

# Constructed wetlands - a potential win, win, win solution

Mikhail Durkin, Coalition Clean Baltic

HELCOM









• Tech availability?

- Small-scale?
- Cost?
- Efficiency?

Figure 2: Schematic overview of various treatment technologies and their implementation in wastewater treatment plants. (Based on Baresel et al., 2017)







<u>"Constructed Wetlands Save Frogs, Birds Threatened With</u> Extinction", Science News Jan. 21, 2014





Trosa wetland: 5,200 p.e. Area 6 ha Retention 8 days





#### Brannäs wetland, Oxelösund: Capacity 11,500 p.e. Area 23 ha Flow 3,700 m3/day Retention 7 days







Removal rate P: 91 % N: 78 %

<u>Alhagen wetland,</u> <u>Nynäshamn</u>: Capacity 17,000 p.e. Area is 28 ha Flow 4,000 m3/day Retention 11-14 days





Ekeby wetland, Eskilstuna: Capacity 90,000 p.e. Area 28 ha Flow 43,200 m3/day. Retention 6–7 days





Microplastics particles removal: ~ 99%

Constructed wetlands a concrete way to remove micro plastics, CCB, 2016





<u>Constructed wetlands a concrete</u> way to remove micro plastics, CCB, 2016

11



## Summary

- 5 wetlands (CW), all connected to MWWTPs, 5,000-90,000 p.e.
- 19 of 24 investigated APIs were found in CW
- Highest concentrations: naproxen, ibuprofen and diclofenac, furosemide, metoprolol, oxazepam
- 47% APIs reduced 20-80%; 47% APIs > 80%
- Diclofenac, furosemide, and naproxen reduced 74–100%.

Table 1. Measured concentrations of APIs in incoming and outgoing wastewater in the CWs. E- Eskilstuna, H- Hässleholm, N- Nynäshamn, T- Trosa och O- Oxelösund. I= Incoming, O= Outgoing. All concentrations in ng/L.

Active	EI	Eo	$H_{I}$	Ho	NI	No	TI	To	OI	00
Ingredients										
Amplodine	٠	٠	42	+	•	٠	٠	•	140	•
Atenolol	+		920	200	•	•	•	•	1200	•
Bisoprolol		••	130	89	••	•	3,8	•	530	92
Caffeine	٠	٠	16000	5400	•	•	•	•		•
Citalopram	+		600	210	•	•	•	•	620	**
Diclofenac	1000	150	700	98	1700	120	2500	200	1500	120
Fluosetin	+	+	75	21		٠	•	•	70	•
Furosemid	1300		640	+	2600	•	1900	•	2500	•
Hydrochlorothi	1200	140	1400	140	2400	160	3000	310	2600	380
azide										
Ibuprofen	**	**	6100	560	1300	**	2800	220	430	**
Carbamazepine		٠	590	500	•	٠	•	•	650	500
Ketoprofen	+	+	290	+	•	•	•	•	320	•
Metoprolol	12	••	2100	1400	34	**	31	**	2200	510
Naproxen	590	140	2400	410	1100	•	10000	220	1700	•
Oxazepam	٠		4900	4300	•				3500	1900
Paracetamol	•		•	+	•		•	•	•	•
Propranolol	**	٠	130	28	**	•	**	•	180	24
Ramipril			•	+	•		•	•	•	+
Ranitidine	+	+	21	+	++	+	3	•	26	•
Risperidone	+		+	+	+		•	•	+	+
Sertraline	+	+	160	9	+	+	+	+	81	+
Simvastatin	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•
Terbutaline			•		•	•	•	•	•	+
Warfarin	3	4	9	11	6	7	12	9	9	6

\*The ingredient was not detected; the concentration was under the detection limit.

\*\* The ingredient has been detected but not quantified, the concentration is between the detection and the quantification limits.



#### **API concentrations: IN-OUT**



Figure 4. Four APIs in incoming (IN) and outgoing (OUT) wastewater of the five CWs (E = Eskilstuna, H = Hässleholm, N = Nynäshamn, T = Trosa and O = Oxelösund).

Table 2. Removal efficiencies for Eskilstuna, Hässleholm, Nynäshamn, Trosa, Oxelösund wetland. E- Eskilstuna, H- Hässleholm, N- Nynäshamn, T- Trosa and O-Oxelösund.

APIs	E	H	N	T	0	
	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	
Amplodine	*	**84	*	*	**99	
Atenolol	*	82	*	*	100	
Bisoprolol	*	44	*	**75	98	
Citalopram	*	71	*	*	**99	
Caffeine	*	72	*	*	*	
Carbamazepine	*	31	*	*	89	
Diclofenac	84	89	95	92	99	
Fluoxetine	*	77	*	*	100	
Furosemide	**97	**95	**99	**98	100	
Hydrochlorothiazide	87	92	96	90	98	
Ibuprofen	*	92	**98	92	**99	
Ketoprofen	*	**94	*	*	**99	
Metoprolol	**10	45	80	**69	97	
Naproxen	74	86	**99	98	100	
Oxazepam	*	28	*	*	92	
Paracetamol	*					
		*	*	*	*	
Propranolol	*	* 82	*	*	* 98	
Propranolol Ramipril	*	* 82 *	* * *	* * *	* 98 *	
Propranolol Ramipril Ranitidine	* * *	* 82 * *96	* * *	* * **68	* 98 * **99	
Propranolol Ramipril Ranitidine Risperidone	* * *	* 82 * **96 *	* * * *	* * **68 *	* 98 * **99 *	
Propranolol Ramipril Ranitidine Risperidone Sertraline	* * * *	* 82 * *96 * 96	* * * * *	* * **68 *	* 98 * **99 * 100	
Propranolol Ramipril Ranitidine Risperidone Sertraline Simvastatin	* * * * *	* 82 * *96 * 96 *	* * * * * *	* * **68 * *	* 98 * **99 * 100 *	
Propranolol Ramipril Ranitidine Risperidone Sertraline Simvastatin Terbutaline	* * * * * *	* 82 * *96 * 96 * *	* * * * * * *	* * **68 * * *	* 98 * **99 * 100 * *	



#### **API removal efficiency**



Figure 5. Removal efficiency (%) of six API in the five studied CWs.

\*The removal efficiency has not been possible to determine as the influent or effluent concentrations were below the quantification or detection limit \*\*The removal efficiency is greater than

14



Table 3. Compilation of removal efficiencies for Eskilstuna, Nynäshamn, Trosa and Oxelösund wetlands summer conditions (this study) in 2019 and in winter conditions (Näslund, 2010). ( $E_W = Eskilstuna$  winter,  $E_S = Eskilstuna$  summer,  $N_W = Nynäshamn$ winter,  $N_S = Nynäshamn$  summer,  $T_W = Trosa$  winter,  $T_S = Trosa$  summer,  $O_W =$ Oxelösund winter,  $O_S = Oxelösund$  summer).

	Es	Ew	Ns	Nw	Ts	Tw	Os	Ow
Atenolol	*	27	*	53	*	53	100	53
Bisoprolol	*	26	*	22	75	36	98	29
Citalopram	*	45	*	84	*	97	99	63
Diclofenac	84	31	95	24	92	30	99	36
Ibuprofen	*	38	98	80	92	5	99	88
Carbamazepine	*	12	*	11	*	-19	89	21
Ketoprofen	*	56	*	3	*	19	99	32
Metoprolol	10	-3	80	30	69	27	97	18
Naproxen	74	34	99	46	90	50	100	75
Oxazepam	*	*	*	21	*	-26	92	48
Ranitidine	*	-39	*	92	68	56	99	88
Sertraline	*	0	*	*	*	*	100	94

\*Removal efficiency not available.

#### Summer vs. winter removal

- Summer significantly higher
- Winter low water temperatures, low biological activity, low incoming sunshine and poor oxygen conditions.
- **Optimal**, T 15-25° C
- Longer retention time could benefit the removal process in summer conditions

## Time to re-think personal medical footprint?

Medicine	daily, g	days	consumed, g	rate, %	excreted, g	Could be reduced, g
Amlodipine	0,005	140	0,7	0,6	0,42	0,036
Metoprolol	0,1	91	9,1	0,95	8,645	3,458
Eliquis	0,01	91	0,91	0,52	0,4732	?
Paracetamol	4,0	70	280	0,9	252	?





REPORT

Removal efficiency of pharmaceuticals in constructed wetlands in Sweden

January 2020









## **Recommendation 1**

### Constructed wetlands

- are an economically and environmentally suitable compliment for treatment of wastewater from small and medium-sized municipalities.
- ✓ have shown to reduce the flux of pharmaceuticals and other micro-pollutants substantially to the water recipient.



## Recommendation 2

- When constructing wetlands, it is important to **pay attention to the design, type and retention time**.
  - **Removal efficiency increases:**
  - ✓ with retention time,
  - ✓ when water flow is filled and emptied alternately rather than flowing continuously.
  - This characteristic can be designed into constructed wetlands.



## **Recommendation 3**

 In order to improve the performance of the wetlands, it is important to emphasize optimal management, incorporating improved monitoring systems covering micro plastics, pharmaceuticals and other emerging pollutants.

## Download the Shadow Plan at: balticwwf.org ccb.se

NGOs key asks for the rev Baltic Sea Action Plan

#### THE BALTIC SHADOW PLAN: For the future of the Baltic Sea This shadow plan presents NGO requests to HELCOM for the Ballic Sea Action Plan

The Baltic Sea Action Plan (BSAP) adopted in 2007, by the Helsinki Convention, had the balls, sea action than usion/ anopted in UNA, by the rebuild convention, had the goal to restore the Baltic marine environment to a good ecological status by 2021. the goar to restore the same manne environment to a good econgram same by However, the nine Ballic Sea Countries are **nowhere near achieving this goal**.

The vision of the BSAP for "A healthy Baltic Sea environment, with diverse biological

onponents functioning in balance, resulting in a good environmental/ecological components functioning in usiance, resuming in a good environmentalecology status," cannot be reached without explicit implementation of the ecosystem starts: carried up reacting without explicit implementation of the ecosystem approach across all the segments of the Action Plan and engaging all economic sectors approach across an trie segments of the Action Francaire en and human activities within the Baltic Sea catchment area.

We are in the midst of a biodiversity and climate crisis. Healthy marine and coastal We are in the must of a biooversity and cannot crisis, reading for an ere and coas life and habitats are essential to our resilience to ecological and dimate breakdown. itle and natitials are essential to our resilience to exological and unneed or candown. We are dependent on marine and coastal ecosystems to be healthy and rich so that



WORKING TOGETHER TO SAVE THE BALTIC SEA



((



20