# HELCOM Monitoring Programme topic

Birds

## Programme:

Marine wintering birds abundance and distribution

# Contents

# a. Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes

## a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body

Please indicate the relevant expert group/network if available, otherwise the responsible HELCOM Working Group.

| | |
|---|---|
| | **Permament Groups** |
| ☐ | Gear – Group on the Implementation of the Ecosystem Approach |
| ☐ | Maritime – Maritime Working Group |
| ☐ | Pressure – Working Group on Reduction of Pressures from the Baltic Sea Catchment Area |
| ☐ | Response – Response Working Group |
| ☒ | State and Conservation – Working Group on the State of the Environmental and Nature Conservation |
| | **Time-limited Groups** |
| ☐ | Agri – Group on Sustainable Agricultural Practices |
| ☐ | Fish – Group on Ecosystem-based Sustainable Fisheries |
| ☐ | HELCOM-VASAB MSP WG - Joint HELCOM-VASAB Maritime Spatial Planning Working Group |
| | **Expert Groups** |
| ☐ | AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data |
| ☐ | EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances |
| ☐ | EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter |
| ☐ | EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise |
| ☐ | ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses |
| ☐ | EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response |
| ☐ | EWG SHORE – Expert Working Group on Response on the Shore |
| ☐ | Green Technology and Alternative Fuels Platform for Shipping |
| ☐ | HELCOM/OSPAR TG BALLAST – Joint HELCOM/OSPAR Task Group on Ballast Management Convention Exemptions |
| ☐ | IN Benthic habitat – Intersessional Network on habitat monitoring |

| | |
|---|---|
| ☐ | IN-EUTROPHICATION - Intersessional Network on Eutrophication |
| ☐ | IWGAS – Informal Working Group on Aerial Surveillance |
| ☒ | JWG Bird – HELCOM-OSPAR-ICES Joint Working Group on Seabirds |
| ☐ | MORS EG – Expert group on monitoring of radioactive substances in the Baltic Sea |
| ☐ | PRF Cooperation Platform – Cooperation Platform on Port Reception Facilities in the Baltic Sea |
| ☐ | SAFE NAV – Group of Experts on Safety of Navigation |
| ☐ | SUBMERGED – Expert Group on Environmental Risks of Hazardous Submerged Objects |

## a.2 Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation)

The monitoring of this programme is:

☐ Fully coordinated

☒ Partly coordinated. Indicate missing component(s):

☐ Coordinated monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a recommendation on coordinated monitoring can be expected.

Regular monitoring is implemented by coastal counts only. Coordinated coverage of offshore parts has been initiated but is not implemented on a regular basis. Common quality assurance programme is missing. National QA/QC exist. Common database is under development.

# b. Monitoring strategies

## b.1 Descriptor

The programme supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant boxes.

| | |
|---|---|
| ☒ **D1** | Biodiversity |
| ☐ **D2** | Non-indigenous Species |
| ☐ **D3** | Commercial fish and shellfish |
| ☒ **D4** | Food webs |
| ☐ **D5** | Eutrophication |
| ☐ **D6** | Seafloor integrity |
| ☐ **D7** | Hydrographical conditions |

| | |
|---|---|
| ☐ **D8** | Contaminants |
| ☐ **D9** | Contaminants in seafood |
| ☐ **D10** | Marine litter |
| ☐ **D11** | Energy including underwater noise |

## b.2 BSAP segments

The sub-programme serves the following BSAP segments. Tick one or more relevant boxes.

☒Eutrophication

☐Hazardous substances

☒Biodiversity

☐Maritime activities

## b.3 Monitoring strategy description

**Monitoring strategy** **:** Monitoring is to be carried out to fulfill assessment requirements of HELCOM ecological objectives that are specified through HELCOM core indicators. The requirements on monitoring can include number of stations, the sampling frequency and replication.

## b.4 BSAP Ecological objectives

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

| | |
|---|---|
| **Eutrophication** | ☐ Concentrations of nutrients close to natural levels |
| | ☐ Clear water |
| | ☐ Natural level of algal blooms |
| | ☒ Natural distribution and occurrence of plants and animals |
| | ☐ Natural oxygen levels |
| **Hazardous substances** | ☐ Concentrations of hazardous substances close to natural levels |
| | ☐ All fish safe to eat |
| | ☐ Healthy wildlife |
| | ☐ Radioactivity at pre-Chernobyl levels |
| **Biodiversity** | ☐ Natural landscapes and seascapes |
| | ☒ Thriving and balanced communities of plants and animals |
| | ☒ Viable populations of species |
| **Maritime** | ☐ No illegal pollution |

| | |
|---|---|
| **activities** | ☐ Safe maritime traffic without accidental pollution |
| | ☐ Efficient response capability |
| | ☐ No introductions of alien species from ships |
| | ☐ Minimum air pollution from ships |
| | ☐ Zero discharges from offshore platforms |

## b.5 Gaps in monitoring

In relation to the GES criteria addressed, indicate when sufficient monitoring was in place or by when sufficient coverage will be in place (Coverage_GEScriteria)

☐ Adequate monitoring was in place in 2014

☐ Adequate monitoring was in place by 2018

☐ Adequate monitoring is in place by July 2020

☒ Adequate monitoring will be in place by 2024

☐ Monitoring is not being put in place for this descriptor due to a low risk

☐ Monitoring for this descriptor is not relevant

Description of the implementation gaps and plans to complete the establishment and implementation of this descriptor monitoring strategy (Gaps_Plans):

Regular monitoring is implemented in form of coastal counts only. Coordinated coverage of offshore parts has been initiated but is not implemented on a regular basis. Implementation varies and thus representative coverage is not secured. The current form of monitoring hence does not support assessments of all true marine species which do not occur in representative proportions in coastal waters. Seaducks (Common Eider, Long-tailed Duck, Common Scoter, Velvet Scoter), grebes (Red-necked Grebe, Slavonian Grebe) and divers (Red-throated Diver, Black-throated Diver) are not considered in the current assessments. All of these species however have to be considered as indicator species of marine health. Thus, the monitoring needs to be extended to enable inclusion of these species in future assessments. Experts have suggested improvements in offshore monitoring in the Baltic Sea during the winter. In addition, there is an attempt to evaluate the usefulness of spring migration count data from bird observatories in Gulf of Finland as the estimate of annual abundance changes of the long-tailed duck winter population. Future monitoring also needs to take into account that the Northern Baltic Sea will stay ice free more frequently due to general climate warming. Thus, in order to monitor total Baltic populations, there has to be readiness for spatially larger-scale censuses than nowadays. Additional coordination efforts by JWGBIRD experts inducing synchronization of national survey efforts should be enhanced by building a platform for seabird monitoring in the Baltic. Common guidelines and a common database are currently under preparation.

# c. Monitoring programmes

## c.1 Purpose of monitoring

### *c.1a Assessment purpose in general*

The programme supports the assessment of:

Tick the relevant box.

| Temporal trends | Spatial distribution | State classification |
|---|---|---|
| ☒ | ☒ | ☐ |

The **programme** supports the assessment of: (MonitoringPurpose).

Note that the answer to this question will be decisive for whether to answer upcoming questions e.g. upcoming questions on pressures should only be answered if the monitoring is defined as supporting the assessment of pressures.

Tick the relevant boxes.

| Environmental state and impacts | Pressures in the marine environment | Pressures at source (land-based, riverine, sea-based[1] and atmospheric sources) | Human activities causing the pressures | Effectiveness of measures |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ☒ | ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1b | If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d | If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d | If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d | If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d |

Give any other monitoring purpose e.g. if the programmes include supporting parameters for other monitoring programmes

Gives input to status assessments under BD.

For questions 1b-1d, select when applicable for the sub-programme, the link from the Reporting on the 2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD Guidance Document 17, 2020) (Features) to:

- Ecosystem components (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1a) for D1C2-C5, D3, D4, D6C3-C5, D7C2)
- Pressures and impacts in the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1b) for D1C1, D2, D5, D6C1-C2, D7C1, D8, D9, D10, D11)
- Pressure inputs to the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 10)
- Uses and human activities (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1c) and 13)

[1] Sea-based 'Pressures at source' refers to monitoring pressures from sea-based activities where the monitoring is directly at the activity rather than at a distance from or time period after it is generated by the activity (e.g. D1 incidental by-catch when fishing, D2 ballast water discharges, D6 use of bottom fishing gear, D8 contaminant discharges and pollution events from a vessel or pipeline, D11 impulsive sound events from a vessel or platform).

### *c.1b • Ecosystem components (Features)*

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

| Theme | Sub-theme | Label feature |
|---|---|---|
| Species | ☒ Birds | ☐ Grazing birds |
| | | ☐ Wading birds |
| | | ☐ Surface-feeding birds |
| | | ☐ Pelagic-feeding birds |
| | | ☐ Benthic-feeding birds |
| | ☐ Mammals | ☐ Small toothed cetaceans |
| | | ☐ Deep-diving toothed cetaceans |
| | | ☐ Baleen whales |
| | | ☐ Seals |
| | ☐ Reptiles | ☐ Turtles |
| | ☐ Fish | ☐ Coastal fish |
| | | ☐ Pelagic shelf fish |
| | | ☐ Demersal shelf fish |
| | | ☐ Deep-sea fish |
| | | ☐ Commercially exploited fish and shellfish |
| | ☐ Cephalopods | ☐ Coastal/shelf cephalopods |
| | | ☐ Deep-sea cephalopods |
| Habitats | ☐ Benthic habitats | ☐ Benthic broad habitats |
| | | ☐ Other benthic habitats |
| | ☐ Pelagic habitats | ☐ Pelagic broad habitats |
| | | ☐ Other pelagic habitats |
| Ecosystems | ☐ Physical and hydrological characteristics | |
| | ☐ Chemical characteristics | |
| | ☒ Ecosystems, including food webs | ☒ Coastal ecosystems |
| | | ☐ Shelf ecosystems |
| | | ☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems |

### *c.1c • Pressures and impacts in the marine environment (Features)*

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

| Theme | Label: Feature |
|---|---|
| Biological | ☐ Newly introduced non-indigenous species |

| | |
|---|---|
| | ☐ Established non-indigenous species |
| | ☐ Species affected by incidental by-catch |
| Physical and hydrological | ☐ Hydrographical changes |
| | ☐ Physical disturbance to seabed |
| | ☐ Physical loss of the seabed |
| Substances, litter and energy | ☐ Eutrophication |
| | ☐ Contaminants - non UPBT substances |
| | ☐ Contaminants - UPBT substances |
| | ☐ Contaminants – in seafood |
| | ☒ Adverse effects on species or habitats |
| | ☐ Acute pollution events |
| | ☐ Litter in the environment |
| | ☐ Impulsive sound in water |
| | ☐ Continuous low frequency sound |

### *c.1d • Pressure inputs to the marine environment (Features)*

| Theme | Label: Feature |
|---|---|
| Biological | ☐ Input or spread of non-indigenous species |
| | ☐ Input of microbial pathogens |
| | ☐ Input of genetically modified species and translocation of native species |
| | ☐ Loss of, or change to, natural biological communities due to cultivation of animal or plant species |
| | ☒ Disturbance of species (e.g. where they breed, rest and feed) due to human presence |
| | ☐ Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities) |
| Substances, litter and energy | ☐ Input of nutrients — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition |
| | ☐ Input of organic matter — diffuse sources and point sources |
| | ☐ Input of other substances (e.g. synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events |
| | ☐ Input of litter (solid waste matter, including micro-sized litter) |
| | ☐ Input of anthropogenic sound (impulsive, continuous) |
| | ☐ Input of other forms of energy (including electromagnetic fields, light and heat) |

| | |
|---|---|
| | ☐ Input of water — point sources (e.g. brine) |

### *c.1e • Uses and human activities (Features)*

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

| Theme | Label: Feature |
|---|---|
| Physical restructuring of rivers, coastline or seabed (water management) | ☐ Land claim |
| | ☐ Canalisation and other watercourse modifications |
| | ☐ Coastal defence and flood protection |
| | ☐ Offshore structures (other than for oil/gas/renewables) |
| | ☐ Restructuring of seabed morphology, including dredging and depositing of materials |
| Extraction of non-living resources | ☐ Extraction of minerals (rock, metal ores, gravel, sand, shell) |
| | ☐ Extraction of oil and gas, including infrastructure |
| | ☐ Extraction of salt |
| | ☐ Extraction of water |
| Production of energy | ☐ Renewable energy generation (wind, wave and tidal power), including infrastructure |
| | ☐ Non-renewable energy generation |
| | ☐ Transmission of electricity and communications (cables) |
| Extraction of living resources | ☐ Fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational) |
| | ☐ Fish and shellfish processing |
| | ☐ Marine plant harvesting |
| | ☐ Hunting and collecting for other purposes |
| Cultivation of living resources | ☐ Aquaculture — marine, including infrastructure |
| | ☐ Aquaculture — freshwater |
| | ☐ Agriculture |
| | ☐ Forestry |
| Transport | ☐ Transport infrastructure |
| | ☐ Transport — shipping |
| | ☐ Transport — air |
| | ☐ Transport — land |
| Urban and industrial uses | ☐ Urban uses |
| | ☐ Industrial uses |
| | ☐ Waste treatment and disposal |

| | |
|---|---|
| Tourism and leisure | ☐ Tourism and leisure infrastructure |
| | ☐ Tourism and leisure activities |
| Security/defence | ☐ Military operations (subject to Article 2(2)) |
| Education and research | ☐ Research, survey and educational activities |

## c.2 Other legislation

The sub-programme links with the following other international legislation (OtherPoliciesConventions). Tick one or more relevant boxes.

☐Bathing Water Directive

☐Common Fisheries Policy and Data Collection Framework

☒Habitats Directive

☒Birds Directive

☐Nitrates Directive

☐Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive

☐Water Framework Directive

☐OSPAR Convention

☐Trilateral Wadden Sea Convention

☒Other, Specify: Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA), Convention on Biological Diversity

## c.3 Implementation of Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation_implementation)

Indicate the level of implementation by selecting one of the following:

☐Agreed data collection methods

☐Common monitoring strategy (spatial and temporal design of programme)

☒Coordinated data collection (delivered separately by each country)

☐Joint data collection (multinational delivery using same platform and/or algorithms)

# c.4 Monitoring concepts

**Monitoring concepts table[2]:**

| Current means of coordination | Features or elements | Parameter | Method | QA/QC | Frequency[3] | Spatial resolution (density) of sampling | Link to HELCOM core indicators[4] | Spatial scope | Monitoring started (year) | CPs monitoring[5] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Elements (Features) (Features_enum) | Parameters (Parameter) (ParametersOther) | MonitoringMethod (Monitoring Method) MonitoringMethodOther) | (Free text) | MonitoringFrequency | (ProgrammeDescription) | (RelatedIndicator) (RelatedIndicator_name | (SpatialScope) | (TemporalScope) | (CountryCode_Enum) |
| National | Coastal birds | Population size (abundance) | Transects (3), 15 days, Ground/ Plane | National | Every 3 years | 8000 km | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Territorial Waters | 2000 (1968) | DK |
| National | Whoopers Swan, Bewicks Swan | Population size (abundance) | Ground counts | National | Yearly, jan+feb | | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Territorial Waters/ Terrestrial | 2000 | DK |
| National | Geese | Population size (abundance) | Ground counts | National | Yearly | | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Territorial Waters/ Terrestrial | 2000 | DK |
| National | Wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | | National | Every 3 years | Eastern part of Bornholm | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Territorial waters (EEZ) | 2019 | DK |

[2] Needed codelists can be found on 2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD Guidance Document 17, 2020).

[3] The option "Different for each country - see MORE overview" refers to the overview carried out in 2013

[4] Give the name of HELCOM core indicators that are based on the monitoring parameter.

[5] Provide information on the Contracting Partie(s) that are monitoring the parameter.

| Current means of coordination | Features or elements | Parameter | Method | QA/QC | Frequency[3] | Spatial resolution (density) of sampling | Link to HELCOM core indicators[4] | Spatial scope | Monitoring started (year) | CPs monitoring[5] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| National | Winter census in Åland islands | Population size (abundance) | Midwinter-waterfowl counting in ship-based strip transect | National | Yearly | Three selected areas | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Territorial waters | 1068 | FI |
| National | Winter bird census | Population size (abundance) | Coastal transect | National | Yearly | Whole coast | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Coastal waters | Mid 1950's | FI |
| National | Key wintering areas of waterfowl | Population size (abundance) | Aerial surveys and expeditions by boats for identifying key wintering and staging areas | National | Yearly | Åland islands | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | EEZ | 2000 | FI |
| National | Coastal survey for all waterfowl species | Population size (abundance) | Ground-based | National | Yearly | Most of the ice-free coastline | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 1967 | EE |
| National | Beached bird survey | Mortality rate | Ground | National | Yearly | 4 monitoring areas (2 in Saaremaa Island and 2 in NW Estonia) | | Coast | 1992 | EE |
| National | Offshore counts | Population size (abundance) | Plane and ship | National | One-off | Gulf of Riga, NW Estonia, Saaremaa Island, Hiiumaa island (plans to cover the whole coast), Irbe Strait, Gretagrund | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Coastal, territorial waters | 2007 | EE |
| National | Coastal | Population size (abundance) | Ground | National | Yearly | Mecklenburg-Western pomearania, Schleswig-Holstein | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 1965 | DE |

| Current means of coordination | Features or elements | Parameter | Method | QA/QC | Frequency[3] | Spatial resolution (density) of sampling | Link to HELCOM core indicators[4] | Spatial scope | Monitoring started (year) | CPs monitoring[5] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| National | German EEZ | Population size (abundance) | Plane and ship | National | Between yearly and every 3 years | All areas by plane every 2-3 years, Kiel Bay and Pomeranian Bay by ship yearly | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 2008 | DE |
| National | Schleswig Holstein: Somateria mollissima, Melania nigra, Clangula hyemalis | Population size (abundance) | Plane | National | Yearly | Coastline since 1980, offshore since 2004 | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 1980 | DE |
| National | All wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Ground and ship | National | Yearly | Latvian coast | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 1991 | LV |
| National | All wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Ship | National | One-off | Gulf of Riga (LV) | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 1998 | LV |
| National | All wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Plane | National | One-off | Irbe strait and banks NW from Ventspils | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 2011 | LV |
| National | All wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Ship | National | One-off | Shallow parts of Riga Gulf and Orbe strait with banks NW from Ventpills | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 2011 | LV |

| Current means of coordination | Features or elements | Parameter | Method | QA/QC | Frequency[3] | Spatial resolution (density) of sampling | Link to HELCOM core indicators[4] | Spatial scope | Monitoring started (year) | CPs monitoring[5] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| National | Wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | | National | Every 2 years | Lithuanian coast line, Nemunas river delta, Curonian's spit national park area | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 2007 | LT |
| National | Wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Ship | National | One-off | Three areas offshore | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | | LT |
| National | All waterfowl | Population size (abundance) | Ground | National | Yearly | Western part of the Gulf of Gdansk | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 1984 | PL |
| National | All waterfowl | Population size (abundance) | Ship | National | Yearly, during the winter season | Whole Polish 12 miles zone. Two offshore areas: Slupsk Bank and Pomeranian Bay | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 2011 | PL |
| National | Wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Ground | National | Yearly | Neva estuary within ST Petersburg | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | | RU |
| National | Waterfowl (ducks, geese, swans, cormorants, divers etc.) | Population size (abundance) | Ground | National | Yearly | Southern Gulf of Bothnia to Kattegat | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | | 1967 | SE |

| Current means of coordination | Features or elements | Parameter | Method | QA/QC | Frequency[3] | Spatial resolution (density) of sampling | Link to HELCOM core indicators[4] | Spatial scope | Monitoring started (year) | CPs monitoring[5] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Regional | Wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Total counts from land or plane | National | One-off | Southern Kattegat – Öland/ Gotland | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | SE coastal waters, DK: Territorial and EEZ | 1992/ 1993 | SE |
| National | Wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Total counts from plane | National | One-off | Archipelagos, Skagerak-Stockholm | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Coastal waters | 2004 | SE |
| Regional | Wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Line transect from plane | National | One-off | Scania - Gävlebukten, westcoast outer archipelago | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Mainly territorial waters, EEZ | 2007-2009 | SE |
| National | Wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Line transect from plane | National | One-off | Archipelagos and offshore areas, Scania – Southern Stockholm archipelago | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Mainly territorial waters, EEZ | 2010-2011 | SE |
| National | Wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Total counts and line transects from plane | National | One-off | Arcipelagos, Skagerak-Stockholm archipelago | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Coastal waters | 2015 | SE |
| Regional | Wintering waterbirds | Population size (abundance) | Mainly line transect from plane | National | Every 6 years | Mainly offshore areas and outer archipelago, Scania - Gävlebukten | Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season | Mainly territorial waters, EEZ | 2016, will be repeated in 2020 | SE |

**PARAMETER**

| Element/Parameter pair |
| --- |
| Wintering birds/Population size (abundance) |

**METHOD (MonitoringDetails)**

| Wintering birds/Population size (abundance) |
| --- |
| Ground count based coastal surveys by volunteers, offshore surveys by plane and ship (including digital surveys). |

**QA/QC**

| Wintering birds/Population size (abundance) |
| --- |
| National, but guidelines from the Wetlands International are used, common guidelines for offshore monitoring in preparation. |

**FREQUENCY**

**Frequency**

| Wintering birds/Population size (abundance) |
| --- |
| Coastal counts at least yearly. The temporal resolution of the monitoring of wintering birds varies greatly among the countries. Data is available from the year 1991. Offshore counts aimed for every 3-6 years.<br><br>In Finland winter bird census has been organized by LUOMUS (Finnish Museum of Natural History); the first censuses were done as early as the mid-1950s. |

**SPATIAL SCOPE**

**Spatial Scope**

| Wintering birds/Population size (abundance) |
| --- |
| Different spatial scope in different countries. |

**SPATIAL RESOLUTION (DENSITY) OF SAMPLING**

**Spatial resolution**

| Wintering birds/Population size (abundance) |
| --- |
| Spatial resolution varies between countries and specific parts within the country waters depending on site importance for wintering waterbirds |

**Provide considerations for the scale of aggregation of data for an indicator-based assessment** Tick one or more relevant boxes below:

☐HELCOM assessment unit Level 4: Subbasins with coastal WFD division

☒HELCOM assessment unit Level 3: Subbasins with coastal and offshore division

☐HELCOM assessment unit Level 2: Subbasin

☐HELCOM assessment unit Level 1: Baltic Sea

☐MSFD Region

☐EU

☒Other (specify) national

☐Unknown

## c.5 Monitoring and assessment requirements

**Monitoring requirements**:

All Baltic Sea countries are currently monitoring wintering birds and collecting data on species numbers and distribution; however, counting methods, timeframe and type of financing varies greatly among the countries. Ground count based coastal surveys are carried out in all countries and mostly by volunteers. Offshore surveys by plane and ship are being carried out in all countries, except Russia. Further coordination will be needed between the countries to harmonize monitoring methods and timing. Monitoring of wintering birds will support the core indicator 'Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season'.

**Adequacy for assessment of GES:**

Monitoring should provide adequate data and information to enable the periodic assessment of environmental status, and distance from and progress towards GES as required by MSFD under Article 9 and Article 11.

| | Yes | No |
|---|---|---|
| Adequate data?<br>-Adequate data exist already for coastal and inshore parts across the Baltic, reliable datasets for the whole region starting with 1991. For offshore areas: Not yet | ☐ | ☒ |
| Established methods for assessment?<br>-Yes, by Wetlands International | ☒ | ☐ |
| Adequate understanding of GES?<br>-Under development | ☐ | ☒ |
| Adequate capacity to perform assessments? | ☒ | ☐ |

- Nationally yes

**Assessment of natural variability**

Natural variability is assessed from the long-term data series and scientific studies have assessed the effects of climate change on the seabird winter distribution and abundance

## c.6 Data providers and access

From which database the data can be made available? Tick the relevant boxes below:

☐ HELCOM COMBINE ☐ HELCOM PLC ☐HELCOM MORS

☒Other: For HELCOM Core indicators, data is collected periodically for the State of the Baltic Sea reports and made available via HELCOM Map and Data service (https://maps.helcom.fi)

If the previous answer is "Other" please fill in the next questions (In case the answer is a HELCOM database, the HELCOM Secretariat will do it)

**Data type** Tick the relevant boxes below:

☐Unprocessed/raw Data

☒Processed Data sets

☐Data Products

☐Modelled data

**Data management: General description of data management** (DataManagement, Free text)

It is aspired to host at-sea data in the ESAS database, which is currently moving to ICES Data Centre.

**What method/mechanism will be used to make the data available?** Tick the relevant boxes below and provide location (DataAccess):

☐ Providing URL to view data:

☐ Providing URL to download data:

☐ Provide location of data in national data centre: Click here to enter text.

☒ Provide location of data in international data centre (e.g. RSC, ICES, EEA, EMODnet): HELCOM Map and Data service (https://maps.helcom.fi)

**When will the data first become available?** (DataPublicationDate)

Enter the date of reporting, or even a past date if desired (MM/YYYY):

**How frequently are the data expected to be updated thereafter?** Tick the relevant box below:

| | |
|---|---|
| ☐Every 6 years | ☐Weekly |
| ☐Every 3 years | ☐Daily |
| ☐Every 2 years | ☐Hourly |
| ☒Yearly | ☐Continually |
| ☐6-monthly | ☒One-off |
| ☐3-monthly | ☐As needed |
| ☐Monthly | ☐Other (specify) |
| ☐2-weekly | ☐Unknown |

**List providing contact points in the Contracting Parties**

EE: Estonian Environment Agency (Nature Department)

**Has the data been used or is it planned to be used in HELCOM assessments?** Tick the relevant box below:

☒Yes ☐No

**Select if data is used in the following Baltic Sea Environment Fact Sheets (BSEF)** Tick the relevant boxes below:

### Biodiversity

☐Abundance and distribution of marenzelleria species

☐Abundance and distribution of Round goby

☐Abundance and distribution of the Zebra mussel

☐Biopollution level index

☐Observed non-indigenous and cryptogenic species in the Baltic Sea

☒Population development of Great Cormorant

☐Population development of Sandwich Tern

☐Population development of Southern Dunlin

☐Population Development of White-tailed Sea Eagle

☐Temporal development of Baltic coastal fish communities and key species

### Eutrophication

☐Bacterioplankton growth

☐Chlorophyll-a concentrations, temporal variations and regional differences from satellite remote sensing

☐Cyanobacteria biomass

☐Cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic Sea

☐Cyanobacteria bloom index

☐Impacts of invasive phytoplankton species on the Baltic Sea ecosystem in 1980-2008

☐Nitrogen atmospheric deposition to the Baltic Sea

☐Nitrogen emissions to the air in the Baltic Sea area

☐Phytoplankton biomass and species succession

☐Shifts in the Baltic Sea summer phytoplankton communities in 1992-2006

☐Spatial distribution of the winter nutrient pool

☐Unusual phytoplankton event

**Hazardous substances**

☐Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea

☐Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea

☐Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region

☐Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region

☐Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments

☐Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010

☐Emissions from Baltic Sea shipping

☐Illegal discharges of oil in the Baltic Sea

☐Liquid discharges of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea

☐Trace metal concentrations and trends in Baltic surface and deep waters

**Hydrography**

☐Development of Sea Surface Temperature in the Baltic Sea

☐Hydrography and Oxygen in the Deep Basins

☐Ice season

☐Total and regional runoff to the Baltic Sea

☐Water Exchange between the Baltic Sea and the North Sea, and conditions in the Deep Basins

☐Wave climate in the Baltic Sea

## c.7 MSFD Criteria (GES criteria)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

| | |
|---|---|
| Descriptor 1 | ☐ D1C1 – Primary:<br><br>The mortality rate per species from incidental by-catch is below levels which threaten the species, such that its long- term viability is ensured.<br><br>Member States shall establish the threshold values for the mortality rate from incidental by-catch per species, through regional or subregional cooperation.<br><br>☒ D1C2 – Primary:<br><br>The population abundance of the species is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures, such that its long-term viability is ensured.<br><br>Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of natural variation in population size and the mortality rates derived from D1C1, D8C4 and D10C4 and other relevant pressures. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these values shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Population values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.<br><br>☐ D1C3 – Primary for commercially- exploited fish and cephalopods and secondary for other species:<br><br>The population demographic characteristics (e.g. body size or age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity, and survival rates) of the species are indicative of a healthy population which is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.<br><br>Member States shall establish threshold values for specified characteristics of each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of adverse effects on their health derived from D8C2, D8C4 and other relevant pressures.<br><br>☒ D1C4 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV or V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:<br><br>The species distributional range and, where relevant, pattern is in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and climatic conditions.<br><br>Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Range values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.<br><br>☐ D1C5 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:<br><br>The habitat for the species has the necessary extent and condition to support the different stages in the life history of the species.<br><br>☐ D1C6 – Primary<br><br>The condition of the habitat type, including its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.<br><br>Member States shall establish threshold values for the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5 and 8, through regional or subregional cooperation. |

| | |
|---|---|
| Descriptor 2 | ☐ D2C1 – Primary:<br>The number of non-indigenous species which are newly introduced via human activity into the wild, per assessment period (6 years), measured from the reference year as reported for the initial asessment under Article 8(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC, is minimised and where possible reduced to zero.<br>Member States shall establish the threshold value for the number of new introductions of non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.<br>☐ D2C2 — Secondary:<br>Abundance and spatial distribution of established non-indigenous species, particularly of invasive species, contributing significantly to adverse effects on particular species groups or broad habitat types.<br>☐ D2C3 — Secondary:<br>Proportion of the species group or spatial extent of the broad habitat type which is adversely altered due to non-indigenous species, particularly invasive non-indigenous species.<br>Member States shall establish the threshold values for the adverse alteration to species groups and broad habitat types due to non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation. |
| Descriptor 3 | ☐ D3C1 — Primary:<br>The Fishing mortality rate of populations of commercially-exploited species is at or below levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.<br>☐ D3C2 — Primary:<br>The Spawning Stock Biomass of populations of commercially-exploited species are above biomass levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.<br>☐ D3C3 — Primary:<br>The age and size distribution of individuals in the populations of commercially-exploited species is indicative of a healthy population. This shall include a high proportion of old/large individuals and limited adverse effects of exploitation on genetic diversity.<br>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation for each population of species in accordance with scientific advice obtained pursuant to Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. |
| Descriptor 4 | ☒ D4C1 — Primary:<br>The diversity (species composition and their relative abundance) of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.<br>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.<br>☒ D4C2 — Primary: |

| | |
|---|---|
| | The balance of total abundance between the trophic guilds is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.<br><br>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.<br><br>☐ D4C3 — Secondary:<br><br>The size distribution of individuals across the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.<br><br>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.<br><br>☐ D4C3 — Secondary (to be used in support of criterion D4C2, where necessary):<br><br>Productivity of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.<br><br>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation. |
| Descriptor 5 | ☐ D5C1 — Primary:<br><br>Nutrient concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse eutrophication effects.<br><br>The threshold values are as follows:<br><br>(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;<br><br>(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation<br><br>☐ D5C2 — Primary:<br><br>Chlorophyll a concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.<br><br>The threshold values are as follows:<br><br>(c) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;<br><br>(d) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.<br><br>☐ D5C3 — Secondary:<br><br>The number, spatial extent and duration of harmful algal bloom events are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.<br><br>☐ D5C4 — Secondary:<br><br>The photic limit (transparency) of the water column is not reduced, due to increases in suspended algae, to a level that indicates adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.<br><br>The threshold values are as follows:<br><br>(e) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;<br><br>(f) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through |

| | |
|---|---|
| | regional or subregional cooperation.<br><br>☐ D5C5 — Primary (may be substituted by D5C8):<br><br>The concentration of dissolved oxygen is not reduced, due to nutrient enrichment, to levels that indicate adverse effects on benthic habitats (including on associated biota and mobile species) or other eutrophication effects.<br><br>The threshold values are as follows:<br><br>(g) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;<br><br>(h) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.<br><br>☐ D5C6 — Secondary:<br><br>The abundance of opportunistic macroalgae is not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.<br><br>The threshold values are as follows:<br><br>(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;<br><br>(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.<br><br>☐ D5C7 — Secondary:<br><br>The species composition and relative abundance or depth distribution of macrophyte communities achieve values that indicate there is no adverse effect due to nutrient enrichment including via a decrease in water transparency, as follows:<br><br>(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;<br><br>(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.<br><br>☐ D5C8 — Secondary: (except when used as a substitute for D5C5):<br><br>The species composition and relative abundance of macrofaunal communities, achieve values that indicate that there is no adverse effect due to nutrient and organic enrichment, as follows:<br><br>(a) in coastal waters, the values for benthic biological quality elements set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;<br><br>(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation. |
| Descriptor 6 | ☐ D6C1 – Primary:<br><br>Spatial extent and distribution of physical loss (permanent change) of the natural seabed.<br><br>☐ D6C2 – Primary:<br><br>Spatial extent and distribution of physical disturbance pressures on the seabed. |

| | |
|---|---|
| | ☐ D6C3 – Primary:<br><br>Spatial extent of each habitat type which is adversely affected, through change in its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. through changes in species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), by physical disturbance.<br><br>Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of physical disturbance, through regional or subregional cooperation.<br><br>☐ D6C4 – Primary:<br><br>The extent of loss of the habitat type, resulting from anthropogenic pressures, does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.<br><br>Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of habitat loss as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.<br><br>☐ D6C5 – Primary:<br><br>The extent of adverse effects from anthropogenic pressures on the condition of the habitat type, including alteration to its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.<br><br>Member States shall establish threshold values for adverse effects on the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities. Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of those adverse effects as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities. |
| Descriptor 7 | ☐ D7C1 – Secondary:<br><br>Spatial extent and distribution of permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions (e.g. changes in wave action, currents, salinity, temperature) to the seabed and water column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.<br><br>☐ D7C2 – Secondary:<br><br>Spatial extent of each benthic habitat type adversely affected (physical and hydrographical characteristics and associated biological communities) due to permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions. |
| Descriptor 8 | ☐ D8C1 – Primary:<br><br>Within coastal and territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:<br><br>(a) for contaminants set out under point 1(a) of criteria elements, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; |

| | |
|---|---|
| | (b) when contaminants under point (a) are measured in a matrix for which no value is set under Directive 2000/60/EC, the concentration of those contaminants in that matrix established by Member States through regional or subregional cooperation;<br>(c) for additional contaminants selected under point 1(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation, considering their application within and beyond coastal and territorial waters.<br>Beyond territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:<br>(a) for contaminants selected under point 2(a) of criteria elements, the values as applicable within coastal and territorial waters;<br>(b) for contaminants selected under point 2(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation.<br>☐ D8C2 – Secondary:<br>The health of species and the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance at locations of chronic pollution) are not adversely affected due to contaminants including cumulative and synergetic effects.<br>Member States shall establish those adverse effects and their threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.<br>☐ D8C3 – Primary:<br>The spatial extent and duration of significant acute pollution events are minimised.<br>☐ D8C4 – Secondary (to be used when a significant acute pollution event has occurred):<br>The adverse effects of significant acute pollution events on the health of species and on the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance) are minimised and, where possible, eliminated. |
| Descriptor 9 | ☐ D9C1 – Primary:<br>The level of contaminants in edible tissues (muscle, liver, roe, flesh or other soft parts, as appropriate) of seafood (including fish, crustaceans, molluscs, echinoderms, seaweed and other marine plants) caught or harvested in the wild (excluding fin-fish from mariculture) does not exceed:<br>(a) for contaminants listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, the maximum levels laid down in that Regulation, which are the threshold values for the purposes of this Decision;<br>(b) for additional contaminants, not listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, threshold values, which Member States shall establish through regional or subregional cooperation. |

| | |
|---|---|
| Descriptor 10 | ☐ D10C1 – Primary:<br>The composition, amount and spatial distribution of litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and on the seabed, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.<br>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.<br>☐ D10C2 — Primary:<br>The composition, amount and spatial distribution of micro-litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and in seabed sediment, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.<br>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.<br>☐ D10C3 — Secondary:<br>The amount of litter and micro-litter ingested by marine animals is at a level that does not adversely affect the health of the species concerned. Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through regional or subregional cooperation.<br>☐ D10C4 — Secondary:<br>The number of individuals of each species which are adversely affected due to litter, such as by entanglement, other types of injury or mortality, or health effects. Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of litter, through regional or subregional cooperation. |
| Descriptor 11 | ☐ D11C1 – Primary:<br>The spatial distribution, temporal extent, and levels of anthropogenic impulsive sound sources do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.<br>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.<br>☐ D11C2 – Primary:<br>The spatial distribution, temporal extent and levels of anthropogenic continuous low-frequency sound do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.<br>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities. |

## d. References

Make a list of cited references and literature for further supportive information.

Aunins A., Nilsson L., Hario M., Garthe S., Dagys S., Petersen I.K., Skov H., Lehikoinen A., Mikkola-Roos M., Ran S., Spniece A., Luigujoe L., Kuresoo A., Meissner W., Korpinen S. 2013. Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season. HELCOM Core Indicator of Biodiversity. HELCOM, Helsinki, 25 pp.

HELCOM 2018. Abundance of waterbirds in the wintering season. HELCOM core indicator report. http://www.helcom.fi/Core%20Indicators/Abundance%20of%20waterbirds%20in%20the%20wintering%20season%20HELCOM%20core%20indicator%202018.pdf

HELCOM, 2015. Guidelines for coordinated monitoring of wintering birds in the Baltic Sea (https://helcom.fi/media/publications/HELCOM-guidelines-for-coordinated-monitoring-of-wintering-birds.pdf)