

Manual for Marine Monitoring in the

COMBINE

Programme of HELCOM

Part B

General guidelines on **quality assurance** for monitoring in the Baltic Sea

Annex B-11

Technical note on the determination of heavy metals and persistent organic compounds in seawater

Appendix 2

Technical annex on the determination of heavy metals and persistent organic compounds in seawater



Last updated: 29.10.2012 (Annex number changed from Annex B 12 to Annex B 11)

ANNEX B-11 TECHNICAL NOTE ON THE DETERMINATION OF HEAVY METALS AND PERSISTENT ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IN SEAWATER

ANNEX B-11 APPENDIX 2: TECHNICAL ANNEX ON THE DETERMINATION OF HEAVY METALS AND PERSISTENT ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IN SEAWATER

1. Introduction.....	2
2. Sampling and storage	3
3. Blanks and contamination	3
4. Pre-treatment.....	4
5. Extraction	4
5.1 Solid phase extraction	5
5.2 Liquid-liquid extraction.....	5
6. Clean-up	6
7. Chromatographic determination	7
7.1 Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.....	7
7.2 Quantification.....	8
8. Quality assurance	8
8.1 Extraction efficiency and clean-up	9
8.2 Calibrant and calibration	9
8.3 System performance	9
8.4 Long-term stability.....	10
8.5 Internal standards.....	10
9. References.....	10

1. INTRODUCTION

These guidelines concentrate on the sampling and extraction of lipophilic persistent organic pollutants from seawater and special aspects of the sampling matrix. This group of pollutants comprises the group of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and chlorinated hydrocarbons (e.g., HCH, HCB, DDT group, chlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)).

For general aspects and the analytical determination, reference is made to the following guidelines:

- "Determination of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Sediments: Analytical Methods", ICES ACME Report 1997;
- "Guidelines for the determination of chlorobiphenyls in sediments: Analytical methods", ICES ACME Report 1996;
- "Determination of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)s in Biota", ICES ACME Report 1998; and
- Annex B-14 (these Guidelines).

As the same analytical methods can be used for the determination of lipophilic pollutants in extracts of water samples as are used for extracts of sediments, it is felt that it is a useful way to unify analytical procedures to refer to these publications only.

However, it should be taken into consideration (e.g., for calibration) that the relative concentrations of the individual pollutants are generally quite different in water and sediment samples. The concentration patterns of the pollutants are mainly influenced by their polarity which can be expressed by their octanol/water coefficient ($\log K_{ow}$; $K_{ow} = \text{Concentration in octanol phase} / \text{Concentration in aqueous phase}$). Thus, in water samples the more hydrophilic compounds with $\log K_{ow}$ values of 3 to 4 predominate (e.g., 2- and 3-ring aromatics and HCH isomers), while in sediments and biota the pollutants with $\log K_{ow}$ values >5 are enriched (4- to 6-ring aromatics, DDT group, PCBs).

These guidelines provide advice on lipophilic persistent organic pollutant (POPs) analyses in total seawater with a $\log KOW > 3$. The analysis of POPs generally includes:

1. • sampling and extraction of the water;
2. • clean-up; and
3. • analytical determination.

The extraction of the POPs simultaneously enables an enrichment of the analytes. Because of the very low concentration range of 10 pg l^{-1} to 10 ng l^{-1} , the enrichment of the contaminants is a very important step in the procedure. Extraction and enrichment can be done by solid phase extraction (SPE) or liquid-liquid extraction (LLE).

Determination depends on the chemical structure of the compounds. PAHs can be determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with fluorescence detection or gas chromatographic (GC)

separation with flame ionization (FID) or mass spectrometric (MS) detection (Fetzer and Vo-Dinh, 1989; Wise et al., 1995). Chlorinated hydrocarbons are generally analysed by gas chromatographic (GC) separation with electron capture detectors (ECD) or mass spectrometric (MS) detection.

All steps of the procedure are susceptible to insufficient recovery and/or contamination. Therefore, regular quality control procedures must be applied to check the performance of the whole method. These guidelines are intended to encourage and assist analytical chemists to critically reconsider their methods and to improve their procedures and/or the associated quality control measures, where necessary.

These guidelines are not intended as a complete laboratory manual. If necessary, guidance should be sought from specialized laboratories. Whichever procedure is adopted, each laboratory must demonstrate the validity of each step of its procedure. In addition, the use of a second (and different) method, carried out concurrently to the routine procedure, is recommended for validation. The participation in analytical proficiency tests is highly recommended.

2. SAMPLING AND STORAGE

Plastic materials must not be used for sampling and storage owing to possible adsorption on the container material or contamination. Especially the very lipophilic compounds (4- to 6-ring aromatic hydrocarbons, DDT, PCBs) tend to adsorb on every surface. Therefore, the seawater samples should not be stored longer than 2 h and should not be transferred into other containers before extraction. It is highly recommended to extract the water sample as soon as possible after sampling and to use as little manipulation as possible. It is recommended that sampling and extraction should be done in the same device. Extracts in organic solvents are less susceptible to adsorption onto surfaces.

3. BLANKS AND CONTAMINATION

In many cases, the procedural detection limit is determined by the blank value. In order to keep the blank value as low as possible, the compounds to be analysed or other interfering compounds should be removed from all glassware, solvents, chemicals, adsorption materials, etc., that are used in the analysis. The following procedures should be used:

- Glassware should be thoroughly washed with detergents and rinsed with an organic solvent prior to use. Further cleaning of the glassware, other than calibrated instruments, can be carried out by heating at temperatures > 250 °C.
- All solvents should be checked for impurities by concentrating the amount normally used to 10 % of the normal end volume. This concentrate is then analysed in the same way as a sample by HPLC or GC and should not contain significant amounts of the compounds to be analysed or other interfering compounds.
- All chemicals and adsorption materials should be checked for impurities and purified (e.g., by heating or extraction), if necessary. Soxhlet thimbles should be pre-extracted. Glassfiber thimbles are preferred over paper thimbles. Alternatively, full glass Soxhlet thimbles, with a G1 glass filter at

the bottom, can be used. The storage of these supercleaned materials for a long period is not recommended, as laboratory air can contain PAHs that will be adsorbed by these materials. Blank values occurring despite all the above-mentioned precautions may be due to contamination from the air. The most volatile compounds will usually show the highest blanks (Gremm and Frimmel, 1990).

As the concentrations of the PAHs and chlorinated hydrocarbons in seawater are very low, possible blank and contamination problems might be even more difficult to control than with sediment samples. Therefore, it is recommended to rewash all equipment (vials, pipettes, glass bottles) with solvent just before use. If possible, critical steps should be done in a clean bench.

The more volatile compounds (especially naphthalene and phenanthrene) show the largest blank problems.

4. PRE-TREATMENT

For the extraction of whole water samples, no pre-treatment is necessary.

If the suspended particulate material (SPM) will be analysed separately from the solute phase, a phase separation has to be done. Because of the necessary additional manipulation step, this is a difficult operation which affords a number of additional quality control procedures (adsorption losses, contamination problems). There are two possible ways for phase separation: filtration and centrifugation.

Filtration is done by GF/F glass fibre filters. As flat-bed filters have a very limited capacity, the use of coiled glass fibre filters is recommended for volumes larger than 10 l and water samples with high amounts of suspended matter. A pump is necessary to force the water through the filter.

Centrifugation needs a high volume centrifuge which must be operable onboard a ship. Such centrifuges with a throughput of $1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ and more are commercially available and used for sampling SPM; however, they are expensive and generally not a standard equipment. For centrifugation, blanks and adsorption problems have to be controlled as well as the separation efficiency.

The sampled SPM is analysed like a sediment. The solute phase is analysed like the whole water sample.

Validation of the phase separation procedures is very difficult; thus, it might be wise to analyse the whole water sample for monitoring purposes and to determine separately only the amount of SPM in the water for reference or normalization purposes.

5. EXTRACTION

The volume of the water sample is the most important parameter which influences the limit of determination of the method. As POP concentrations down to 10 pg l^{-1} and less are observed in seawater, large water volumes of 10 l to 100 l have to be sampled and extracted. Large volumes are required not only to obtain a sufficiently high detector signal, but also to discriminate from blank problems.

Principally, there are two different extraction principles in current use: solid phase extraction (SPE) and liquid-liquid extraction (LLE). Unfortunately, the two procedures do not always yield comparable results, as the physical extraction principles are quite different (Sturm et al., 1998, Gomez-Belinchon et al., 1988).

SPE has the advantage of being able to extract very large water volumes (up to 1000 l) and to incorporate a phase separation to obtain separate samples for SPM and the solute phase. The drawbacks of the method are a longer sampling time demand, a more complex instrumentation, and problems with validation and control of the extraction efficiency.

LLE has the advantage that it can be easily validated and controlled, as internal standards can be added before extraction. Also, standard addition techniques can be used for accuracy testing. As LLE is a classical extraction technique, a great deal of experience is available and the robustness of the principle is proven. The limitation in sample volume is only relative, as techniques have been described for sampling 10 l and 100 l on a routine basis (Gaul and Ziebarth, 1993; Theobald et al., 1990). It has been shown that a sampling volume of 100 l is sufficient for nearly all monitoring tasks.

Because of the robustness of the method, there is a preference LLE for routine monitoring purposes for all lipophilic organic contaminants.

5.1 SOLID PHASE EXTRACTION

The extraction device consists of a filter holder, an adsorption column filled with an adsorbing material (e.g., XAD resin, C18 modified silica gel), a pump which forces the water sample through the column, a flow meter, an electronic control unit, and a power supply. Sampling can be done either by deploying the whole extraction device into the water (in situ pumping) or by pumping the water with a separate pump onboard a ship and then through the extraction device. A suitable in situ system is described in detail in Patrick et al. (1996). After sampling, the columns are stored at 4 °C and the filters at –20 °C.

The adsorption column is eluted with an organic solvent (acetone or acetonitril). Prior to the extraction, internal standards are added to the solvent. The extract obtained is pre-cleaned and analysed.

Analytical procedures for the use of XAD-2 adsorption resins are published by the IOC (1993), Ehrhardt (1987), and Bruhn and McLachlan (2001).

Although the SPE technique has many advantages, one has to be aware of some problems. Especially for large volume sampling, validation of the method is extremely difficult and has not yet been achieved. Some publications have shown that the extraction efficiency is dependent on, e.g., the amount and kind of humic substances which can complex lipophilic compounds (Johnson et al., 1991; Kulovaara, 1993; Sturm et al., 1998).

5.2 LIQUID-LIQUID EXTRACTION

The decision to sample 10 l, 20 l, or 100 l of water depends on the anticipated concentrations of the compounds to be analysed in natural samples. For remote sea areas with expected concentration of 10 pg l⁻¹ or less, a volume of 100 l is recommended. The technique and principle are identical for all volumes,

only the sampling bottle and the equipment are different. Details of the sampling and extraction techniques are described in Gaul and Ziebarth (1993) for the 10 l sampler and in Theobald et al. (1990) for the 100 l sampler.

The all-glass bottle sampler fixed in a stainless steel cage is lowered by a hydrographic wire down to the sampling depth and opened under water. After filling, the sampler is brought on deck of the ship and immediately extracted with a non-polar solvent such as pentane or hexane. Prior to extraction, a solution with appropriate internal standards (e.g., deuterated PAHs, e-HCH, PCB 185) is added to the water sample. After phase separation, the organic extract is dried with Na_2SO_4 and carefully concentrated to about 1 ml in a rotary evaporator. Further evaporation is done under a gentle stream of nitrogen.

Extreme care has to be taken to avoid contamination during sampling, extraction, and work up. Blank samples must be taken in every sampling campaign; this can be done, e.g., by rinsing the cleaned sampling bottle with the extraction solvent and treating this extract like a normal sample. The sampling bottle must be cleaned with detergent, water, and organic solvents (acetone and hexane or pentane) before use. After using in open sea areas, it can be of advantage not to perform the whole cleaning/washing procedure but just to use the sampler directly after emptying the glass bottle from the extracted previous water sample.

Extracts should be stored in the refrigerator and in the dark.

6. CLEAN-UP

Interferences from matrix compounds in seawater samples are generally smaller than in sediment or biota samples. Nevertheless, the crude extracts require a clean-up before chromatographic separation and determination can be done. The clean-up is dependent on the compounds to be analysed, the sample, the determination method used, and the concentration range to be analysed. For all GC methods, it is essential to remove polar and non-volatile compounds in order to protect the GC column from rapid destruction. A detection system with low selectivity (e.g., GC-FID) needs a far better clean-up than a detector with a high selectivity such GC-MS or even GC-MS/MS. HPLC with fluorescence detection (for PAH analyses) has a relative high selectivity but the method will fail if petrogenic aromatic compounds (from an oil spill) are present in the sample. GC-ECD (for chlorinated compounds) has a high selectivity but some interferences (e.g., phthalate esters) may disturb the detection; therefore, for GC-ECD a good clean-up is necessary as well.

A clean-up procedure for this is presented here that uses short silica gel chromatography columns that can be applied with any determination technique: HPLC, GC or GC-MS. The method is simple and is sufficient in most cases of PAH and chlorinated hydrocarbon determinations in seawater (ICES, 1996, 1997, 1999).

A 3 ml glass column with glass fibre frit (commercially available for SPE) is filled with 500 mg silica gel (dried for 2 h at 200° C) and subsequently washed with 30 ml CH_2Cl_2 and 30 ml hexane. The hexane sample extract (concentrated to 500 μl) is applied on top of the column and eluted with 5 ml CH_2Cl_2 /hexane (15/85 v/v) and then with 5 ml of acetone. Fraction 1 contains all lipophilic compounds of interest (PAHs and all chlorinated hydrocarbons (from HCB to HCH)); this fraction can be used for GC-MS determination after concentration to 50–300 μl .

If the water sample has been extremely rich in biological material (algae) or if detection limits far below 10 $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ are requested, additional clean-up (HPLC, GPC) might become necessary.

7. CROMATOGRAPHIC DETERMINATION

Details for the chromatographic determinations are comprehensively described in the 1996 ACME report (ICES, 1996) for chlorobiphenyls in sediments (GC-ECD and GC-MS), the 1997 ACME report (ICES, 1997) for PAHs in sediments (HPLC-Fluorescence detection, GC-FID and GC-MS), and the 1998 ACME report (ICES, 1999) for PAHs in biota (HPLC and GC-MS).

As the cleaned extracts from the seawater samples can be analysed in the same way as the extracts from sediments and biota, the above guidelines can be used. When a GC-MS system can be used, all compounds can be determined in one single GC analysis; if not, the samples have to be analysed separately for PAHs (HPLC-F, GC-FID) and chlorinated hydrocarbons (GC-ECD).

7.1 GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY-MASS SPECTROMETRY

As GC-MS has the advantage of being both very selective and quite universal, it is strongly recommended to use GC-MS as the determination method. It especially has the advantage that both PAHs and chlorinated hydrocarbons can be determined in one single analysis. This is not possible with any of the other techniques.

Because of the sensitivity required, the mass spectrometric detector must be operated in the selected ion mode (SIM). By this, absolute sensitivities in the range of 1 μg to 10 μg can be achieved for most compounds. Ion-trap instruments can be operated in full-scan mode and are in principle as sensitive as quadrupole detectors; however, with real samples and matrix underground they can lose considerably sensitivity.

With GC-MS, detection limits of 5–30 $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ can be reached with water sample volumes of 10 l to 100 l. In most cases, it is not the absolute signal strength of the detector which limits the detection; therefore, the injection of a larger aliquot of the analysis solution would not improve it. For some compounds, blank values are the limiting parameter (especially naphthalene and phenanthrene and, to a lesser extent, other PAHs); for this, only a larger sample volume can improve the detection limits. Many other compounds do not exhibit blank problems, if appropriate care is applied; for these, matrix noise often limits the detection. For such situations, only a better clean-up (e.g., HPLC, GPC) or a more specific detection method (GC-NCI-MS or GC-MS/MS) will improve the detection limit. Negative chemical ionization (NCI) mass spectrometric detection can be used for highly chlorinated compounds (e.g., HCB, PCBs with five or more Cl atoms, HCH) and shows extremely high sensitivity and selectivity for these compounds. More universally applicable is tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS), which yields a similar absolute sensitivity as normal MS but much higher selectivity. Some MS/MS transitions for the detection of selected chlorinated hydrocarbons are listed in Table 1 in Appendix 2 to Annex B-13: Technical note on the determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in biota, from the full "Guidelines".

7.2 QUANTIFICATION

A multilevel calibration with at least five concentration levels is recommended. The response of the FID detector is linear. For UV and fluorescence detection, the linear range is also large. The working range should be linear and must be covered by a calibration curve.

Since the mass spectrometric detector often has no linear response curve, the use of stable deuterated isotopes is a prerequisite. Furthermore, the response of PAHs in standard solutions is often much lower than in sample extracts. Only a combination of different techniques, e.g., the use of internal standards and standard addition, might give reliable quantitative results.

The calibration curve can be checked by recalculating the standards as if they were samples and comparing these results with the nominal values. Deviations from the nominal values should not exceed 5%.

When chromatograms are processed using automated integrators, the baseline is not always set correctly, and always needs visual inspection. Because the separation of the peaks is often incomplete in HPLC analysis, the use of peak heights is recommended for quantification. In case of GC techniques, either peak heights or peak areas can be used.

Prior to running a series of samples and standards, the GC or HPLC systems should be equilibrated by injecting at least one sample extract, the data from which should be ignored. In addition, standards used for multilevel calibration should be regularly distributed over the sample series so matrix- and non-matrix-containing injections alternate. A sample series should include:

- a procedural blank,
- a laboratory reference material,
- at least five standards,
- one standard that has been treated similarly to the samples (recovery determination).

The limit of determination should depend on the purpose of the investigation. A limit of 2 ng g⁻¹ (dry weight) or better should be attained for single compounds. The method for calculating the limit of determination should reflect QUASIMEME advice (Topping et al., 1992). The limit of determination that can be achieved depends on the blank, the sample matrix, concentrations of interfering compounds, and the volume of water taken for analysis. The typical concentration ranges of PAHs and other POPs in seawater can be found in HELCOM assessments (HELCOM, 2003a, 2003b).

8. QUALITY ASSURANCE

A number of measures should be taken to ensure a sufficient quality of the analysis. Five main areas can be identified:

1. extraction efficiency and clean-up;

2. calibrant and calibration;
3. system performance;
4. long-term stability; and
5. internal standards.

8.1 EXTRACTION EFFICIENCY AND CLEAN-UP

A check on extraction efficiency and clean-up can be performed by analysing a reference material (Annex B-7). To determine the recovery rates of the clean-up and concentration steps, it is recommended to pass a standard solution through the entire procedure. Additionally, at least one internal standard should be added to each sample before extraction, to check for recovery during the analytical procedures. If major losses have occurred, then the results should not be reported. CB29 is suggested as a recovery standard because, owing to its high volatility, losses due to evaporation are easily detected. CB29 elutes relatively late from alumina and silica columns. Small peaks that may be present in the gas chromatogram at the retention time of CB29 do not hinder the use of this CB because the recovery standard only indicates major errors in extraction or clean-up. In case of GC/MS, labelled CBs can be used as recovery standards. This allows correction for recovery, provided that each chlorination stage is represented.

8.2 CALIBRANT AND CALIBRATION

PAH determinations should preferably be carried out using calibration solutions prepared from certified crystalline PAHs. However, the laboratory should have the appropriate equipment and expertise to handle these hazardous crystalline substances. Alternatively, certified PAH solutions, preferably from two different suppliers, can be used. Two independent stock solutions should always be prepared simultaneously to allow cross-checks to be made. Calibration solutions should be stored in ampoules in a cool, dark place. Weight loss during storage should be recorded for all standards.

CB determinations should always be carried out using calibration solutions prepared from crystalline CBs. Preferably, certified CBs should be used. Two independent stock solutions of different concentrations should always be prepared simultaneously to allow a cross-check to be made. Calibration solutions should preferably be stored in a cool, dark place. For all containers with standards, the weight loss during storage should be recorded.

After clean-up and before GC analysis, both in PAH and CB analysis, an additional internal standard is added for volume correction. Internal standards should be added in a fixed volume or weighted to all standards and samples.

8.3 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

The performance of the HPLC or GC system can be monitored by regularly checking the resolution of two closely eluting PAHs or CBs. A decrease in resolution indicates deteriorating HPLC or GC conditions. The signal-to-noise ratio of a low concentration standard yields information on the condition of the detector.

For example, a dirty MS-source can be recognized by the presence of a higher background signal, together with a reduced signal-to-noise ratio. Additionally, the peak can be affected.

8.4 LONG-TERM STABILITY

One laboratory reference sample should be included in each series of samples. A quality control chart should be recorded for selected PAHs, e.g., fluoranthene (stable results), pyrene (sensitive to quenching), benzo[a]pyrene (sensitive to light), or, correspondingly, for selected CBs. If the warning limits are exceeded, the method should be checked for possible errors. When alarm limits are exceeded, the results obtained should not be reported.

A certified reference material (CRM) should be analysed at least once a year, when available, and each time the procedure is changed. Each laboratory analysing PAHs and CBs in water should participate in interlaboratory analytical performance tests on a regular basis.

8.5 INTERNAL STANDARDS

Internal standards should be added to all standards and samples either in a fixed volume or by weight. The PAH internal standards should preferably be non-natural PAHs which are not found in water and do not co-elute with the target PAHs; several predeuterated PAHs have proved to be suitable for GC/MS as well as for HPLC analysis. For example, for GC/MS it is recommended to add four internal standards representing different ring-sizes of PAHs.

The following compounds can be used (Wise et al., 1995):

- for HPLC analysis: phenanthrene-d10, fluoranthene-d10, perylene-d12, 6-methyl-chrysene;
- for GC/MS analysis: naphthalene-d8, phenanthrene-d10, chrysene-d12, perylene-d12;
- for GC/FID analysis: 1-butylpropylene, m-tetraphenyl.

Similarly the ideal internal standard for PCBs is a compound which is not found in the samples and does not co-elute with other CBs, e.g., CBs 29, 112, 155, 198 or all 2,4,6-substituted CB congeners. Alternatively, 1,2,3,4-tetrachloronaphthalene can be used.

9. REFERENCES

Bruhn, R., and McLachlan, M.S. 2001: Seasonal variation of polychlorinated biphenyl concentrations in the southern part of the Baltic Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, in press

Ehrhardt, M., 1987: Lipophilic organic material: An apparatus for extracting solids used for their concentration from sea water. *ICES Techniques in Marine Environmental Sciences*, 4.

Fetzer, J.C., and Vo-Dinh, T. 1989: *Chemical analysis of polycyclic aromatic compounds*. Wiley, New York.

- Gaul, H., and Ziebarth, U., 1993: Method for the analysis of lipophilic compounds in water and results about the distribution of different organochlorine compounds in the North Sea. *Dt. Hydrog. Z.*, 36: 191–121.
- Gomez-Belinchon, J.I., Grimalt, J.O., and Albeiges, J. 1988, *Environmental Science and Technology*, 22: 677–685.
- HELCOM. 2003a. Fourth Periodic Assessment of the State of the Baltic Sea Environment, 1994–1998. *Baltic Sea Environmental Proceedings*, No. 82B. 218 pp.
- HELCOM. 2003b. The Baltic Marine Environment 1999–2002. *Baltic Sea Environmental Proceedings*, No. 87. 48 pp.
- ICES. 1996. Guidelines for the determination of chlorobiphenyls in sediments: Analytical methods. In Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on the Marine Environment, 1996. *ICES Cooperative Research Report*, 217: 100–104.
- ICES. 1997: Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in sediments: Analytical methods. In Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on the Marine Environment, 1997. *ICES Cooperative Research Report*, 222: 118–124.
- ICES. 1999. Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in biota In Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on the Marine Environment, 1998. *ICES Cooperative Research Report*, 233: 230–237.
- IOC. 1993: Chlorinated biphenyls in open ocean waters: sampling, extraction, clean-up and instrumental determination. *Manual and Guides No. 27*. UNESCO Press, Paris
- Johnson, W.E., Fendinger, N.J., and Plimmer, J.R. 1991: Solid-phase extraction of pesticides from water: Possible interferences from dissolved organic material. *Analytical Chemistry*, 63: 1510–1513.
- Kulovara, M., 1993. Distribution of DDT and Benzo[a]pyrene between water and dissolved organic matter in natural humic water. *Chemosphere*, 27: 2333–2340.
- Patrick, G., Schulz-Bull, D.E., Martens, V., Scholz, K., and Duinker, J.C. 1996: An in-situ filtration/extraction system for the recovery of trace organics in solution and on particles – tested in deep ocean water. *Marine Chemistry*, 54: 97–105.
- Sturm, B., Knauth, H.D., Theobald, N., and Wünsch, G. 1998. Hydrophobic organic micropollutants in samples of coastal waters: efficiencies of solid-phase extraction in the presence of humic substances. *Fresenius Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 361: 803–810.
- Theobald, N., Lange, W., Rave, A., Pohle U., and Koennecke, P. 1990: Ein 100-l Glaskugelschöpfer zur kontaminationsfreien Entnahme von Seewasser für die Analyse lipophiler organischer Stoffe. *Dt. Hydrogr. Z.*, 43: 311.
- Topping G. 1992: The role and application of quality assurance in marine environmental protection. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 25(1-4): 61–66.

Wise, S.A., Schantz, M.M., Brenner, B.A., Hays, M.J., and Schiller, S.B. 1995: Certification of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in a marine sediment standard reference material. *Analytical Chemistry*, 67: 1171–1178.