

HELCOM Monitoring Programme topic

Mammals

Programme:

Harbour porpoise abundance

Contents

a.	Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes.....	2
a.1	Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body	2
a.2	Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation)	3
b.	Monitoring strategies.....	3
b.1	Descriptor	3
b.2	BSAP segments	4
b.3	Monitoring strategy description.....	4
b.4	BSAP Ecological objectives.....	4
b.5	Gaps in monitoring	5
c.	Monitoring programmes	5
c.1	Purpose of monitoring	5
c.2	Other legislation.....	10
c.3	Implementation of Regional Cooperation (RegionalCooperation_implementation)	10
c.4	Monitoring concepts.....	11
c.5	Monitoring and assessment requirements	15
c.6	Data providers and access.....	16
c.7	MSFD Criteria (GES criteria)	20
d.	References	26

a. Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes

a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body

Please indicate the relevant expert group/network if available, otherwise the responsible HELCOM Working Group.

Permanent Groups	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Gear – Group on the Implementation of the Ecosystem Approach
<input type="checkbox"/>	Maritime – Maritime Working Group
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pressure – Working Group on Reduction of Pressures from the Baltic Sea Catchment Area
<input type="checkbox"/>	Response – Response Working Group
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	State and Conservation – Working Group on the State of the Environmental and Nature Conservation
Time-limited Groups	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Agri – Group on Sustainable Agricultural Practices
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fish – Group on Ecosystem-based Sustainable Fisheries
<input type="checkbox"/>	HELCOM-VASAB MSP WG - Joint HELCOM-VASAB Maritime Spatial Planning Working Group
Expert Groups	
<input type="checkbox"/>	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EG MAMA – Expert group on marine mammals
<input type="checkbox"/>	EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances
<input type="checkbox"/>	EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter
<input type="checkbox"/>	EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise
<input type="checkbox"/>	ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses
<input type="checkbox"/>	EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	EWG SHORE – Expert Working Group on Response on the Shore
<input type="checkbox"/>	Green Technology and Alternative Fuels Platform for Shipping
<input type="checkbox"/>	HELCOM/OSPAR TG BALLAST – Joint HELCOM/OSPAR Task Group on Ballast Management Convention Exemptions

- IN Benthic habitat – Intersessional Network on habitat monitoring
- IN-EUTROPHICATION - Intersessional Network on Eutrophication
- IWGAS – Informal Working Group on Aerial Surveillance
- JWG Bird – HELCOM-OSPAR-ICES Joint Working Group on Seabirds
- MORS EG – Expert group on monitoring of radioactive substances in the Baltic Sea
- PRF Cooperation Platform – Cooperation Platform on Port Reception Facilities in the Baltic Sea
- SAFE NAV – Group of Experts on Safety of Navigation
- SUBMERGED – Expert Group on Environmental Risks of Hazardous Submerged Objects

a.2 Regional Cooperation (Regional Cooperation)

The monitoring of this programme is:

- Fully coordinated
- Partly coordinated. Indicate missing component(s):
- Coordinated monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a recommendation on coordinated monitoring can be expected.

The monitoring of this programme is partly coordinated for the Belt Sea population and not coordinated for the Baltic Proper population

The monitoring of the Belt Sea population is planned and coordinated by Aarhus University, Denmark, and the University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Germany under the respective national monitoring programs. Sweden is involved on an ad hoc basis.

Recommendation on coordinated monitoring for the Baltic Proper population is under development.

b. Monitoring strategies

b.1 Descriptor

The programme supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant boxes.

- D1** Biodiversity
- D2** Non-indigenous Species
- D3** Commercial fish and shellfish

- D4** Food webs
- D5** Eutrophication
- D6** Seafloor integrity
- D7** Hydrographical conditions
- D8** Contaminants
- D9** Contaminants in seafood
- D10** Marine litter
- D11** Energy including underwater noise

b.2 BSAP segments

The sub-programme serves the following BSAP segments. Tick one or more relevant boxes.

- Eutrophication
- Hazardous substances
- Biodiversity
- Maritime activities

b.3 Monitoring strategy description

Monitoring strategy : Monitoring is to be carried out to fulfill assessment requirements of HELCOM ecological objectives that are specified through HELCOM core indicators. The requirements on monitoring can include number of stations, the sampling frequency and replication. (currently there is no HELCOM core indicator on harbour porpoise abundance and distribution)

b.4 BSAP Ecological objectives

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Eutrophication

- Concentrations of nutrients close to natural levels
- Clear water
- Natural level of algal blooms
- Natural distribution and occurrence of plants and animals
- Natural oxygen levels

Hazardous substances

- Concentrations of hazardous substances close to natural levels
 - All fish safe to eat
-

- Healthy wildlife
- Radioactivity at pre-Chernobyl levels

Biodiversity

- Natural landscapes and seascapes
- Thriving and balanced communities of plants and animals
- Viable populations of species

Maritime activities

- No illegal pollution
- Safe maritime traffic without accidental pollution
- Efficient response capability
- No introductions of alien species from ships
- Minimum air pollution from ships
- Zero discharges from offshore platforms

b.5 Gaps in monitoring

In relation to the GES criteria addressed, indicate when sufficient monitoring was in place or by when sufficient coverage will be in place ([Coverage_GEScriteria](#))

- Adequate monitoring was in place in 2014
- Adequate monitoring was in place by 2018
- Adequate monitoring is in place by July 2020
- Adequate monitoring will be in place by 2024
- Monitoring is not being put in place for this descriptor due to a low risk
- Monitoring for this descriptor is not relevant

Description of the implementation gaps and plans to complete the establishment and implementation of this descriptor monitoring strategy ([Gaps_Plans](#)):

The monitoring of harbour porpoise abundance is not including the whole distribution of the Baltic proper population. In Germany this population is being monitored by C-pods.

c. Monitoring programmes

c.1 Purpose of monitoring

c.1a Assessment purpose in general

The programme supports the assessment of:

Tick the relevant box.

Temporal trends	Spatial distribution	State classification
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The **programme** supports the assessment of: (MonitoringPurpose).

Note that the answer to this question will be decisive for whether to answer upcoming questions e.g. upcoming questions on pressures should only be answered if the monitoring is defined as supporting the assessment of pressures.

Tick the relevant boxes.

Environmental state and impacts	Pressures in the marine environment	Pressures at source (land-based, riverine, sea-based ¹ and atmospheric sources)	Human activities causing the pressures	Effectiveness of measures
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1b	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d

Give any other monitoring purpose e.g. if the programmes include supporting parameters for other monitoring programmes

German aerial surveys in the Belt Sea

For questions 1b-1d, select when applicable for the sub-programme, the link from the Reporting on the 2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive ([MSFD Guidance Document 17, 2020](#)) (Features) to:

- Ecosystem components (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1a) for D1C2-C5, D3, D4, D6C3-C5, D7C2)
- Pressures and impacts in the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1b) for D1C1, D2, D5, D6C1-C2, D7C1, D8, D9, D10, D11)
- Pressure inputs to the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 10)
- Uses and human activities (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1c) and 13)

c.1b • Ecosystem components (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Theme	Sub-theme	Label feature
Species	<input type="checkbox"/> Birds	<input type="checkbox"/> Grazing birds
		<input type="checkbox"/> Wading birds
		<input type="checkbox"/> Surface-feeding birds

¹ Sea-based 'Pressures at source' refers to monitoring pressures from sea-based activities where the monitoring is directly at the activity rather than at a distance from or time period after it is generated by the activity (e.g. D1 incidental by-catch when fishing, D2 ballast water discharges, D6 use of bottom fishing gear, D8 contaminant discharges and pollution events from a vessel or pipeline, D11 impulsive sound events from a vessel or platform).

	<input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic-feeding birds
	<input type="checkbox"/> Benthic-feeding birds
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mammals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small toothed cetaceans
	<input type="checkbox"/> Deep-diving toothed cetaceans
	<input type="checkbox"/> Baleen whales
	<input type="checkbox"/> Seals
<input type="checkbox"/> Reptiles	<input type="checkbox"/> Turtles
<input type="checkbox"/> Fish	<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal fish
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic shelf fish
	<input type="checkbox"/> Demersal shelf fish
	<input type="checkbox"/> Deep-sea fish
	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercially exploited fish and shellfish
<input type="checkbox"/> Cephalopods	<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal/shelf cephalopods
	<input type="checkbox"/> Deep-sea cephalopods
Habitats	<input type="checkbox"/> Benthic habitats
	<input type="checkbox"/> Benthic broad habitats
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other benthic habitats
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic habitats
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic broad habitats
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other pelagic habitats
Ecosystems	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical and hydrological characteristics
	<input type="checkbox"/> Chemical characteristics
	<input type="checkbox"/> Ecosystems, including food webs
	<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal ecosystems
	<input type="checkbox"/> Shelf ecosystems
	<input type="checkbox"/> Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems

c.1c • Pressures and impacts in the marine environment (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Theme	Label: Feature
Biological	<input type="checkbox"/> Newly introduced non-indigenous species
	<input type="checkbox"/> Established non-indigenous species
	<input type="checkbox"/> Species affected by incidental by-catch
Physical and hydrological	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrographical changes
	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical disturbance to seabed
	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical loss of the seabed
	<input type="checkbox"/> Eutrophication

Substances, litter and energy	<input type="checkbox"/> Contaminants - non UPBT substances
	<input type="checkbox"/> Contaminants - UPBT substances
	<input type="checkbox"/> Contaminants – in seafood
	<input type="checkbox"/> Adverse effects on species or habitats
	<input type="checkbox"/> Acute pollution events
	<input type="checkbox"/> Litter in the environment
	<input type="checkbox"/> Impulsive sound in water
	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuous low frequency sound

c.1d • Pressure inputs to the marine environment (Features)

Theme	Label: Feature
Biological	<input type="checkbox"/> Input or spread of non-indigenous species
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of microbial pathogens
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of genetically modified species and translocation of native species
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of, or change to, natural biological communities due to cultivation of animal or plant species
	<input type="checkbox"/> Disturbance of species (e.g. where they breed, rest and feed) due to human presence
	<input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities)
Substances, litter and energy	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of nutrients — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of organic matter — diffuse sources and point sources
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of other substances (e.g. synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of litter (solid waste matter, including micro-sized litter)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of anthropogenic sound (impulsive, continuous)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of other forms of energy (including electromagnetic fields, light and heat)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of water — point sources (e.g. brine)

c.1e • Uses and human activities (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Theme	Label: Feature
Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Land claim

restructuring of rivers, coastline or seabed (water management)	<input type="checkbox"/> Canalisation and other watercourse modifications
	<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal defence and flood protection
	<input type="checkbox"/> Offshore structures (other than for oil/gas/renewables)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Restructuring of seabed morphology, including dredging and depositing of materials
Extraction of non-living resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of minerals (rock, metal ores, gravel, sand, shell)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of oil and gas, including infrastructure
	<input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of salt
	<input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of water
Production of energy	<input type="checkbox"/> Renewable energy generation (wind, wave and tidal power), including infrastructure
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-renewable energy generation
	<input type="checkbox"/> Transmission of electricity and communications (cables)
Extraction of living resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Fish and shellfish processing
	<input type="checkbox"/> Marine plant harvesting
	<input type="checkbox"/> Hunting and collecting for other purposes
Cultivation of living resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquaculture — marine, including infrastructure
	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquaculture — freshwater
	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture
	<input type="checkbox"/> Forestry
Transport	<input type="checkbox"/> Transport infrastructure
	<input type="checkbox"/> Transport — shipping
	<input type="checkbox"/> Transport — air
	<input type="checkbox"/> Transport — land
Urban and industrial uses	<input type="checkbox"/> Urban uses
	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial uses
	<input type="checkbox"/> Waste treatment and disposal
Tourism and leisure	<input type="checkbox"/> Tourism and leisure infrastructure
	<input type="checkbox"/> Tourism and leisure activities
Security/defence	<input type="checkbox"/> Military operations (subject to Article 2(2))
Education and research	<input type="checkbox"/> Research, survey and educational activities

c.2 Other legislation

The sub-programme links with the following other international legislation ([OtherPoliciesConventions](#)). Tick one or more relevant boxes.

- Bathing Water Directive
- Common Fisheries Policy and Data Collection Framework
- Habitats Directive
- Birds Directive
- Nitrates Directive
- Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive
- Water Framework Directive
- OSPAR Convention
- Trilateral Wadden Sea Convention
- Other, Specify: Maritime Spatial Planning

c.3 Implementation of Regional Cooperation ([RegionalCooperation_implementation](#))

Indicate the level of implementation by selecting one of the following:

- Agreed data collection methods
- Common monitoring strategy (spatial and temporal design of programme)
- Coordinated data collection (delivered separately by each country)
- Joint data collection (multinational delivery using same platform and/or algorithms)

c.4 Monitoring concepts

Monitoring concepts table²:

Current means of coordination	Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequency ³	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling	Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴	Spatial scope	Monitoring started (year)	CPs monitoring ⁵
	Elements (Features) (Features_enum)	Parameters (Parameter) (ParametersOther)	MonitoringMethod (MonitoringMethod) MonitoringMethodOther)	(Free text)	MonitoringFrequency	(ProgrammeDescription)	(RelatedIndicator) (RelatedIndicator_name)	(SpatialScope)	(TemporalScope)	(CountryCode_Enum)
HELCOM EG MAMA	Harbour porpoise, Belt Sea	Population size (abundance)	MiniSCANS (under the national monitoring programs), SCANS III CPODs Hammond et al. 2017 Vicquerat et al. 2013	SCANS III, Hammond et al. 2017	SCANS surveys: 11 years interval for 1994- 2016 DK current program: Every 6-8 years (depending on SCANS interval and year). 6 SACs monitored with CPODs every 3-6 years, waters around Bornholm monitored for 1-2 years every 6 years SE: yearly monitoring using CPODs MiniSCANS in Kattegat and Skagerrak every 5 years (SE, DK)	Entire population	-	EEZ	1994 MiniSCANS in 2012 DK: current program 2021, around Bornholm since 2018, 6 SACs since 2011 SE: 2016 Blekinge County, 2017 for Baltic Sea and 2019 for Kattegat	SE, DK, DE

² Needed codelists can be found on 2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD Guidance Document 17, 2020).

³ The option "Different for each country - see MORE overview" refers to the [overview](#) carried out in 2013

⁴ Give the name of HELCOM core indicators that are based on the monitoring parameter.

⁵ Provide information on the Contracting Partie(s) that are monitoring the parameter.

Current means of coordination	Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequency ³	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling	Link to HELCOM core indicators ⁴	Spatial scope	Monitoring started (year)	CPs monitoring ⁵
HELCOM EG MAMA	Harbour porpoise, Baltic Proper	Population size (abundance)	SAMBAH.org NB that this is a project: not a regular monitoring programme	SAMBAH.org	To be decided SE: yearly monitoring using CPOD since 2017	Entire population	-	EEZ	2011	SE (not a regular monitoring programme), DK, DE, EE, FI, LT, LV, PL: 2016-2018 (24 months), in 2021-2022 (12 months planned)
	Harbour porpoise, Northern Baltic Proper, Åland Sea	Population size (abundance)	CPODs	SAMBAH.org	yearly monitoring using CPOD since 2016	15-25 sites		EEZ	2016	FI

PARAMETER

Element/Parameter pair
Harbour porpoise/ Population size (abundance)

METHOD (MonitoringDetails)

Element/parameter
<p>The current Danish national monitoring program, NOVANA, includes line transect surveys of the Belt Sea population with a frequency of approx. 6-8 years, starting 2021 and building on the previous SCANS surveys. Line transect double platform visual surveys during summer (distance methods, random transects).</p> <p>For the Belt Sea population the six most important SACs monitored by CPODs for 1 year every 3-6 years since 2011.</p> <p>The Danish waters around Bornholm (inhabited by a mix of the Baltic Proper population and the Belt Sea population) are monitored for 1-2 years every sixth year since 2018.</p> <p>In 2020, a regionally coordinated abundance survey (called MiniSCANS-II) by airplane together with Sweden and Germany will be conducted in Kattegat, Skagerrak and the Belt Sea and the Western Baltic. The first MiniSCANS survey by ship was conducted in 2012. This aerial monitoring by Denmark and Sweden in Kattegat and Skagerrak will be repeated every 5 years.</p> <p>In Sweden, a yearly local monitoring of harbour porpoise started in 2016 in Blekinge county, and since then stations have also been added around the island of Öland. A yearly national monitoring of harbour porpoise in the Baltic Proper started in 2017, at 11 stations. In 2019 monitoring started at 14 stations in Natura 2000 sites in Kattegat. All Swedish monitoring employs the static acoustic methods used in the SAMBAH Project.</p> <p>In Finland, static acoustic monitoring according to the SAMBAH methodology has also been carried out in the Northern Baltic Proper and Åland and the Archipelago Sea since 2016 in 15-25 sites.</p> <p>In Poland static acoustic monitoring according to the SAMBAH methodology has been carried out in 2016-2018 at 2 sites (Pomeranian Bay and Stilo Bank) for 24 months. In 2021-2022 for 12 months is planned in 3 sites: Pomeranian Bay, Stilo Bank and Gulf of Gdańsk.</p> <p>In Germany regular monitoring is carried out by aerial surveys in the Belt Sea and c-pods in the eastern parts</p>

QA/QC

Element/Parameter pair

Belt Sea: Surveys are planned under the Danish national NOVANA monitoring program.

FREQUENCY**Frequency****Element/Parameter pair**

Belt Sea: Every 6-8 years, Baltic Proper: monitoring programme has not been implemented. Northern Baltic Proper and Åland Sea: yearly monitoring.

Germany s.a.

Polish Marine Waters: planned every 6 years once for 24 months or twice for 12 months.

SPATIAL SCOPE**Spatial Scope****Element/Parameter pair**

Belt Sea: Entire distribution of population, Baltic Proper , Northern Baltic Proper and Åland Sea: EEZ

PL: 3 sites planned: Pomeranian Bay, Stilo Bank and Gulf of Gdańsk

SPATIAL RESOLUTION (DENSITY) OF SAMPLING**Spatial resolution****Element/Parameter pair**

Belt Sea: Circa 1000 km of line transect survey effort randomly distributed over the population area. Baltic Proper: Pending monitoring design. Northern Baltic Proper and Åland Sea: 15-25 sites.

PL: 3 sites planned: Pomeranian Bay, Stilo Ban kand Gulf of Gdańsk

Provide considerations for the scale of aggregation of data for an indicator-based assessment Tick one or more relevant boxes below:

- HELCOM assessment unit Level 4: Subbasins with coastal WFD division
- HELCOM assessment unit Level 3: Subbasins with coastal and offshore division
- HELCOM assessment unit Level 2: Subbasin
- HELCOM assessment unit Level 1: Baltic Sea
- MSFD Region

EU

Other (specify) HELCOM assessment unit Level 4 for the Belt Sea and not agreed yet for the Baltic Proper.

Unknown

c.5 Monitoring and assessment requirements

Monitoring requirements:

Line transect aerial and ship-based monitoring of the harbour porpoise in the Baltic proper is complicated by the very low density of the species in this area, resulting in very uncertain estimates of abundance. The SAMBAH project successfully used static acoustic monitoring methods to estimate density and abundance of porpoises in the Baltic Proper, and although the confidence interval around the point estimate was quite wide in this first attempt, the method is considered the way forward. The method is currently used in the Finnish monitoring programme in the Northern Baltic Proper and Åland Sea. Methods will be further developed in the SAMBAH II project. A Baltic-wide harmonized method for acoustic monitoring of porpoises, and where possible estimates of population-specific and national GES thresholds and FRVs for the entire Baltic Proper and Belt Sea populations will be provided by SAMBAH II-project.

The Belt Sea harbour porpoise population occurs in the Danish, German and Swedish Belt Sea area, where density is sufficient for ship-based surveys. This area is covered by the SCANS surveys conducted in 1994, 2005 and 2016, and population abundance estimates on the basis of these surveys are possible. MiniSCANS survey with methods comparable to the SCANS surveys was performed in 2012 and 2020, although results from the 2020 survey are not yet available.

With the interval between the 1994 and 2005 (11 years) surveys, a power analysis revealed that four surveys with this interval would be required to detect an annual change in abundance of 8% with a power of 0.8. Thus, to be able to monitor the population over shorter periods than 33 years, much more frequent surveys are needed. Thus, current monitoring is not adequate for data on porpoise abundance to be used for e.g., the core indicator on 'Harbour porpoise distribution and abundance', or the MSFD-descriptor on biodiversity for harbour porpoise. If such a level of precision is needed, a higher frequency of surveys with greater accuracy of estimates should be considered. An interval of 6 years is recommended by ICES WGMME, OSPAR MMEG, and the Jastarnia group.

The current Danish national monitoring program, NOVANA, includes line transect surveys of the Belt Sea population with a frequency of approx. 6 years, starting 2012 and building on the previous SCANS surveys.

For Germany s.a.

Adequacy for assessment of GES:

Monitoring should provide adequate data and information to enable the periodic assessment of environmental status, and distance from and progress towards GES as required by MSFD under Article 9 and Article 11.

	Yes	No
Adequate data? (Belt Sea)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Established methods for assessment? (Belt Sea)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adequate understanding of GES? (Belt Sea)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adequate capacity to perform assessments? (Belt Sea)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Yes	No
Adequate data? (Baltic Proper)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Established methods for assessment? (Baltic Proper)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Adequate understanding of GES? (Baltic Proper)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Adequate capacity to perform assessments? (Baltic Proper)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Assessment of natural variability

Quantitative. Line-transect surveys using distance statistics of the management unit of the Belt Sea are carried out with an interval of circa 6-8 years. Member states around the Baltic Proper are redeploying the CPOD stations from SAMBAH on variable not coordinated intervals. There is currently no planned monitoring of the management unit in the inner Baltic.

Germany has a monitoring (s.a.)

c.6 Data providers and access

From which database the data can be made available? Tick the relevant boxes below:

HELCOM HELCOM PLC HELCOM MORS
COMBINE

Other: Harbour porpoise observations are reported annually to HELCOM EG MAMA and made available via HELCOM Biodiversity database (<https://maps.helcom.fi/biodiversity>)

If the previous answer is "Other" please fill in the next questions (In case the answer is a HELCOM database, the HELCOM Secretariat will do it)

Data type Tick the relevant boxes below:

Unprocessed/raw Data
 Processed Data sets (Belt Sea)
 Data Products

Modelled data

Data management: General description of data management ([DataManagement](#), Free text)

What method/mechanism will be used to make the data available? Tick the relevant boxes below and provide location ([DataAccess](#)):

- Providing URL to view data: <https://maps.helcom.fi/biodiversity>
- Providing URL to download data:
- Provide location of data in national data centre: Data from MiniSCANS projects are stored at AU – Aarhus University and ITAW – Hannover University.
- Provide location of data in international data centre (e.g. RSC, ICES, EEA, EMODnet): HELCOM Biodiversity database (<https://maps.helcom.fi/biodiversity>)

When will the data first become available? ([DataPublicationDate](#))

Enter the date of reporting, or even a past date if desired (MM/YYYY):

How frequently are the data expected to be updated thereafter? Tick the relevant box below:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Every 6 years | <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Every 3 years | <input type="checkbox"/> Daily |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 years | <input type="checkbox"/> Hourly |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yearly | <input type="checkbox"/> Continually |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 6-monthly | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> One-off |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 3-monthly | <input type="checkbox"/> As needed |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2-weekly | <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown |

List providing contact points in the Contracting Parties

Has the data been used or is it planned to be used in HELCOM assessments? Tick the relevant box below:

- Yes No

Select if data is used in the following Baltic Sea Environment Fact Sheets (BSEF) Tick the relevant boxes

below:

Biodiversity

- Abundance and distribution of marenzelleria species
- Abundance and distribution of Round goby
- Abundance and distribution of the Zebra mussel
- Biopollution level index
- Observed non-indigenous and cryptogenic species in the Baltic Sea
- Population development of Great Cormorant
- Population development of Sandwich Tern
- Population development of Southern Dunlin
- Population Development of White-tailed Sea Eagle
- Temporal development of Baltic coastal fish communities and key species

Eutrophication

- Bacterioplankton growth
- Chlorophyll-a concentrations, temporal variations and regional differences from satellite remote sensing
- Cyanobacteria biomass
- Cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic Sea
- Cyanobacteria bloom index
- Impacts of invasive phytoplankton species on the Baltic Sea ecosystem in 1980-2008
- Nitrogen atmospheric deposition to the Baltic Sea
- Nitrogen emissions to the air in the Baltic Sea area
- Phytoplankton biomass and species succession
- Shifts in the Baltic Sea summer phytoplankton communities in 1992-2006
- Spatial distribution of the winter nutrient pool
- Unusual phytoplankton event

Hazardous substances

- Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea
- Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea
- Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region
- Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region
- Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments
- Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010

- Emissions from Baltic Sea shipping
- Illegal discharges of oil in the Baltic Sea
- Liquid discharges of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea
- Trace metal concentrations and trends in Baltic surface and deep waters

Hydrography

- Development of Sea Surface Temperature in the Baltic Sea
- Hydrography and Oxygen in the Deep Basins
- Ice season
- Total and regional runoff to the Baltic Sea
- Water Exchange between the Baltic Sea and the North Sea, and conditions in the Deep Basins
- Wave climate in the Baltic Sea

c.7 MSFD Criteria (GES criteria)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Descriptor 1	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D1C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The mortality rate per species from incidental by-catch is below levels which threaten the species, such that its long- term viability is ensured.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the threshold values for the mortality rate from incidental by-catch per species, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D1C2 – Primary:</p> <p>The population abundance of the species is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures, such that its long-term viability is ensured.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of natural variation in population size and the mortality rates derived from D1C1, D8C4 and D10C4 and other relevant pressures. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these values shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Population values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D1C3 – Primary for commercially- exploited fish and cephalopods and secondary for other species:</p> <p>The population demographic characteristics (e.g. body size or age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity, and survival rates) of the species are indicative of a healthy population which is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for specified characteristics of each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of adverse effects on their health derived from D8C2, D8C4 and other relevant pressures.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D1C4 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV or V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:</p> <p>The species distributional range and, where relevant, pattern is in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and climatic conditions.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Range values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D1C5 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:</p> <p>The habitat for the species has the necessary extent and condition to support the different stages in the life history of the species.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D1C6 – Primary</p> <p>The condition of the habitat type, including its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p>
--------------	---

	<p>Member States shall establish threshold values for the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5 and 8, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p>
Descriptor 2	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D2C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The number of non-indigenous species which are newly introduced via human activity into the wild, per assessment period (6 years), measured from the reference year as reported for the initial assessment under Article 8(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC, is minimised and where possible reduced to zero.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the threshold value for the number of new introductions of non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D2C2 – Secondary:</p> <p>Abundance and spatial distribution of established non-indigenous species, particularly of invasive species, contributing significantly to adverse effects on particular species groups or broad habitat types.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D2C3 – Secondary:</p> <p>Proportion of the species group or spatial extent of the broad habitat type which is adversely altered due to non-indigenous species, particularly invasive non-indigenous species.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the threshold values for the adverse alteration to species groups and broad habitat types due to non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p>
Descriptor 3	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D3C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The Fishing mortality rate of populations of commercially-exploited species is at or below levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D3C2 – Primary:</p> <p>The Spawning Stock Biomass of populations of commercially-exploited species are above biomass levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D3C3 – Primary:</p> <p>The age and size distribution of individuals in the populations of commercially-exploited species is indicative of a healthy population. This shall include a high proportion of old/large individuals and limited adverse effects of exploitation on genetic diversity.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation for each population of species in accordance with scientific advice obtained pursuant to Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.</p>
Descriptor 4	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D4C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The diversity (species composition and their relative abundance) of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p>

	<p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D4C2 — Primary:</p> <p>The balance of total abundance between the trophic guilds is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D4C3 — Secondary:</p> <p>The size distribution of individuals across the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D4C4 — Secondary (to be used in support of criterion D4C2, where necessary):</p> <p>Productivity of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p>
Descriptor 5	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C1 — Primary:</p> <p>Nutrient concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse eutrophication effects.</p> <p>The threshold values are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C2 — Primary:</p> <p>Chlorophyll a concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.</p> <p>The threshold values are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (c) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (d) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation. <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C3 — Secondary:</p> <p>The number, spatial extent and duration of harmful algal bloom events are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C4 — Secondary:</p> <p>The photic limit (transparency) of the water column is not reduced, due to increases in suspended algae, to a level that indicates adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.</p> <p>The threshold values are as follows:</p>

	<p>(e) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;</p> <p>(f) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C5 — Primary (may be substituted by D5C8):</p> <p>The concentration of dissolved oxygen is not reduced, due to nutrient enrichment, to levels that indicate adverse effects on benthic habitats (including on associated biota and mobile species) or other eutrophication effects.</p> <p>The threshold values are as follows:</p> <p>(g) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;</p> <p>(h) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C6 — Secondary:</p> <p>The abundance of opportunistic macroalgae is not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.</p> <p>The threshold values are as follows:</p> <p>(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;</p> <p>(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C7 — Secondary:</p> <p>The species composition and relative abundance or depth distribution of macrophyte communities achieve values that indicate there is no adverse effect due to nutrient enrichment including via a decrease in water transparency, as follows:</p> <p>(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;</p> <p>(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C8 — Secondary: (except when used as a substitute for D5C5):</p> <p>The species composition and relative abundance of macrofaunal communities, achieve values that indicate that there is no adverse effect due to nutrient and organic enrichment, as follows:</p> <p>(a) in coastal waters, the values for benthic biological quality elements set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;</p> <p>(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p>
Descriptor 6	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C1 – Primary:</p> <p>Spatial extent and distribution of physical loss (permanent change) of the natural</p>

	<p>seabed.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C2 – Primary:</p> <p>Spatial extent and distribution of physical disturbance pressures on the seabed.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C3 – Primary:</p> <p>Spatial extent of each habitat type which is adversely affected, through change in its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. through changes in species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), by physical disturbance.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of physical disturbance, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C4 – Primary:</p> <p>The extent of loss of the habitat type, resulting from anthropogenic pressures, does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of habitat loss as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C5 – Primary:</p> <p>The extent of adverse effects from anthropogenic pressures on the condition of the habitat type, including alteration to its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for adverse effects on the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities. Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of those adverse effects as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p>
Descriptor 7	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D7C1 – Secondary:</p> <p>Spatial extent and distribution of permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions (e.g. changes in wave action, currents, salinity, temperature) to the seabed and water column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D7C2 – Secondary:</p> <p>Spatial extent of each benthic habitat type adversely affected (physical and hydrographical characteristics and associated biological communities) due to permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions.</p>
Descriptor 8	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D8C1 – Primary:</p> <p>Within coastal and territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed</p>

	<p>the following threshold values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) for contaminants set out under point 1(a) of criteria elements, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (b) when contaminants under point (a) are measured in a matrix for which no value is set under Directive 2000/60/EC, the concentration of those contaminants in that matrix established by Member States through regional or subregional cooperation; (c) for additional contaminants selected under point 1(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation, considering their application within and beyond coastal and territorial waters. <p>Beyond territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) for contaminants selected under point 2(a) of criteria elements, the values as applicable within coastal and territorial waters; (b) for contaminants selected under point 2(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation. <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D8C2 – Secondary:</p> <p>The health of species and the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance at locations of chronic pollution) are not adversely affected due to contaminants including cumulative and synergetic effects.</p> <p>Member States shall establish those adverse effects and their threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D8C3 – Primary:</p> <p>The spatial extent and duration of significant acute pollution events are minimised.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D8C4 – Secondary (to be used when a significant acute pollution event has occurred):</p> <p>The adverse effects of significant acute pollution events on the health of species and on the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance) are minimised and, where possible, eliminated.</p>
Descriptor 9	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D9C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The level of contaminants in edible tissues (muscle, liver, roe, flesh or other soft parts, as appropriate) of seafood (including fish, crustaceans, molluscs, echinoderms, seaweed and other marine plants) caught or harvested in the wild (excluding fin-fish from mariculture) does not exceed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) for contaminants listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, the maximum levels laid down in that Regulation, which are the threshold values for the purposes of this Decision; (b) for additional contaminants, not listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, threshold values, which Member States shall establish through regional or

	subregional cooperation.
Descriptor 10	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The composition, amount and spatial distribution of litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and on the seabed, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C2 — Primary:</p> <p>The composition, amount and spatial distribution of micro-litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and in seabed sediment, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C3 — Secondary:</p> <p>The amount of litter and micro-litter ingested by marine animals is at a level that does not adversely affect the health of the species concerned. Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C4 — Secondary:</p> <p>The number of individuals of each species which are adversely affected due to litter, such as by entanglement, other types of injury or mortality, or health effects. Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of litter, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p>
Descriptor 11	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D11C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The spatial distribution, temporal extent, and levels of anthropogenic impulsive sound sources do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D11C2 – Primary:</p> <p>The spatial distribution, temporal extent and levels of anthropogenic continuous low-frequency sound do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p>

d. References

Make a list of cited references and literature for further supportive information.

Hammond PS, Macleod K, Berggren P, Borchers DL, Burt L, Cañadas A, Desportes G, Donovan GP, Gilles A, Gillespie D, Gordon J, Hiby L, Kuklik I. Leaper R, Lehnert K, Leopold M, Lovell P, Øienm N,

Paxton CGM, Ridoux V, Rogan E, Samarra F, Scheidat M, Sequeira M, Siebert U, Skov H, Swift R, Tasker ML, Teilmann J, Van Canneyt O, Vázquez JA. 2013. Cetacean abundance and distribution in European Atlantic shelf waters to inform conservation and management. *Biological Conservation* 164: 107–122.

Hammond, P.S., Lacey, C., Gilles, A., Viquerat, S., Boerjesson, P., Herr, H., Macleod, K., Ridoux, V., Santos, M., Scheidat, M., 2017. Estimates of cetacean abundance in European Atlantic waters in summer 2016 from the SCANS-III aerial and shipboard surveys. Wageningen Marine Research.

Viquerat, S., Herr, H., Gilles, A., Peschko, V., Siebert, U., Sveegaard, S., Teilmann, J., 2014. Abundance of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) in the western Baltic, Belt Seas and Kattegat. *Mar. Biol.* 161, 745–754. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2374-6>.

<https://geodienste.bfn.de/c-pod?lang=de>