric deposions of oxidized and reduced nitrogen were computed for the enre EMEP domain, which includes Baltic Sea basin and catchment. EMEP/MSC-W model is a multi-pollutant, three-dimensional Eulerian model which takes into account processes of emission, advection, turbulent diffusion, chemical transformations, wet and dry depositions and inflow of pollutants into the model domain. Complete description of the model and its applicaons is available on the EMEP web.

Calculations of atmospheric transport and deposions of nitrogen compounds are performed annually two years in arrears on the basis of emission data officially submied by Pares to CLRTAP Convenon and expert esmates.

The chemical Transport Model EMEP/ MSC-W is used.

For more informaon: Simpson, D., Benedictow, A., Berge, H., Bergstr om, R., Emberson, L.D., Fagerli, H.,Flechard, C.R., Hayman, G.D., Gauss, M., Jonson, J.E., Jenkin, M.W., Nyri, A, Richter, C., Semeena, V.S, Tsyro, S., Tuovinen, J.-P., Valdebenito, A., and Wind, P., The EMEP MSC-W chemical transport model technical description. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 7825-7865.

#### QA/QC

## NOx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

## NHx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

There are gaps in time series of national emissions which have to be corrected by experts. Only some HELCOM Contracting Parties provided official information about the uncertainty of nitrogen emission to EMEP and hence further work on emission uncertainty is required. Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP) in Vienna, Austria. Reviews about the consistency, comparability and trends of national inventories are available at CEIP. There are gaps in time series of national emissions which have to be corrected by experts. The results of the EMEP Unified model are routinely compared with available measurements at EMEP and HELCOM stations. The comparison of calculated versus measured data indicates that the model predicts the observed air concentrations of nitrogen within the accuracy of approximately 30%. Further work is required on reducing uncertainties in emission data and better parameterization of physical processes in the EMEP Unified model.

#### **FREQUENCY**

#### Frequency

NOx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

NHx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Annual

#### SPATIAL SCOPE

### **Spatial Scope**

NOx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

NHx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

EMEP uses a  $0.1 \times 0.1$  grid cell for calculation of deposition and input data are also aggregated using the PLC water sub-basin division to allow for harmonized HELCOM polluon load assessments covering both air- and waterborne inputs.

#### SPATIAL RESOLUTION (DENSITY) OF SAMPLING

#### Spatial resolution

NOx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

NHx / Input level of nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

0.1 x 0.1 degree grid

Provide considerations for the scale one or more relevant boxes below:	e of aggregation of data for an i	ndicator-based assessment Tick	
☐ HELCOM assessment unit Level 4: Subbasins with coastal WFD division			
☐ HELCOM assessment unit Level 3: Subbasins with coastal and offshore division			
☐ HELCOM assessment unit Level 2: Su			
⊠HELCOM assessment unit Level 1: Ba			
☐MSFD Region			
□EU			
☑Other (specify) HELCOM PLC subbas	ins		
□Unknown			
c.5 Monitoring and asse	ssment requirements	S	
	_		
Monitoring requirements:			
Data on atmospheric deposition of r	nitrogen is needed to assess the	amount of nutrient input to the	
sea to allow for follow-up of effective	reness of implemented measure	es (under e.g. BSAP, Gothenburg	
Protocol, National Emission Ceiling	Directive), for the core pressure	indicator on nutrient inputs as	
well as follow-up of progress towards the agreed BSAP country-wise nutrient reduction targets			
(CART).			
EMEP Steering Body decided to ha		_	
2017 where all countries are reque past more coares grid resolution 50		n this resolution by then. In the	
=			
Adequacy for assessment of GES:	- data and information to an		
Monitoring should provide adequate environmental status, and distance from Article 11.		•	
-	Yes	No	
Adequate data?	$\boxtimes$		
Established methods for assessment?			
Adequate understanding of GES?	$\boxtimes$		
Adequate capacity to perform assessments?			
Assessment of natural variability			

## **c.6 Data providers and access**From which database the data can be made available? Tick the relevant boxes below:

☐ HELCOM COMBINE	☐ HELCOM PLC	□HELCOM MORS
⊠Other:	EMEP/CEIP	
If the previous answ the HELCOM Secreta		fill in the next questions (In case the answer is a HELCOM database,
Data type Tick the	e relevant boxes bel	ow:
$\Box$ Unprocessed/raw	Data	
⊠Processed Data se	ets	
⊠ Data Products		
oxtimes Modelled data		
Data management:	General description	of data management (DataManagement, Free text)
database. Based modellers, i.e. dev	on the reported d	by Parties to the Convention and their import into the CEIP data, emission datasets (data products) are developed for of EMEP pollutants for EMEP area. The modelled data is used PLC sub-basins.
What method/mecl provide location (Da		o make the data available? Tick the relevant boxes below and
$\square$ Providing URL to	view data:	
$\square$ Providing URL to	download data:	
☐ Provide location	of data in national da	ta centre: Click here to enter text.
		ernational data centre (e.g. RSC, ICES, EEA, EMODnet): : eip_home/webdab_emepdatabase/emissions_emepmodels/
When will the data	first become availabl	le? (DataPublicationDate)
Enter the date of rep	porting, or even a pas	et date if desired (MM/YYYY):
01/2019		
How frequently are	the data expected to	be updated thereafter? Tick the relevant box below:
□Every 6 years	□Weekly	
□Every 3 years	□Daily	

☐ Every 2 years	□Hourly		
⊠Yearly	☐ Continually		
$\Box$ 6-monthly	□One-off		
$\square$ 3-monthly	☐As needed		
$\square$ Monthly	□Other (specify)		
$\square$ 2-weekly	□Unknown		
List providing contact poin	nts in the Contracting Parties		
	r is it planned to be used in HELCOM assessments? Tick the relevant box below:		
⊠Yes □No			
Select if data is used in the below:	e following Baltic Sea Environment Fact Sheets (BSEF) Tick the relevant boxes		
Biodiversity			
$\square$ Abundance and distribu	ition of marenzelleria species		
$\square$ Abundance and distribu	tion of Round goby		
☐ Abundance and distribution of the Zebra mussel			
$\square$ Biopollution level index			
☐ Observed non-indigeno	us and cryptogenic species in the Baltic Sea		
$\square$ Population developmen	t of Great Cormorant		
$\square$ Population developmen	t of Sandwich Tern		
$\square$ Population developmen	☐ Population development of Southern Dunlin		
☐ Population Developmen	nt of White-tailed Sea Eagle		
$\square$ Temporal development	of Baltic coastal fish communities and key species		
Eutrophication			
☐ Bacterioplankton growth			
$\Box$ Chlorophyll-a concentrations, temporal variations and regional differences from satellite remote sensing			
□ Cyanobacteria biomass			
☐ Cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic Sea			
☐Cyanobacteria bloom index			
$\square$ Impacts of invasive phytoplankton species on the Baltic Sea ecosystem in 1980-2008			

⊠Nitrogen atmosp	☑Nitrogen atmospheric deposition to the Baltic Sea		
⊠ Nitrogen emissions to the air in the Baltic Sea area			
☐ Phytoplankton biomass and species succession			
☐ Shifts in the Baltic Sea summer phytoplankton communities in 1992-2006			
☐ Spatial distribution of the winter nutrient pool			
☐Unusual phytopl	ankton event		
Hazardous substa	ances		
☐Atmospheric de	position of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea		
☐Atmospheric de	position of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea		
☐Atmospheric em	issions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region		
☐Atmospheric em	issions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region		
$\square$ Cesium-137 in B	altic Sea sediments		
☐Temporal trends	in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010		
$\square$ Emissions from $\square$	Baltic Sea shipping		
□Illegal discharge	s of oil in the Baltic Sea		
$\square$ Liquid discharge	s of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea		
☐Trace metal cond	centrations and trends in Baltic surface and deep waters		
Hydrography			
$\square$ Development of	Sea Surface Temperature in the Baltic Sea		
☐ Hydrography and Oxygen in the Deep Basins			
☐Ice season			
$\square$ Total and region	al runoff to the Baltic Sea		
□Water Exchange	between the Baltic Sea and the North Sea, and conditions in the Deep Basins		
☐ Wave climate in the Baltic Sea			
	ost relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.		
Descriptor 1	□ D1C1 – Primary:		
	The mortality rate per species from incidental by-catch is below levels which threaten the species, such that its long- term viability is ensured.		
	Member States shall establish the threshold values for the mortality rate from incidental by-catch per species, through regional or subregional cooperation.		
	□ D1C2 – Primary:		
	The population abundance of the species is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic		

pressures, such that its long-term viability is ensured. Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of natural variation in population size and the mortality rates derived from D1C1, D8C4 and D10C4 and other relevant pressures. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these values shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Population values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.  $\square$  D1C3 – Primary for commercially- exploited fish and cephalopods and secondary for other species: The population demographic characteristics (e.g. body size or age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity, and survival rates) of the species are indicative of a healthy population which is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures. Member States shall establish threshold values for specified characteristics of each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of adverse effects on their health derived from D8C2, D8C4 and other relevant pressures. ☐ D1C4 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV or V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species: The species distributional range and, where relevant, pattern is in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and climatic conditions. Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Range values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC. ☐ D1C5 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species: The habitat for the species has the necessary extent and condition to support the different stages in the life history of the species. ☐ D1C6 – Primary The condition of the habitat type, including its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures. Member States shall establish threshold values for the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5 and 8, through regional or subregional cooperation. Descriptor 2  $\square$  D2C1 – Primary: The number of non-indigenous species which are newly introduced via human activity into the wild, per assessment period (6 years), measured from the reference year as reported for the initial asessment under Article 8(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC, is minimised and where possible reduced to zero. Member States shall establish the threshold value for the number of new introductions of non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.

	□ D2C2 — Secondary:
	Abundance and spatial distribution of established non-indigenous species, particularly of invasive species, contributing significantly to adverse effects on particular species groups or broad habitat types.
	□ D2C3 — Secondary:
	Proportion of the species group or spatial extent of the broad habitat type which is adversely altered due to non-indigenous species, particularly invasive non-indigenous species.
	Member States shall establish the threshold values for the adverse alteration to species groups and broad habitat types due to non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 3	□ D3C1 — Primary:
	The Fishing mortality rate of populations of commercially-exploited species is at or below levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
	□ D3C2 — Primary:
	The Spawning Stock Biomass of populations of commercially-exploited species are above biomass levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
	□ D3C3 — Primary:
	The age and size distribution of individuals in the populations of commercially-exploited species is indicative of a healthy population. This shall include a high proportion of old/large individuals and limited adverse effects of exploitation on genetic diversity.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation for each population of species in accordance with scientific advice obtained pursuant to Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
Descriptor 4	□ D4C1 — Primary:
	The diversity (species composition and their relative abundance) of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D4C2 — Primary:
	The balance of total abundance between the trophic guilds is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	☐ D4C3 — Secondary:
	The size distribution of individuals across the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.

	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	$\Box$ D4C3 — Secondary (to be used in support of criterion D4C2, where necessary):
	Productivity of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 5	☑ D5C1 — Primary:
	Nutrient concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse eutrophication effects.
	The threshold values are as follows:
	(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation
	□ D5C2 — Primary:
	Chlorophyll a concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
	The threshold values are as follows:
	(c) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(d) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D5C3 — Secondary:
	The number, spatial extent and duration of harmful algal bloom events are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
	□ D5C4 — Secondary:
	The photic limit (transparency) of the water column is not reduced, due to increases in suspended algae, to a level that indicates adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
	The threshold values are as follows:
	(e) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(f) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	$\square$ D5C5 — Primary (may be substituted by D5C8):
	The concentration of dissolved oxygen is not reduced, due to nutrient enrichment, to levels that indicate adverse effects on benthic habitats (including on associated biota and mobile species) or other eutrophication effects.
	The threshold values are as follows:
	(g) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;

	(h) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D5C6 — Secondary:
	The abundance of opportunistic macroalgae is not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
	The threshold values are as follows:
	(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D5C7 — Secondary:
	The species composition and relative abundance or depth distribution of macrophyte communities achieve values that indicate there is no adverse effect due to nutrient enrichment including via a decrease in water transparency, as follows:
	(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	$\square$ D5C8 — Secondary: (except when used as a substitute for D5C5):
	The species composition and relative abundance of macrofaunal communities, achieve values that indicate that there is no adverse effect due to nutrient and organic enrichment, as follows:
	(a) in coastal waters, the values for benthic biological quality elements set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 6	□ D6C1 – Primary:
	Spatial extent and distribution of physical loss (permanent change) of the natural seabed.
	□ D6C2 – Primary:
	Spatial extent and distribution of physical disturbance pressures on the seabed.
	□ D6C3 – Primary:
	Spatial extent of each habitat type which is adversely affected, through change in its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. through changes in species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), by physical disturbance.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of physical

	disturbance, through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D6C4 – Primary:
	The extent of loss of the habitat type, resulting from anthropogenic pressures, does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.
	Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of habitat loss as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D6C5 – Primary:
	The extent of adverse effects from anthropogenic pressures on the condition of the habitat type, including alteration to its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for adverse effects on the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities. Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of those adverse effects as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
Descriptor 7	□ D7C1 – Secondary:
	Spatial extent and distribution of permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions
	(e.g. changes in wave action, currents, salinity, temperature) to the seabed and water column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.
	column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.
Descriptor 8	column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.  □ D7C2 – Secondary:  Spatial extent of each benthic habitat type adversely affected (physical and hydrographical characteristics and associated biological communities) due to
Descriptor 8	column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.  □ D7C2 – Secondary:  Spatial extent of each benthic habitat type adversely affected (physical and hydrographical characteristics and associated biological communities) due to permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions.
Descriptor 8	column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.  □ D7C2 – Secondary:  Spatial extent of each benthic habitat type adversely affected (physical and hydrographical characteristics and associated biological communities) due to permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions.  □ D8C1 – Primary:  Within coastal and territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed
Descriptor 8	column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.  □ D7C2 – Secondary:  Spatial extent of each benthic habitat type adversely affected (physical and hydrographical characteristics and associated biological communities) due to permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions.  □ D8C1 – Primary:  Within coastal and territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:  (a) for contaminants set out under point 1(a) of criteria elements, the values set in

	within and beyond coastal and territorial waters.
	Beyond territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:
	(a) for contaminants selected under point 2(a) of criteria elements, the values as applicable within coastal and territorial waters;
	(b) for contaminants selected under point 2(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D8C2 – Secondary:
	The health of species and the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance at locations of chronic pollution) are not adversely affected due to contaminants including cumulative and synergetic effects.
	Member States shall establish those adverse effects and their threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D8C3 – Primary:
	The spatial extent and duration of significant acute pollution events are minimised.
	$\square$ D8C4 – Secondary (to be used when a significant acute pollution event has occurred):
	The adverse effects of significant acute pollution events on the health of species and on the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance) are minimised and, where possible, eliminated.
Descriptor 9	□ D9C1 – Primary:
	The level of contaminants in edible tissues (muscle, liver, roe, flesh or other soft parts, as appropriate) of seafood (including fish, crustaceans, molluscs, echinoderms, seaweed and other marine plants) caught or harvested in the wild (excluding fin-fish from mariculture) does not exceed:
	(a) for contaminants listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, the maximum levels laid down in that Regulation, which are the threshold values for the purposes of this Decision;
	(b) for additional contaminants, not listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, threshold values, which Member States shall establish through regional or subregional cooperation.

Descriptor 10	□ D10C1 – Primary:
	The composition, amount and spatial distribution of litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and on the seabed, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D10C2 — Primary:
	The composition, amount and spatial distribution of micro-litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and in seabed sediment, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D10C3 — Secondary:
	The amount of litter and micro-litter ingested by marine animals is at a level that does not adversely affect the health of the species concerned. Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D10C4 — Secondary:
	The number of individuals of each species which are adversely affected due to litter, such as by entanglement, other types of injury or mortality, or health effects. Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of litter, through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 11	□ D11C1 – Primary:
	The spatial distribution, temporal extent, and levels of anthropogenic impulsive sound sources do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D11C2 – Primary:
	The spatial distribution, temporal extent and levels of anthropogenic continuous low-frequency sound do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.

## d. References

Make a list of cited references and literature for further supportive information.

Bartnicki J., Gusev A., Aas W., Gauss M. and Eiof Jonson J. Atmospheric Supply of Nitrogen, Cadmium, Mercury, Lead, and PCDD/Fs to the Baltic Sea in 2015.

https://www.emep.int/publ/helcom/2017/index.html

Simpson, D., Benedictow, A., Berge, H., Bergstrom, R., Emberson, L.D., Fagerli, H., Flechard,

C.R., Hayman, G.D., Gauss, M., Jonson, J.E., Jenkin, M.W., Nyri, A, Richter, C., Semeena, V.S, Tsyro, S., Tuovinen, JP., Valdebenito, A., and Wind, P., The EMEP MSC-W chemical transport model technical description. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 7825-7865.		