

HELCOM Monitoring Programme topic

Fish, shellfish and fisheries

Programme:

Migratory fish

Contents

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| a. | Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes..... | 2 |
| a.1 | Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body | 2 |
| a.2 | Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation) | 3 |
| b. | Monitoring strategies..... | 3 |
| b.1 | Descriptor | 3 |
| b.2 | BSAP segments | 4 |
| b.3 | Monitoring strategy description..... | 4 |
| b.4 | BSAP Ecological objectives..... | 4 |
| b.5 | Gaps in monitoring | 5 |
| c. | Monitoring programmes | 5 |
| c.1 | Purpose of monitoring..... | 5 |
| c.2 | Other legislation..... | 9 |
| c.3 | Implementation of Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation_implementation) | 10 |
| c.4 | Monitoring concepts..... | 11 |
| c.5 | Monitoring and assessment requirements..... | 18 |
| c.6 | Data providers and access..... | 19 |
| c.7 | MSFD Criteria (GES Criteria)..... | 22 |
| d. | References | 29 |

a. Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes

a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body

Please indicate the relevant expert group/network if available, otherwise the responsible HELCOM Working Group. or the responsible working group understanding is that they are the EN or EG if available, otherwise the WGs

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Permanent Groups | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Gear – Group on the Implementation of the Ecosystem Approach |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Maritime – Maritime Working Group |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pressure – Working Group on Reduction of Pressures from the Baltic Sea Catchment Area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Response – Response Working Group |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | State and Conservation – Working Group on the State of the Environmental and Nature Conservation |
| Time-limited Groups | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Agri – Group on Sustainable Agricultural Practices |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Fish – Group on Ecosystem-based Sustainable Fisheries |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | HELCOM-VASAB MSP WG - Joint HELCOM-VASAB Maritime Spatial Planning Working Group |
| Expert Groups | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | EWG SHORE – Expert Working Group on Response on the Shore |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Green Technology and Alternative Fuels Platform for Shipping |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | HELCOM/OSPAR TG BALLAST – Joint HELCOM/OSPAR Task Group on Ballast Management Convention Exemptions |

- | | |
|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | IN Benthic habitat – Intersessional Network on habitat monitoring |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | IWGAS – Informal Working Group on Aerial Surveillance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | JWG Bird – HELCOM-OSPAR-ICES Joint Working Group on Seabirds |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | MORS EG – Expert group on monitoring of radioactive substances in the Baltic Sea |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | PRF Cooperation Platform – Cooperation Platform on Port Reception Facilities in the Baltic Sea |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | SAFE NAV – Group of Experts on Safety of Navigation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | SUBMERGED – Expert Group on Environmental Risks of Hazardous Submerged Objects |

a.2 Regional Cooperation (Regional Cooperation)

The monitoring of this programme is:

- Fully coordinated
- Partly coordinated. Indicate missing component(s):
- Coordinated monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a recommendation on coordinated monitoring can be expected.
- Common monitoring guidelines: Monitoring is coordinated under ICES groups: Assessment Working Group on Baltic Salmon and Trout and Joint EIFAAC/ICES Working Group on Eels.
 - Common quality assurance programme: ICES
 - Common database: There are no centralised databases in ICES that cover data for Baltic salmon and trout, other than the ground fish trawl surveys. A common, coordinated analysis of database is made under ICES by the Finnish Game and Fisheries Research Institute.

b. Monitoring strategies

b.1 Descriptor

The programme supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant boxes.

- D1** Biodiversity
- D2** Non-indigenous Species
- D3** Commercial fish and shellfish
- D4** Food webs
- D5** Eutrophication
- D6** Seafloor integrity

- D7** Hydrographical conditions
- D8** Contaminants
- D9** Contaminants in seafood
- D10** Marine litter
- D11** Energy including underwater noise

b.2 BSAP segments

The sub-programme serves the following BSAP segments. Tick one or more relevant boxes.

- Eutrophication
- Hazardous substances
- Biodiversity
- Maritime activities

b.3 Monitoring strategy description

Monitoring strategy : Monitoring is to be carried out to fulfill assessment requirements of HELCOM ecological objectives that are specified through HELCOM core indicators. The requirements on monitoring can include number of stations, the sampling frequency and replication.

b.4 BSAP Ecological objectives

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Eutrophication

- Concentrations of nutrients close to natural levels
- Clear water
- Natural level of algal blooms
- Natural distribution and occurrence of plants and animals
- Natural oxygen levels

Hazardous substances

- Concentrations of hazardous substances close to natural levels
- All fish safe to eat
- Healthy wildlife
- Radioactivity at pre-Chernobyl levels

Biodiversity

- Natural landscapes and seascapes
 - Thriving and balanced communities of plants and animals
 - Viable populations of species
-

Maritime activities

- No illegal pollution
 - Safe maritime traffic without accidental pollution
 - Efficient response capability
 - No introductions of alien species from ships
 - Minimum air pollution from ships
 - Zero discharges from offshore platforms
-

b.5 Gaps in monitoring

In relation to the GES criteria addressed, indicate when sufficient monitoring was in place or by when sufficient coverage will be in place ([Coverage_GEScriteria](#))

- Adequate monitoring was in place in 2014
- Adequate monitoring was in place by 2018
- Adequate monitoring is in place by July 2020
- Adequate monitoring will be in place by 2024
- Monitoring is not being put in place for this descriptor due to a low risk
- Monitoring for this descriptor is not relevant

Description of the implementation gaps and plans to complete the establishment and implementation of this descriptor monitoring strategy ([Gaps_Plans](#)):

Currently, few salmon index rivers in the Baltic Sea provide a full set of information (monitoring of salmon spawning runs, smolt runs and river catches, and parr densities) required from index rivers. Full scale monitoring takes place only in Finland and Sweden and covers Assessment Units 1, 2 and 4. More index rivers with full set of information are especially needed from Assessment Units 5 and 6. In Assessment Unit 6, a full monitoring package is put into practice only on one river (Pirita, Estonia). Complete and more accurate estimates about recreational salmon catches are needed both from the sea and the rivers. The spatio-temporal coverage of catch sampling from sea may need to be increased for assessment efforts serving stock specific management and restoration of weak stocks. For sea trout, establishment of index rivers similar to salmon index rivers is highly recommended.

Since there are more migratory fish species in the Baltic Sea which are listed on Annex 2 of the EU Habitats Directive, such as lampreys, the monitoring program needs to be improved.

c. Monitoring programmes

c.1 Purpose of monitoring

c.1a Assessment purpose in general

The programme supports the assessment of:

Tick the relevant box.

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Temporal trends | <input type="checkbox"/> Spatial distribution | <input type="checkbox"/> State classification |
|--|---|---|

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| ☒ | ☒ | ☒ |
|---|---|---|

The **programme** supports the assessment of: **(MonitoringPurpose)**.

Note that the answer to this question will be decisive for whether to answer upcoming questions e.g. upcoming questions on pressures should only be answered if the monitoring is defined as supporting the assessment of pressures.

Tick the relevant boxes.

| Environmental state and impacts | Pressures in the marine environment | Pressures at source (land-based, riverine, sea-based ¹ and atmospheric sources) | Human activities causing the pressures | Effectiveness of measures |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | ☒ | ☒ |
| If this is selected fill in the following questions: <i>c.1b</i> | If this is selected fill in the following questions: <i>c.1c, d</i> | If this is selected fill in the following questions: <i>c.1c, d</i> | If this is selected fill in the following questions: <i>c.1c, d</i> | If this is selected fill in the following questions: <i>c.1c, d</i> |

Give any other monitoring purpose e.g. if the programmes include supporting parameters for other monitoring programmes

For questions 1b-1d, select when applicable for the sub-programme, the link from the Reporting on the 2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive ([MSFD Guidance Document 17, 2020](#)) (**Features**) to:

- Ecosystem components (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1a) for D1C2-C5, D3, D4, D6C3-C5, D7C2)
- Pressures and impacts in the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1b) for D1C1, D2, D5, D6C1-C2, D7C1, D8, D9, D10, D11)
- Pressure inputs to the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 10)
- Uses and human activities (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1c) and 13)

c.1b • Ecosystem components (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

| Theme | Sub-theme | Label feature |
|---------|--------------------------------|--|
| Species | <input type="checkbox"/> Birds | <input type="checkbox"/> Grazing birds |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Wading birds |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Surface-feeding birds |

¹ Sea-based 'Pressures at source' refers to monitoring pressures from sea-based activities where the monitoring is directly at the activity rather than at a distance from or time period after it is generated by the activity (e.g. D1 incidental by-catch when fishing, D2 ballast water discharges, D6 use of bottom fishing gear, D8 contaminant discharges and pollution events from a vessel or pipeline, D11 impulsive sound events from a vessel or platform).

| | |
|--|--|
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic-feeding birds |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Benthic-feeding birds |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mammals | <input type="checkbox"/> Small toothed cetaceans |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Deep-diving toothed cetaceans |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Baleen whales |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Seals |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reptiles | <input type="checkbox"/> Turtles |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fish | <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal fish |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic shelf fish |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Demersal shelf fish |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Deep-sea fish |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Commercially exploited fish and shellfish |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cephalopods | <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal/shelf cephalopods |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Deep-sea cephalopods |
| Habitats | <input type="checkbox"/> Benthic habitats |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Benthic broad habitats |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other benthic habitats |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic habitats |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic broad habitats |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other pelagic habitats |
| Ecosystems | <input type="checkbox"/> Physical and hydrological characteristics |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Chemical characteristics |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Ecosystems, including food webs |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal ecosystems |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Shelf ecosystems |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems |

c.1c • Pressures and impacts in the marine environment (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

| Theme | Label: Feature |
|---------------------------|--|
| Biological | <input type="checkbox"/> Newly introduced non-indigenous species |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Established non-indigenous species |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Species affected by incidental by-catch |
| Physical and hydrological | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrographical changes |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Physical disturbance to seabed |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Physical loss of the seabed |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Eutrophication |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Substances, litter and energy | <input type="checkbox"/> Contaminants - non UPBT substances |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Contaminants - UPBT substances |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Contaminants – in seafood |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Adverse effects on species or habitats |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Acute pollution events |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Litter in the environment |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Impulsive sound in water |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Continuous low frequency sound |

c.1d • Pressure inputs to the marine environment (Features)

| Theme | Label: Feature |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Biological | <input type="checkbox"/> Input or spread of non-indigenous species |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Input of microbial pathogens |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Input of genetically modified species and translocation of native species |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Loss of, or change to, natural biological communities due to cultivation of animal or plant species |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Disturbance of species (e.g. where they breed, rest and feed) due to human presence |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities) |
| Substances, litter and energy | <input type="checkbox"/> Input of nutrients — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Input of organic matter — diffuse sources and point sources |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Input of other substances (e.g. synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Input of litter (solid waste matter, including micro-sized litter) |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Input of anthropogenic sound (impulsive, continuous) |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Input of other forms of energy (including electromagnetic fields, light and heat) |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Input of water — point sources (e.g. brine) |

c.1e • Uses and human activities (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

| Theme | Label: Feature |
|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Physical | <input type="checkbox"/> Land claim |

| | |
|---|---|
| restructuring of rivers, coastline or seabed (water management) | <input type="checkbox"/> Canalisation and other watercourse modifications |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal defence and flood protection |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Offshore structures (other than for oil/gas/renewables) |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Restructuring of seabed morphology, including dredging and depositing of materials |
| Extraction of non-living resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of minerals (rock, metal ores, gravel, sand, shell) |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of oil and gas, including infrastructure |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of salt |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of water |
| Production of energy | <input type="checkbox"/> Renewable energy generation (wind, wave and tidal power), including infrastructure |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-renewable energy generation |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission of electricity and communications (cables) |
| Extraction of living resources | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational) |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Fish and shellfish processing |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Marine plant harvesting |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting and collecting for other purposes |
| Cultivation of living resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Aquaculture — marine, including infrastructure |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Aquaculture — freshwater |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Forestry |
| Transport | <input type="checkbox"/> Transport infrastructure |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Transport — shipping |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Transport — air |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Transport — land |
| Urban and industrial uses | <input type="checkbox"/> Urban uses |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial uses |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Waste treatment and disposal |
| Tourism and leisure | <input type="checkbox"/> Tourism and leisure infrastructure |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Tourism and leisure activities |
| Security/defence | <input type="checkbox"/> Military operations (subject to Article 2(2)) |
| Education and research | <input type="checkbox"/> Research, survey and educational activities |

c.2 Other legislation

The sub-programme links with the following other international legislation ([OtherPoliciesConventions](#)). Tick

one or more relevant boxes.

- Bathing Water Directive
- Common Fisheries Policy and Data Collection Framework
- Habitats Directive
- Birds Directive
- Nitrates Directive
- Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive
- Water Framework Directive
- OSPAR Convention
- Trilateral Wadden Sea Convention
- Other, Specify: Maritime Spatial Planning Directive

c.3 Implementation of Regional Cooperation **(RegionalCooperation_implementation)**

Indicate the level of implementation by selecting one of the following:

- Agreed data collection methods
- Common monitoring strategy (spatial and temporal design of programme)
- Coordinated data collection (delivered separately by each country)
- Joint data collection (multinational delivery using same platform and/or algorithms)

c.4 Monitoring concepts

Monitoring concepts table²:

| Current means of coordination | Features or Elements | Parameter | Method | QA/QC | Frequency ³ | Spatial resolution (density) of sampling | Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴ | Spatial scope | Monitoring started (year) | CPs monitoring ⁵ |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------|------------------------|--|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Elements (Features) (Features_enum) | Parameters (Parameter) (ParametersOther) | MonitoringMethod (MonitoringMethod) (MonitoringMethodOther) | (Free text) | MonitoringFrequency | (ProgrammeDescription) | (RelatedIndicator) (RelatedIndicator_name) | (SpatialScope) | (TemporalScope) | (CountryCode_Enum) |
| ICES | Element: Sea trout (<i>salmo trutta trutta</i>) or Features: Pelagic fish Sub-apex pelagic predators Sub-apex demersal predators Commercially exploited fish and shellfish | Life history stage (e.g. egg, juvenile, adult) | Sea trout parr density surveys rivers | Other | Yearly | Targeting river basins | Abundance of sea trout spawners and parr | MS land/FW | Varies by river – earliest 1976 | SE, FI, RU, EE, LT, PL, DK, DE |
| ICES | Fish abundance | Life history stage (e.g. egg, juvenile, adult) | Sea trout parr density surveys areas | Other | Yearly | Targeting areas | Abundance of sea trout spawners and parr | Territorial waters | Varies by area – earliest 1980 | FI, RU, EE, LV, LT, PL |

² Needed codelists can be found on 2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD Guidance Document 17, 2020).

³ The option “Different for each country - see MORE overview” refers to the [overview](#) carried out in 2013

⁴ Give the name of HELCOM core indicators that are based on the monitoring parameter.

⁵ Provide information on the Contracting Partie(s) that are monitoring the parameter.

| Current means of coordination | Features or Elements | Parameter | Method | QA/QC | Frequency ³ | Spatial resolution (density) of sampling | Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴ | Spatial scope | Monitoring started (year) | CPs monitoring ⁵ |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|-------|------------------------|--|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ICES | Sampling from sea trout catch | Composition of catch | Biological sampling | Other | Yearly | Main fisheries | | Territorial waters | Early 2000s | All HELCOM Contracting Parties |
| ICES | Population dynamics salmon | Population size (abundance) | Stock assessment | Other | Yearly | Stock wide assessment Gulf of Finland | | EEZ | 1993 | All HELCOM Contracting Parties |
| ICES | Population dynamics salmon | Population size (abundance) | Stock assessment | Other | Yearly | Stock wide assessment Main Baltic Basin and GoB and on river basis | | EEZ | 1993 | All HELCOM Contracting Parties |
| ICES | Catch statistics of salmon | Composition and number of retained/landed catch | Time series of catches of salmon | Other | 3-monthly | Offshore, coastal, river, commercial and angling | | MS land/FW | 1972 | All HELCOM Contracting Parties |
| ICES | Salmon parr densities | Life history stage (e.g. egg, juvenile, adult) | Electrofishing | Other | Yearly | River areas, rolling programme | Abundance of salmon spawners and smolt | MS land/FW | 1980 | All HELCOM Contracting Parties |
| ICES | Salmon spawning runs | Reproduction rate | Monitoring at fish ladders with traps or DIDSON | Other | Yearly | At the few index rivers | Abundance of salmon spawners and smolt | MS land/FW | Traps since 1990s, DIDSON since 2008 | All HELCOM Contracting Parties |

| Current means of coordination | Features or Elements | Parameter | Method | QA/QC | Frequency ³ | Spatial resolution (density) of sampling | Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴ | Spatial scope | Monitoring started (year) | CPs monitoring ⁵ |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------|------------------------|---|---|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ICES | Salmon smolt production | Life history stage (e.g. egg, juvenile, adult) | Traps and mark recapture | Other | Yearly | At the few salmon index rivers and other rivers | Abundance of salmon spawners and smolt | MS land/FW | 1990 | All HELCOM Contracting Parties |
| ICES | Sampling from salmon catch | Composition of catch | Biological sampling | Other | Yearly | All main fisheries | | MS land/FW | 2003 | All HELCOM Contracting Parties |
| ICES under WGEEL Group | Yellow eel recruitment | Life history stage (e.g. egg, juvenile, adult) | traps | Other | Yearly | At 5 Baltic rivers | | MS land/FW | 1950 | SE and DK |
| ICES under WGEEL Group | Yellow eel recruitment | Population size (abundance) | traps | other | Yearly | 2 locations | | MS land/FW | 2004 | SE |
| ICES | Silver eel escapement | Life history stage (e.g. egg, juvenile, adult) | Catch per unit effort of silver eel | Other | Yearly | 3 locations in Sweden | | Territorial waters | 1950 | SE |
| ICES | Eel commercial catch | Composition and number of retained/landed catch | Monitoring of catches | Other | Yearly | Across the Baltic | | Territorial waters | 1945 | All HELCOM Contracting Parties |

PARAMETER

| Element/Parameter pair |
|--------------------------------|
| Salmon, sea trout, eel/catches |

METHOD (MonitoringDetails)

| Element/parameter |
|---------------------------|
| All catches are monitored |

SPATIAL RESOLUTION (DENSITY) OF SAMPLING

Spatial resolution

| Element/Parameter pair |
|--|
| The catch monitoring occurs across the Balc. Catch monitoring collates national catch records (but method varies by country across sea trout, salmon and eel). |

PARAMETER

| Element/Parameter pair |
|-------------------------------------|
| Salmon population/ stock assessment |

METHOD (MonitoringDetails)

| Element/parameter |
|--|
| Smolt and parr surveys (traps, DIDSON and electrofishing) at index rivers, and other locations. Stock assessments exist for the main salmon population in the Baltic and the overall trends for Gulf of Finland salmon determined using various methods of interpolating monitoring trends. Stock assessments integrate time series trends and biological information. |

QA/QC

| Element/Parameter pair |
|--|
| Either by ICES protocol, benchmark or workshop. Some though use national approaches. |

FREQUENCY

Frequency

Element/Parameter pair

The frequency varies, with some series stopping and then starting again. Varieties of rivers can be monitored each year. The salmon index rivers should be monitored annually. Sea trout and salmon don't occur parallel in all rivers, selection of index rivers need to be considered separately for salmon and sea trout.

SPATIAL SCOPE

Spatial Scope

Element/Parameter pair

Monitoring for salmon is carried out by assessment unit.

SPATIAL RESOLUTION (DENSITY) OF SAMPLING

Spatial resolution

Element/Parameter pair

Stock assessments are region specific. The surveys of recruitment, escapement, smolts or parrs are river specific (see ICES SGBALANST REPORT 2007 page 10 for a summary of sea trout monitoring in the Baltic ; ICES WGBAST REPORT 2013 page 18 for a table of biological sampling of salmon in the Baltic in 2012 and page 270 for assessment units).

PARAMETER

Element/Parameter pair

Sea trout population/Stock assessment

METHOD (MonitoringDetails)

Element/parameter

Smolt and parr surveys (traps, DIDSON and electrofishing) at index rivers, and other locations. Stock assessments exist for overall trends for sea trout, determined using various methods of interpolating monitoring trends. Stock assessments integrate time series trends and biological information.

QA/QC

Element/Parameter pair

Either by ICES protocol, benchmark or workshop. Some though use national approaches.

FREQUENCY

Frequency

Element/Parameter pair

The frequency varies, with some series stopping and then starting again. Varieties of rivers can be monitored each year. The salmon index rivers should be monitored annually. Sea trout and salmon don't occur parallel in all rivers, selection of index rivers need to be considered separately for salmon and sea trout.

SPATIAL SCOPE

Spatial Scope

Element/Parameter pair

Region specific / River specific. Assessment is river specific, results can be summed up by coastal area or sub basin.

SPATIAL RESOLUTION (DENSITY) OF SAMPLING

Spatial resolution

Element/Parameter pair

Stock assessments are region specific. The surveys of recruitment, escapement, smolts or parrs are river specific (see ICES SGBALANST REPORT 2007 page 10 for a summary of sea trout monitoring in the Baltic ; ICES WGBAST REPORT 2013 page 18 for a table of biological sampling of salmon in the Baltic in 2012 and page 270 for assessment units).

PARAMETER

Element/Parameter pair

Eel population/Stock assessment

METHOD (MonitoringDetails)

Element/parameter

The abundance trends of various life stages (recruits, yellow eel and silver eel) are monitored. Yellow eel monitoring by traps in specific locations. Silver eel by catch per unit of fishing effort estimates. The Baltic is a component of the Europe wide stock assessment. Overall trends for eel are determined using various methods of interpolating monitoring trends. Stock assessments integrate time series trends and biological information.

QA/QC

Element/Parameter pair

Either by ICES protocol, benchmark or workshop. Some though use national approaches.

FREQUENCY

Frequency

Element/Parameter pair

The frequency varies, with some series stopping and then starting again. Varieties of rivers can be monitored each year. The salmon index rivers should be monitored annually.

SPATIAL SCOPE

Spatial Scope

Element/Parameter pair

Most monitoring for eel is carried out in Sweden

SPATIAL RESOLUTION (DENSITY) OF SAMPLING

Spatial resolution

Element/Parameter pair

PARAMETER

Element/Parameter pair

Stock assessments are region specific.

Provide considerations for the scale of aggregation of data for an indicator-based assessment Tick one or more relevant boxes below:

- HELCOM assessment unit Level 4: Subbasins with coastal WFD division
- HELCOM assessment unit Level 3: Subbasins with coastal and offshore division
- HELCOM assessment unit Level 2: Subbasin
- HELCOM assessment unit Level 1: Baltic Sea
- MSFD Region
- EU
- Other (specify)
- Unknown

c.5 Monitoring and assessment requirements

Monitoring requirements:

The current management regime requires an evaluation of the status of individual salmon stocks. This implies that stock-specific information needs to be collected from all salmon rivers; currently there are about 40 spawning rivers of salmon in the Baltic Sea (and 16 rivers in Kattegat). The regime has a high priority to establish at least one index river in each Assessment Unit (AU) of Baltic salmon.

Data from an index river consists of monitoring of salmon spawning runs and their composition, smolt runs, river catches and parr densities. Monitoring in all non-index salmon rivers should be arranged so that each juvenile cohort is sampled at least once before smoltification. Electrofishing surveys in non-index salmon rivers are of high priority but it is not necessary to have annual surveys in every river. Periodic smolt trapping in non-index rivers and monitoring of the M74 mortality in a subset of rivers supplement assessment by improving the accuracy of stock estimates.

Updating any information relevant to the migration and/or reproduction possibilities of salmon in rivers (e.g., changes in migration obstacles, restoration of river habitat, measures affecting water quality and/or flow regimes) is also needed.

Assessment requirements concerning monitoring at sea covers: fishing effort and catches (incl. discarding and estimates of unreporting), catch sampling from which the stock composition and the origin (wild/hatchery-reared) is analysed. These data are needed on fleet basis (offshore/coastal fishing by gear type). Also catch data on recreational fisheries at sea and in rivers is necessary and used in the salmon assessment.

Monitoring requirements concerning sea trout are very similar to those of salmon. However, the current trout assessment does not require as broad range of datasets as is required for salmon assessment. The datasets of highest priority are: parr densities and inventories of river habitat. Establishment of index rivers similar to salmon index rivers is highly recommended. This would enable supplementing of parr density information with counts of trout smolts and ascending

spawners in a part of rivers. Catches, tag recaptures from fisheries, and information about fishing pressure and fishing pattern (gear and mesh sizes used) are also required for in-depth assessment of sea trout stocks.

There is no such thing as Baltic eel. Eel is pan-European, so the monitoring programmes must be European wide.

Adequacy for assessment of GES:

Monitoring should provide adequate data and information to enable the periodic assessment of environmental status, and distance from and progress towards GES as required by MSFD under Article 9 and Article 11.

| | Yes | No |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Adequate data? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Established methods for assessment? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Adequate understanding of GES? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Adequate capacity to perform assessments? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Assessment of natural variability

Quantitative and by expert opinion. Natural variation is quantified by analytical assessment tools (life cycle models for salmon and other statistical analyses methods for sea trout) and the results are complemented by expert opinions, trend analyses etc.

c.6 Data providers and access

From which database the data can be made available? Tick the relevant boxes below:

- HELCOM
 HELCOM PLC
 HELCOM MORS
 COMBINE

Other:

If the previous answer is "Other" please fill in the next questions (In case the answer is a HELCOM database, the HELCOM Secretariat will do it)

Data type Tick the relevant boxes below:

- Unprocessed/raw Data
 Processed Data sets
 Data Products

Modelled data

Data management: General description of data management ([DataManagement](#), Free text)

Provide location of data in national data centre: Modelled data available in the Finnish Game and Fisheries Research Institute.

Provide location of data in international data centre (e.g. RSC, ICES, EEA, EMODnet): Catch sampling data of salmon available in the ICES Regional database.

What method/mechanism will be used to make the data available? Tick the relevant boxes below and provide location ([DataAccess](#)):

Providing URL to view data:

Providing URL to download data:

Provide location of data in national data centre: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Provide location of data in international data centre (e.g. RSC, ICES, EEA, EMODnet):

When will the data first become available? ([DataPublicationDate](#))

Enter the date of reporting, or even a past date if desired (MM/YYYY):

How frequently are the data expected to be updated thereafter? Tick the relevant box below:

Every 6 years

Weekly

Every 3 years

Daily

Every 2 years

Hourly

Yearly

Continually

6-monthly

One-off

3-monthly

As needed

Monthly

Other (specify)

2-weekly

Unknown

List providing contact points in the Contracting Parties

Has the data been used or is it planned to be used in HELCOM assessments? Tick the relevant box below:

Yes

No

Select if data is used in the following Baltic Sea Environment Fact Sheets (BSEF) Tick the relevant boxes

below:

Biodiversity

- Abundance and distribution of marenzelleria species
- Abundance and distribution of Round goby
- Abundance and distribution of the Zebra mussel
- Biopollution level index
- Observed non-indigenous and cryptogenic species in the Baltic Sea
- Population development of Great Cormorant
- Population development of Sandwich Tern
- Population development of Southern Dunlin
- Population Development of White-tailed Sea Eagle
- Temporal development of Baltic coastal fish communities and key species

Eutrophication

- Bacterioplankton growth
- Chlorophyll-a concentrations, temporal variations and regional differences from satellite remote sensing
- Cyanobacteria biomass
- Cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic Sea
- Cyanobacteria bloom index
- Impacts of invasive phytoplankton species on the Baltic Sea ecosystem in 1980-2008
- Nitrogen atmospheric deposition to the Baltic Sea
- Nitrogen emissions to the air in the Baltic Sea area
- Phytoplankton biomass and species succession
- Shifts in the Baltic Sea summer phytoplankton communities in 1992-2006
- Spatial distribution of the winter nutrient pool
- Unusual phytoplankton event

Hazardous substances

- Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea
- Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea
- Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region
- Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region
- Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments
- Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010

- Emissions from Baltic Sea shipping
- Illegal discharges of oil in the Baltic Sea
- Liquid discharges of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea
- Trace metal concentrations and trends in Baltic surface and deep waters

Hydrography

- Development of Sea Surface Temperature in the Baltic Sea
- Hydrography and Oxygen in the Deep Basins
- Ice season
- Total and regional runoff to the Baltic Sea
- Water Exchange between the Baltic Sea and the North Sea, and conditions in the Deep Basins
- Wave climate in the Baltic Sea

c.7 MSFD Criteria (GES Criteria)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

| | |
|--------------|--|
| Descriptor 1 | <p><input type="checkbox"/> D1C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The mortality rate per species from incidental by-catch is below levels which threaten the species, such that its long- term viability is ensured.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the threshold values for the mortality rate from incidental by-catch per species, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D1C2 – Primary:</p> <p>The population abundance of the species is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures, such that its long-term viability is ensured.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of natural variation in population size and the mortality rates derived from D1C1, D8C4 and D10C4 and other relevant pressures. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these values shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Population values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D1C3 – Primary for commercially- exploited fish and cephalopods and secondary for other species:</p> <p>The population demographic characteristics (e.g. body size or age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity, and survival rates) of the species are indicative of a healthy population which is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for specified characteristics of each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of adverse effects on their health derived from D8C2, D8C4 and other relevant pressures.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D1C4 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV or V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:</p> |
|--------------|--|

| | |
|--------------|--|
| | <p>The species distributional range and, where relevant, pattern is in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and climatic conditions.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Range values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D1C5 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:</p> <p>The habitat for the species has the necessary extent and condition to support the different stages in the life history of the species.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D1C6 – Primary</p> <p>The condition of the habitat type, including its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5 and 8, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> |
| Descriptor 2 | <p><input type="checkbox"/> D2C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The number of non-indigenous species which are newly introduced via human activity into the wild, per assessment period (6 years), measured from the reference year as reported for the initial assessment under Article 8(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC, is minimised and where possible reduced to zero.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the threshold value for the number of new introductions of non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D2C2 – Secondary:</p> <p>Abundance and spatial distribution of established non-indigenous species, particularly of invasive species, contributing significantly to adverse effects on particular species groups or broad habitat types.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D2C3 – Secondary:</p> <p>Proportion of the species group or spatial extent of the broad habitat type which is adversely altered due to non-indigenous species, particularly invasive non-indigenous species.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the threshold values for the adverse alteration to species groups and broad habitat types due to non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> |
| Descriptor 3 | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D3C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The Fishing mortality rate of populations of commercially-exploited species is at or below levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D3C2 – Primary:</p> |

| | |
|--------------|--|
| | <p>The Spawning Stock Biomass of populations of commercially-exploited species are above biomass levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D3C3 — Primary:</p> <p>The age and size distribution of individuals in the populations of commercially-exploited species is indicative of a healthy population. This shall include a high proportion of old/large individuals and limited adverse effects of exploitation on genetic diversity.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation for each population of species in accordance with scientific advice obtained pursuant to Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.</p> |
| Descriptor 4 | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D4C1 — Primary:</p> <p>The diversity (species composition and their relative abundance) of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D4C2 — Primary:</p> <p>The balance of total abundance between the trophic guilds is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D4C3 — Secondary:</p> <p>The size distribution of individuals across the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D4C3 — Secondary (to be used in support of criterion D4C2, where necessary):</p> <p>Productivity of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> |
| Descriptor 5 | <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C1 — Primary:</p> <p>Nutrient concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse eutrophication effects.</p> <p>The threshold values are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C2 — Primary:</p> |

Chlorophyll a concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.

The threshold values are as follows:

- (c) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
- (d) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.

D5C3 — Secondary:

The number, spatial extent and duration of harmful algal bloom events are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.

D5C4 — Secondary:

The photic limit (transparency) of the water column is not reduced, due to increases in suspended algae, to a level that indicates adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.

The threshold values are as follows:

- (e) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
- (f) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.

D5C5 — Primary (may be substituted by D5C8):

The concentration of dissolved oxygen is not reduced, due to nutrient enrichment, to levels that indicate adverse effects on benthic habitats (including on associated biota and mobile species) or other eutrophication effects.

The threshold values are as follows:

- (g) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
- (h) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.

D5C6 — Secondary:

The abundance of opportunistic macroalgae is not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.

The threshold values are as follows:

- (a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
- (b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.

D5C7 — Secondary:

The species composition and relative abundance or depth distribution of macrophyte communities achieve values that indicate there is no adverse effect due to nutrient enrichment including via a decrease in water transparency, as follows:

| | |
|--------------|--|
| | <p>(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;</p> <p>(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C8 — Secondary: (except when used as a substitute for D5C5):</p> <p>The species composition and relative abundance of macrofaunal communities, achieve values that indicate that there is no adverse effect due to nutrient and organic enrichment, as follows:</p> <p>(a) in coastal waters, the values for benthic biological quality elements set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;</p> <p>(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> |
| Descriptor 6 | <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C1 – Primary:</p> <p>Spatial extent and distribution of physical loss (permanent change) of the natural seabed.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C2 – Primary:</p> <p>Spatial extent and distribution of physical disturbance pressures on the seabed.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C3 – Primary:</p> <p>Spatial extent of each habitat type which is adversely affected, through change in its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. through changes in species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), by physical disturbance.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of physical disturbance, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C4 – Primary:</p> <p>The extent of loss of the habitat type, resulting from anthropogenic pressures, does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of habitat loss as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C5 – Primary:</p> <p>The extent of adverse effects from anthropogenic pressures on the condition of the habitat type, including alteration to its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for adverse effects on the condition of</p> |

| | |
|--------------|---|
| | <p>each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities. Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of those adverse effects as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> |
| Descriptor 7 | <p><input type="checkbox"/> D7C1 – Secondary:</p> <p>Spatial extent and distribution of permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions (e.g. changes in wave action, currents, salinity, temperature) to the seabed and water column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D7C2 – Secondary:</p> <p>Spatial extent of each benthic habitat type adversely affected (physical and hydrographical characteristics and associated biological communities) due to permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions.</p> |
| Descriptor 8 | <p><input type="checkbox"/> D8C1 – Primary:</p> <p>Within coastal and territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) for contaminants set out under point 1(a) of criteria elements, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (b) when contaminants under point (a) are measured in a matrix for which no value is set under Directive 2000/60/EC, the concentration of those contaminants in that matrix established by Member States through regional or subregional cooperation; (c) for additional contaminants selected under point 1(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation, considering their application within and beyond coastal and territorial waters. <p>Beyond territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) for contaminants selected under point 2(a) of criteria elements, the values as applicable within coastal and territorial waters; (b) for contaminants selected under point 2(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation. <p><input type="checkbox"/> D8C2 – Secondary:</p> <p>The health of species and the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance at locations of chronic pollution) are not adversely affected due to contaminants including cumulative and synergetic effects.</p> <p>Member States shall establish those adverse effects and their threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| | <p><input type="checkbox"/> D8C3 – Primary: The spatial extent and duration of significant acute pollution events are minimised.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D8C4 – Secondary (to be used when a significant acute pollution event has occurred): The adverse effects of significant acute pollution events on the health of species and on the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance) are minimised and, where possible, eliminated.</p> |
| Descriptor 9 | <p><input type="checkbox"/> D9C1 – Primary: The level of contaminants in edible tissues (muscle, liver, roe, flesh or other soft parts, as appropriate) of seafood (including fish, crustaceans, molluscs, echinoderms, seaweed and other marine plants) caught or harvested in the wild (excluding fin-fish from mariculture) does not exceed:</p> <p>(a) for contaminants listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, the maximum levels laid down in that Regulation, which are the threshold values for the purposes of this Decision;</p> <p>(b) for additional contaminants, not listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, threshold values, which Member States shall establish through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> |
| Descriptor 10 | <p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C1 – Primary: The composition, amount and spatial distribution of litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and on the seabed, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C2 – Primary: The composition, amount and spatial distribution of micro-litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and in seabed sediment, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C3 – Secondary: The amount of litter and micro-litter ingested by marine animals is at a level that does not adversely affect the health of the species concerned. Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C4 – Secondary: The number of individuals of each species which are adversely affected due to litter, such as by entanglement, other types of injury or mortality, or health effects. Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of litter, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Descriptor 11 | <p><input type="checkbox"/> D11C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The spatial distribution, temporal extent, and levels of anthropogenic impulsive sound sources do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D11C2 – Primary:</p> <p>The spatial distribution, temporal extent and levels of anthropogenic continuous low-frequency sound do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> |
|---------------|---|

d. References

Make a list of cited references and literature for further supportive information.

| |
|--|
| |
|--|