# HELCOM Monitoring Programme topic Inputs

# Programme:

Contaminant inputs from atmosphere

# **Contents**

a.	Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes	2
	a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body	2
	a.2 Regional Cooperation	3
b.	Monitoring strategies	3
	b.1 Descriptor	3
	b.2 BSAP segments	4
	b.3 Monitoring strategy description	4
	b.4 BSAP Ecological objectives	4
	b.5 MSFD Criteria (Criteria)	19
	b.6 Gaps in monitoring	5
c.	Monitoring programmes	6
	c.1 Purpose of monitoring	6
	c.2 Other legislation	6
	c.3 Implementation of Regional Cooperation	10
	c.4 Monitoring concepts	10
	c.5 Monitoring and assessment requirements	16
	c.6 Data providers and access	16
Ч	References	26

# a. Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes

# a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body

Please indicate the relevant expert group/network if available, otherwise the responsible HELCOM Working Group.

	Permament Groups	
	Gear – Group on the Implementation of the Ecosystem Approach	
	Maritime – Maritime Working Group	
$\boxtimes$	Pressure – Working Group on Reduction of Pressures from the Baltic Sea Catchment Area	
	Response – Response Working Group	
	State and Conservation – Working Group on the State of the Environmental and Natgure Conservation	
	Time-limited Groups	
	Agri – Group on Sustainable Agricultural Practices	
	Fish – Group on Ecosystem-based Sustainable Fisheries	
	HELCOM-VASAB MSP WG - Joint HELCOM-VASAB Maritime Spatial Planning Working Group	
	Expert Groups	
	Expert Groups  AIS EWG — Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data	
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data	
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances	
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances  EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter	
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances  EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter  EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise	
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances  EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter  EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise  ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses	
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances  EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter  EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise  ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses  EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response	
	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data  EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances  EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter  EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise  ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses  EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response  EWG SHORE – Expert Working Group on Response on the Shore	

_	
	IN-EUTROPHICATION - Intersessional Network on Eutrophication
	IWGAS – Informal Working Group on Aerial Surveillance
	JWG Bird – HELCOM-OSPAR-ICES Joint Working Group on Seabirds
	MORS EG – Expert group on monitoring of radioactive substances in the Baltic Sea
	PRF Cooperation Platform — Cooperation Platform on Port Reception Facilities in the Baltic Sea
	SAFE NAV – Group of Experts on Safety of Navigation
	SUBMERGED – Expert Group on Environmental Risks of Hazardous Submerged Objects
0 D :	10 11 /0 1 10 11 1

# a.2 Regional Cooperation (Regional Cooperation)

☐ Partly coordinated. Indicate missing component(s):

☐ Coordinated monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a recommendation on coordinated monitoring can be expected.

Common monitoring programme, modeling framework, quality assurance and database available from EMEP.

# b. Monitoring strategies

## **b.1** Descriptor

The programme supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant

boxes.	
□ <b>D1</b>	Biodiversity
□ <b>D2</b>	Non-indigenous Species
□ <b>D3</b>	Commercial fish and shellfish
□ <b>D4</b>	Food webs
□ <b>D</b> 5	Eutrophication
□ <b>D</b> 6	Seafloor integrity
□ <b>D7</b>	Hydrographical conditions
⊠ <b>D8</b>	Contaminants

⊔ <b>D9</b>	Contaminants in seatood
□ <b>D10</b>	Marine litter
□ <b>D11</b>	Energy including underwater noise
<b>b.2 BSAP se</b> The sub-program	<b>gments</b> me serves the following BSAP segments. Tick one or more relevant boxes.
□Eutrophication	n
⊠Hazardous sub	ostances
□Biodiversity	
□Maritime activ	vitios

# **b.3 Monitoring strategy description**

#### Monitoring strategy

Data on atmospheric deposition of hazardous substances is needed to assess the amount of contaminant input to the sea to allow for follow-up of effectiveness of implemented measures (under e.g. BSAP, Gothenburg Protocol, National Emission Ceiling Directive). Pressure data should also be useable for HELCOM holistic assessments (i.e. pressure index). Deposition data is calculated as total annual inputs per 0.1 \* 0.1 degree grid (available via the EMEP website).

Annual total emissions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are officially reported every year to the UN ECE Secretariat by the HELCOM Contracting Parties and compiled by EMEP/MSC-E. Some HELCOM Contracting Parties additionally report the annual total emissions of PCBs, PAHs, HCB and As, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se, Zn every year to the UN ECE Secretariat. The methodology for data collection is based on combination of emission measurements and emission estimates based on activity data and emission factors. The atmospheric depositions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are calculated with the latest version of EMEP/MSC-Metal transport model.

The latest available official emission data for the HELCOM countries are used in the model computations. Both official data and expert estimates are used for modeling atmospheric transport and deposion of contaminants to the Baltic Sea. Atmospheric depositions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes were computed for the entire EMEP domain, which includes Baltic Sea basin and catchment.

# **b.4 BSAP Ecological objectives**

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Eutrophication	☐ Concentrations of nutrients close to natural levels		
	☐ Clear water		
	☐ Natural level of algal blooms		
	☐ Natural distribution and occurrence of plants and animals		
	□ Natural oxygen levels		
Hazardous substances	☑ Concentrations of hazardous substances close to natural levels		
Sabstances	☐ All fish safe to eat		
	☐ Healthy wildlife		
	☐ Radioactivity at pre-Chernobyl levels		
Biodiversity	☐ Natural landscapes and seascapes		
	$\square$ Thriving and balanced communities of plants and animals		
	☐ Viable populations of species		
Maritime activities	☐ No illegal pollution		
delivities	$\square$ Safe maritime traffic without accidental pollution		
	☐ Efficient response capability		
	$\square$ No introductions of alien species from ships		
	☐ Minimum air pollution from ships		
	☐ Zero discharges from offshore platforms		
	nonitoring ES criteria addressed, indicate when sufficient monitoring was in place or by when will be in place (Coverage_GEScriteria)		
☐ Adequate monit	oring was in place in 2014		
☑ Adequate monit	oring was in place by 2018		
☐ Adequate monit	oring is in place by July 2020		
☐ Adequate monit	oring will be in place by 2024		
☐ Monitoring is no	t being put in place for this descriptor due to a low risk		
$\square$ Monitoring for the	his descriptor is not relevant		
·	rescription of the implementation gaps and plans to complete the establishment and implementation of this descriptor monitoring strategy (Gaps_Plans):		

Air emission and atmospheric deposition monitoring are coordinated by EMEP. Although there are rather many stations, not all of those are measuring all components. Also not all stations have long time series. Not all national monitoring stations are included in the list of "HELCOM stations" but could be used by EMEP. According to EMEP there are some problems with the representativeness of the stations that cause challenges when verifying the EMEP model results. Thorough analysis of the

monitoring data would improve the understanding of the development in the atmospheric deposition and also offer recommendations on how to improve and possibly expand monitoring.

# c. Monitoring programmes

## c.1 Purpose of monitoring

c.1a Assessment purpose in general

The programme supports the assessment of:

Tick	the	re	levant	hox.
LICK	UIIC	10	Cvant	DUA.

Temporal trends	Spatial distribution	State classification
	$\boxtimes$	

The **programme** supports the assessment of: (MonitoringPurpose).

Note that the answer to this question will be decisive for whether to answer upcoming questions e.g. upcoming questions on pressures should only be answered if the monitoring is defined as supporting the assessment of pressures.

Tick the relevant boxes.

Environmental state and impacts	Pressures in the marine environment	Pressures at source (land-based, riverine, sea-based and atmospheric sources)	Human activities causing the pressures	Effectiveness of measures
If this is selected fill in the following questions:	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d

Give any other monitoring purpose e.g. if the programmes include supporting parameters for other monitoring programmes

For questions 1b-1d, select when applicable for the sub-programme, the link from the Reporting on the	

2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD Guidance Document 17, 2020) (Features) to:

- Ecosystem components (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1a) for D1C2-C5, D3, D4, D6C3-C5, D7C2)
- Pressures and impacts in the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1b) for D1C1, D2, D5, D6C1-C2, D7C1, D8, D9, D10, D11)
- Pressure inputs to the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 10)
- Uses and human activities (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1c) and 13)

## c.1b • Ecosystem components (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Theme	Sub-theme	Label feature			
Species	☐ Birds	☐ Grazing birds			
		☐ Wading birds			
		☐ Surface-feeding birds			
		☐ Pelagic-feeding birds			
		☐ Benthic-feeding birds			
	☐ Mammals	☐ Small toothed cetaceans			
		$\square$ Deep-diving toothed cetaceans			
		☐ Baleen whales			
		☐ Seals			
	☐ Reptiles	☐ Turtles			
	☐ Fish	☐ Coastal fish			
		☐ Pelagic shelf fish			
		☐ Demersal shelf fish			
		☐ Deep-sea fish			
		☐ Commercially exploited fish and shellfish			
	☐ Cephalopods	☐ Coastal/shelf cephalopods			
		☐ Deep-sea cephalopods			
Habitats	☐ Benthic habitats	☐ Benthic broad habitats			
		☐ Other benthic habitats			
	☐ Pelagic habitats	☐ Pelagic broad habitats			
		☐ Other pelagic habitats			
Ecosystems	☐ Physical and hydrological characteristics				
	☐ Chemical characteristics				
	☐ Ecosystems, including	☐ Coastal ecosystems			
	food webs	☐ Shelf ecosystems			
		☐ Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems			
	Pressures and impacts in the he most relevant option(s). Tie	e marine environment (Features) ck one or more boxes below.			
Theme	Label: Feature				

Biological	☐ Newly introduced non-indigenous species						
	☐ Established non-indigenous species						
	☐ Species affected by incidental by-catch						
Physical and	☐ Hydrographical changes						
hydrological	☐ Physical disturbance to seabed						
	☐ Physical loss of the seabed						
Substances,	☐ Eutrophication						
litter and energy	☐ Contaminants - non UPBT substances						
01	☐ Contaminants - UPBT substances						
	☐ Contaminants – in seafood						
	☐ Adverse effects on species or habitats						
	☐ Acute pollution events						
	☐ Litter in the environment						
	☐ Impulsive sound in water						
	☐ Continuous low frequency sound						
c.1d • Pı	ressure inputs to the marine environment (Features)						
Theme	Label: Feature						
Biological	☐ Input or spread of non-indigenous species						
	☐ Input of microbial pathogens						
	☐ Input of genetically modified species and translocation of native species						
	$\square$ Loss of, or change to, natural biological communities due to cultivation of animal or plant species						
	Distribute and of section (s.e. where they have direct and food) due to however						
	☐ Disturbance of species (e.g. where they breed, rest and feed) due to human presence						
Substances,	presence  □ Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and						
litter and	presence  □ Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities)						
	presence  ☐ Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities)  ☐ Input of nutrients — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition						
litter and	presence  □ Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities)  □ Input of nutrients — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition  □ Input of organic matter — diffuse sources and point sources  ⊠ Input of other substances (e.g. synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute						
litter and	presence  ☐ Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities)  ☐ Input of nutrients — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition  ☐ Input of organic matter — diffuse sources and point sources  ☑ Input of other substances (e.g. synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events						

☐ Input of other forms of energy (including electromagnetic fields, light and heat)
☐ Input of water — point sources (e.g. brine)

## c.1e • Uses and human activities (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Theme	Label: Feature
Physical	☐ Land claim
restructuring of rivers, coastline	☐ Canalisation and other watercourse modifications
or seabed (water	☐ Coastal defence and flood protection
management)	☐ Offshore structures (other than for oil/gas/renewables)
	☐ Restructuring of seabed morphology, including dredging and depositing of materials
Extraction of	☐ Extraction of minerals (rock, metal ores, gravel, sand, shell)
non-living resources	☐ Extraction of oil and gas, including infrastructure
	☐ Extraction of salt
	☐ Extraction of water
Production of energy	☐ Renewable energy generation (wind, wave and tidal power), including infrastructure
	☐ Non-renewable energy generation
	☐ Transmission of electricity and communications (cables)
Extraction of	☐ Fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational)
living resources	☐ Fish and shellfish processing
	☐ Marine plant harvesting
	☐ Hunting and collecting for other purposes
Cultivation of	☐ Aquaculture — marine, including infrastructure
living resources	☐ Aquaculture — freshwater
	☐ Agriculture
	☐ Forestry
Transport	☐ Transport infrastructure
	☐ Transport — shipping
	☐ Transport — air
	☐ Transport — land
Urban and	☐ Urban uses

industrial uses	☐ Industrial uses
	☐ Waste treatment and disposal
Tourism and leisure	☐ Tourism and leisure infrastructure
	☐ Tourism and leisure activities
Security/defence	☐ Military operations (subject to Article 2(2))
Education and research	☐ Research, survey and educational activities
c.2 Other legi The sub-programme one or more relevan	e links with the following other international legislation (OtherPoliciesConventions). Tick
☐Bathing Water Di	rective
□Common Fisherie	s Policy and Data Collection Framework
☐ Habitats Directive	
☐Birds Directive	
□Nitrates Directive	
□Urban Waste Wa	ter Treatment Directive
☐Water Framewor	k Directive
□OSPAR Conventio	on.
☐Trilateral Wadder	n Sea Convention
□Other, Specify:	
(RegionalCoo	ntation of Regional Cooperation peration_implementation) fimplementation by selecting one of the following:
□Agreed data colle	ection methods
□Common monito	ring strategy (spatial and temporal design of programme)
□Coordinated data	collection (delivered separately by each country)
⊠Joint data collecti	on (multinational delivery using same platform and/or algorithms)
c.4 Monitorin	ng concepts

### Monitoring concepts table:

Current means of coordination	Features or elements Elements (Features) (Features_e num)	Parameters Parameters (Parameters_ot her)	Method  MonitoringMetho d (Monitoring MethodOther)	QA/QC (Free text)	Frequency <sup>1</sup> MonitoringFrequency,	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling (ProgrammeDescription)	Link to HELCOM core indicators <sup>2</sup> (RelatedIndicator) (RelatedIndicator_n ame	Spatial scope (SpatialSco pe)	Monitorin g started (year) (TemporalSc ope)	CPs monitoring <sup>3</sup> (CountryCode_Enum)
Regional	PresInput Cont (Input of other substanc es)	DEP (Deposition of Cd)	OTH (https://www.emep.int/publ/helcom/2017/index.html)	Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP) in Vienna, Austria	Montly	EMEP grid 0.1 x 0.1 degree grid		Beyond MS Marine Waters	1998	All HELCOM Contracting Parties (modelling covers whole drainage area)
Regional	PresInput Cont (Input of other substanc es)	DEP (Deposition of Pb)	OTH (https://www.emep.int/publ/helcom/2017/index.html)	Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP) in Vienna, Austria	Montly	EMEP grid 0.1 x 0.1 degree grid		Beyond MS Marine Waters	1998	All HELCOM Contracting Parties (modelling covers whole drainage area)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The option "Different for each country - see MORE overview" refers to the <u>overview</u> carried out in 2013 <sup>2</sup> Give the name of HELCOM core indicators that are based on the monitoring parameter.

<sup>3</sup> Provide information on the Contracting Partie(s) that are monitoring the parameter.

Current means of coordination	Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequency <sup>1</sup>	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling	Link to HELCOM core indicators <sup>2</sup>	Spatial scope	Monitorin g started (year)	CPs monitoring <sup>3</sup>
Regional	Presinput Cont (Input of other substanc es)	DEP (Deposition of Hg)	OTH (https://www.emep.int/publ/helcom/2017/index.html)	Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP) in Vienna, Austria	Montly	EMEP grid 0.1 x 0.1 degree grid		Beyond MS Marine Waters	1998	All HELCOM Contracting Parties (modelling covers whole drainage area)
Regional	PresInput Cont (Input of other substanc es)	DEP (Deposition of dioxins/fura ns)	OTH (https://www.emep.int/publ/helcom/2017/index.html)	Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP) in Vienna, Austria	Montly	EMEP grid 0.1 x 0.1 degree grid		Beyond MS Marine Waters	1998	All HELCOM Contracting Parties (modelling covers whole drainage area)

#### **PARAMETER**

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

#### **METHOD** (Monitoring Details)

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Annual total emissions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are officially reported every year to the UN ECE Secretariat by the HELCOM Contracting Parties and compiled by EMEP/MSC-E. The methodology for data collection is based on combination of emission measurements and emission estimates based on activity data and emission factors.

The atmospheric deposions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are calculated with the latest version of EMEP/MSC-E Eulerian Heavy Metal transport model. The latest available official emission data for the HELCOM countries are used in the model computations. Both official data and expert estimates are used for modeling atmospheric transport and deposition of contaminants to the Baltic Sea. Atmospheric depositions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are computed for the entire EMEP domain, which includes Baltic Sea basin and catchment.

EMEP/MSC-E Eulerian Heavy Metal transport model MSCE-HM'is a mulpollutant, three-dimensional Eulerian model which takes into account processes of emission, advection, turbulent diffusion, chemical transformations, wet and dry deposions and inflow of pollutants into the model domain. Complete description of the model and its applications is available on the <a href="EMEP website">EMEP website</a>. Calculations of atmospheric transport and depositions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are performed annually two years in arrears on the basis of emission data officially submitted by Parties to CLRTAP Convention and expert estimates.

#### QA/QC

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

There are gaps in time series of most national emissions which have to be corrected by experts. No official information about the uncertainty of provided contaminants emission data have been sent to EMEP from both EMEP and HELCOM Contracting Parties and hence further work on emission uncertainty is required. Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP). There are gaps in time series of national emissions which have to be corrected by experts. The results of the MSC-E Eulerian Heavy Metal transport model (MSCE-HM) are routinely compared with available measurements at EMEP and HELCOM stations. The comparison of calculated versus measured data indicates that the model predicts the observed air concentrations of Cadmium, Lead and Mercury within the accuracy of approximately 70%, 40% and 10% respecyely with measured concentrations. PCDD/Fs are not regularly measured by the EMEP monitoring network. Evaluation of modelling results on PCDD/Fs against measurements was performed in framework of the studies of EMEP region pollution by dioxins and furans (Shatalov et al., 2012; Gusev et al., 2013). For this purpose available measurements made by various national and international campaigns reported in literature were used. It was found that the agreement between calculated and measured total PCDD/F toxicities was within a factor of two for more than 50% of available measurements at background locations. More detailed information on the comparison of model estimates and observed PCDD/F concentrations can be found in the EMEP Status Reports (Shatalov et al., 2012; Gusev et al., 2013). Further work is required on reducing uncertainties in emission data and better parameterization of physical processes in the EMEP Unified model.

### **FREQUENCY**

#### Frequency

Annual

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

#### **SPATIAL SCOPE**

#### **Spatial Scope**

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

EMEP uses a  $0.1 \times 0.1$  degree grid cell for calculation of deposition and input data are also aggregated using the PLC water sub-basin division to allow for harmonized HELCOM pollution load assessments covering both air- and waterborne inputs.

#### SPATIAL RESOLUTION (DENSITY) OF SAMPLING

#### Spatial resolution

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Data from monitoring stations are used to validate and calibrate the deposition model. The spatial resolution of monitoring data are so scarce that only 6-14 stations provide data of the concentrations in air and precipitation for Hg, Pb and Cd. Dioxines are not regularly measured by EMEP monitoring network.

**Provide considerations for the scale of aggregation of data for an indicator-based assessment** Tick one or more relevant boxes below:

☐HELCOM assessment unit Level 4: Subbasins with coastal WFD division
$\square$ HELCOM assessment unit Level 3: Subbasins with coastal and offshore division
☐HELCOM assessment unit Level 2: Subbasin
⊠HELCOM assessment unit Level 1: Baltic Sea
□MSFD Region
□EU
☑Other (specify) HELCOM PLC Subbasins
□Unknown

# c.5 Monitoring and assessment requirements

#### **Monitoring requirements:**

Data on atmospheric deposition of hazardous substances is needed to assess the amount of contaminant input to the sea to allow for follow-up of effectiveness of implemented measures (under e.g. BSAP). Pressure data should also be useable for HELCOM holistic assessments (i.e. pressure index). Deposition data is calculated as total annual inputs per 0.1 x 01 degree grid (available via the EMEP website).

Αd	lequacy	for	assessment of	of GES:
----	---------	-----	---------------	---------

Monitoring	should	provide	adequate	data	and	information	to	enable	the	periodic	assessment	of
environmen	tal statu:	s, and dis	tance from	and p	rogre	ss towards Gl	ES as	s require	d by	MSFD und	der Article 9 a	and
Article 11.												

Article 11.					
	Yes	No			
Adequate data?	×				
Established methods for assessment?	×				
Adequate understanding of GES?	$\boxtimes$				
Adequate capacity to perform assessments?	$\boxtimes$				
Assessment of natural variability					
c.6 Data providers and access From which database the data can be made available? Tick the relevant boxes below:					

☐ HELCOM COMBINE	☐ HELCOM PLC	□HELCOM MORS	
⊠Other:	EMEP		

If the previous answer is "Other" please fill in the next questions (In case the answer is a HELCOM database, the HELCOM Secretariat will do it)

Data type Tick the relevant boxes below:

□Unprocessed/raw Data

⊠Processed Data sets

**⊠**Data Products

#### Data management: General description of data management (DataManagement, Free text)

CEIP compiles emission data reported by Parties to the Convention and their import into the CEIP database. Based on the reported data, emission datasets (data products) are developed for modellers, i.e. develop gridded data of EMEP pollutants for EMEP area. The modelled data is used for calculation deposition to HELCOM PLC sub-basins.

	What method/mechanism will be used to make the data available? Tick the relevant boxes below and provide location (DataAccess):				
$\square$ Providing URL to view	data:				
☐ Providing URL to down	load data:				
$\square$ Provide location of dat	a in national data centre: Click here to enter text.				
	of data in international data centre (e.g. RSC, ICES, EEA, EMODnet): s/ceip_home1/ceip_home/webdab_emepdatabase/emissions_emepmodel				
When will the data first l	pecome available? (DataPublicationDate)				
Enter the date of reportir	ng, or even a past date if desired (MM/YYYY):				
01/2019					
How frequently are the o	lata expected to be updated thereafter? Tick the relevant box below:				
□Every 6 years	□Weekly				
□Every 3 years	□Daily				
□Every 2 years	□Hourly				
⊠Yearly	□Continually				
□6-monthly	□One-off				
□3-monthly	□As needed				
□Monthly	□Other (specify)				
□2-weekly	□Unknown				
List providing contact points in the Contracting Parties					

Has the data been used or is it planned to be used in HELCOM assessments? Tick the relevant box below:

⊠Yes	□No
Select if data i below:	s used in the following Baltic Sea Environment Fact Sheets (BSEF) Tick the relevant boxes
Biodiversity	
□Abundance	and distribution of marenzelleria species
□Abundance	and distribution of Round goby
□Abundance	and distribution of the Zebra mussel
□Biopollution	level index
□Observed no	on-indigenous and cryptogenic species in the Baltic Sea
□Population o	development of Great Cormorant
□Population o	development of Sandwich Tern
□Population o	development of Southern Dunlin
□Population [	Development of White-tailed Sea Eagle
□Temporal de	evelopment of Baltic coastal fish communities and key species
Eutrophication	on
□Bacterioplar	nkton growth
□Chlorophyll-	a concentrations, temporal variations and regional differences from satellite remote sensing
□Cyanobacte	ria biomass
□Cyanobacte	rial blooms in the Baltic Sea
□Cyanobacte	ria bloom index
□Impacts of in	nvasive phytoplankton species on the Baltic Sea ecosystem in 1980-2008
□Nitrogen atr	nospheric deposition to the Baltic Sea
□Nitrogen em	nissions to the air in the Baltic Sea area
□Phytoplankt	on biomass and species succession
$\square$ Shifts in the	Baltic Sea summer phytoplankton communities in 1992-2006
☐Spatial distri	bution of the winter nutrient pool
□Unusual phy	rtoplankton event
Hazardous su	ubstances
⊠Atmospheric	c deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea
⊠Atmospheric	deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea
⊠Atmospheric	c emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region

⊠Atmospheric e	missions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region
□Cesium-137 in	Baltic Sea sediments
☐Temporal tren	ds in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010
□Emissions fron	n Baltic Sea shipping
□Illegal discharg	ges of oil in the Baltic Sea
☐Liquid discharg	ges of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea
☐Trace metal co	ncentrations and trends in Baltic surface and deep waters
Hydrography	
□Development	of Sea Surface Temperature in the Baltic Sea
☐Hydrography a	nd Oxygen in the Deep Basins
□Ice season	
☐Total and region	onal runoff to the Baltic Sea
☐Water Exchang	ge between the Baltic Sea and the North Sea, and conditions in the Deep Basins
□Wave climate i	n the Baltic Sea
Choose only the	riteria (GES criteria) most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.
Descriptor 1	□ D1C1 – Primary:
	The mortality rate per species from incidental by-catch is below levels which threaten the species, such that its long- term viability is ensured.
	Member States shall establish the threshold values for the mortality rate from incidental by-catch per species, through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D1C2 – Primary:
	The population abundance of the species is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures, such that its long-term viability is ensured.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of natural variation in population size and the mortality rates derived from D1C1, D8C4 and D10C4 and other relevant pressures. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these values shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Population values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.
	☐ D1C3 — Primary for commercially- exploited fish and cephalopods and secondary for other species:
	The population demographic characteristics (e.g. body size or age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity, and survival rates) of the species are indicative of a healthy population

which is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.

	Member States shall establish threshold values for specified characteristics of each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of adverse effects on their health derived from D8C2, D8C4 and other relevant pressures.
	$\square$ D1C4 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV or V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:
	The species distributional range and, where relevant, pattern is in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and climatic conditions.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Range values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.
	$\square$ D1C5 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:
	The habitat for the species has the necessary extent and condition to support the different stages in the life history of the species.
	□ D1C6 – Primary
	The condition of the habitat type, including its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5 and 8, through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 2	□ D2C1 – Primary:
	The number of non-indigenous species which are newly introduced via human activity into the wild, per assessment period (6 years), measured from the reference year as reported for the initial assessment under Article 8(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC, is minimised and where possible reduced to zero.
	Member States shall establish the threshold value for the number of new introductions of non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D2C2 — Secondary:
	Abundance and spatial distribution of established non-indigenous species, particularly of invasive species, contributing significantly to adverse effects on particular species groups or broad habitat types.
	□ D2C3 — Secondary:
	Proportion of the species group or spatial extent of the broad habitat type which is adversely altered due to non-indigenous species, particularly invasive non-indigenous species.
	Member States shall establish the threshold values for the adverse alteration to species groups and broad habitat types due to non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.

Descriptor 3	□ D3C1 — Primary:
·	The Fishing mortality rate of populations of commercially-exploited species is at or below levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
	□ D3C2 — Primary:
	The Spawning Stock Biomass of populations of commercially-exploited species are above biomass levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
	□ D3C3 — Primary:
	The age and size distribution of individuals in the populations of commercially-exploited species is indicative of a healthy population. This shall include a high proportion of old/large individuals and limited adverse effects of exploitation on genetic diversity.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation for each population of species in accordance with scientific advice obtained pursuant to Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.
Descriptor 4	□ D4C1 — Primary:
	The diversity (species composition and their relative abundance) of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D4C2 — Primary:
	The balance of total abundance between the trophic guilds is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D4C3 — Secondary:
	The size distribution of individuals across the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	$\square$ D4C3 — Secondary (to be used in support of criterion D4C2, where necessary):
	Productivity of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
	Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 5	□ D5C1 — Primary:
	Nutrient concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse eutrophication effects.

The threshold values are as follows:
(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation
□ D5C2 — Primary:
Chlorophyll a concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
The threshold values are as follows:
(c) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
(d) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
□ D5C3 — Secondary:
The number, spatial extent and duration of harmful algal bloom events are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
□ D5C4 — Secondary:
The photic limit (transparency) of the water column is not reduced, due to increases in suspended algae, to a level that indicates adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
The threshold values are as follows:
(e) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
(f) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
□ D5C5 — Primary (may be substituted by D5C8):
The concentration of dissolved oxygen is not reduced, due to nutrient enrichment, to levels that indicate adverse effects on benthic habitats (including on associated biota and mobile species) or other eutrophication effects.
The threshold values are as follows:
(g) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
(h) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
□ D5C6 — Secondary:
The abundance of opportunistic macroalgae is not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.
The threshold values are as follows:
(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;

	(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D5C7 — Secondary:
	The species composition and relative abundance or depth distribution of macrophyte communities achieve values that indicate there is no adverse effect due to nutrient enrichment including via a decrease in water transparency, as follows:
	(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D5C8 — Secondary: (except when used as a substitute for D5C5):
	The species composition and relative abundance of macrofaunal communities, achieve values that indicate that there is no adverse effect due to nutrient and organic enrichment, as follows:
	(a) in coastal waters, the values for benthic biological quality elements set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 6	□ D6C1 – Primary:
	Spatial extent and distribution of physical loss (permanent change) of the natural seabed.
	seabed.
	seabed.  □ D6C2 – Primary:
	seabed.  □ D6C2 – Primary:  Spatial extent and distribution of physical disturbance pressures on the seabed.
	seabed.  □ D6C2 – Primary:  Spatial extent and distribution of physical disturbance pressures on the seabed.  □ D6C3 – Primary:  Spatial extent of each habitat type which is adversely affected, through change in its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. through changes in species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), by physical
	seabed.  □ D6C2 – Primary:  Spatial extent and distribution of physical disturbance pressures on the seabed.  □ D6C3 – Primary:  Spatial extent of each habitat type which is adversely affected, through change in its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. through changes in species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), by physical disturbance.  Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of physical
	seabed.  D6C2 – Primary:  Spatial extent and distribution of physical disturbance pressures on the seabed.  D6C3 – Primary:  Spatial extent of each habitat type which is adversely affected, through change in its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. through changes in species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), by physical disturbance.  Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of physical disturbance, through regional or subregional cooperation.

	T
	□ D6C5 – Primary:
	The extent of adverse effects from anthropogenic pressures on the condition of the habitat type, including alteration to its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for adverse effects on the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities. Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of those adverse effects as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
Descriptor 7	□ D7C1 – Secondary:
	Spatial extent and distribution of permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions (e.g. changes in wave action, currents, salinity, temperature) to the seabed and water column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.
	□ D7C2 – Secondary:
	Spatial extent of each benthic habitat type adversely affected (physical and hydrographical characteristics and associated biological communities) due to permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions.
Descriptor 8	☑ D8C1 – Primary:
	Within coastal and territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:
	(a) for contaminants set out under point 1(a) of criteria elements, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
	(b) when contaminants under point (a) are measured in a matrix for which no value is set under Directive 2000/60/EC, the concentration of those contaminants in that matrix established by Member States through regional or subregional cooperation;
	(c) for additional contaminants selected under point 1(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation, considering their application within and beyond coastal and territorial waters.
	Beyond territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:
	(a) for contaminants selected under point 2(a) of criteria elements, the values as applicable within coastal and territorial waters;
	(b) for contaminants selected under point 2(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations

	through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D8C2 – Secondary:
	The health of species and the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance at locations of chronic pollution) are not adversely affected due to contaminants including cumulative and synergetic effects.
	Member States shall establish those adverse effects and their threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D8C3 – Primary:
	The spatial extent and duration of significant acute pollution events are minimised.
	$\square$ D8C4 – Secondary (to be used when a significant acute pollution event has occurred):
	The adverse effects of significant acute pollution events on the health of species and on the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance) are minimised and, where possible, eliminated.
Descriptor 9	□ D9C1 – Primary:
	The level of contaminants in edible tissues (muscle, liver, roe, flesh or other soft parts, as appropriate) of seafood (including fish, crustaceans, molluscs, echinoderms, seaweed and other marine plants) caught or harvested in the wild (excluding fin-fish from mariculture) does not exceed:
	(a) for contaminants listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, the maximum levels laid down in that Regulation, which are the threshold values for the purposes of this Decision;
	(b) for additional contaminants, not listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, threshold values, which Member States shall establish through regional or subregional cooperation.

Descriptor 10	□ D10C1 – Primary:
	The composition, amount and spatial distribution of litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and on the seabed, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D10C2 — Primary:
	The composition, amount and spatial distribution of micro-litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and in seabed sediment, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D10C3 — Secondary:
	The amount of litter and micro-litter ingested by marine animals is at a level that does not adversely affect the health of the species concerned. Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through regional or subregional cooperation.
	□ D10C4 — Secondary:
	The number of individuals of each species which are adversely affected due to litter, such as by entanglement, other types of injury or mortality, or health effects. Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of litter, through regional or subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 11	□ D11C1 – Primary:
	The spatial distribution, temporal extent, and levels of anthropogenic impulsive sound sources do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.
	□ D11C2 – Primary:
	The spatial distribution, temporal extent and levels of anthropogenic continuous low-frequency sound do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.
	Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.

# d. References

Make a list of cited references and literature for further supportive information.

Bartnicki J., Gusev A., Aas W., Gauss M. and Eiof Jonson J. Atmospheric Supply of Nitrogen,

Cadmium, Mercury, Lead, and PCDD/Fs to the Baltic Sea in 2015. https://www.emep.int/publ/helcom/2017/index.html

Simpson, D., Benedictow, A., Berge, H., Bergstrom, R., Emberson, L.D., Fagerli, H., Flechard, C.R., Hayman, G.D., Gauss, M., Jonson, J.E., Jenkin, M.W., Nyri, A, Richter, C., Semeena, V.S, Tsyro, S., Tuovinen, J.-P., Valdebenito, A., and Wind, P., The EMEP MSC-W chemical transport model technical description. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 7825-7865.