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**Key message**
Since 2010 the total runoff to the Baltic Sea has been above the 1950 – 2011 mean value, in contrast to the period 2002 – 2009 when the runoff was below the mean value, except for 2008. When comparing the last decade with previous decades, there are more similarities with the sixties and the seventies than with the eighties or nineties. If 2010 – 2012 are the first years of many to come with flow rates above mean, or if they are an exception in a longer period of lower flow rates, the future will tell. The 5-year running mean value has been increasing since 2004.

The Gulf of Finland, the Gulf of Bothnia and the Gulf of Riga had a higher runoff in 2012 compared to the 1950 – 2011 mean values, but for the Baltic Proper the runoff was below its mean value. The difference from the mean value was about 30% in the Gulf of Bothnia, 9% in the Gulf of Finland, 16% in the Gulf of Riga and -10% in the Baltic Proper (the negative sign indicate lower runoff compared to the mean value). When looking at the entire Baltic Sea, the difference from the mean value was almost 14%, hence the general runoff for the entire area was higher compared to the long term mean of the yearly runoff.

During the period 1950 – 2012, the total runoff to the Baltic Sea area shows no obvious long-term trend. This time period is characterised by dry and wet periods lasting for a couple of years to a decade generally following the NAO index. In general the period 1950 – 1980 was dry and 1980 – 2000 was wet, and 2000 – 2012 has had both a dry and a wet period.
Results and Assessment

Relevance of the indicator for describing developments in the environment
Runoff is a quantitative background indicator on the freshwater discharge, carrying the nutrients from the drainage areas to the coast.

Runoff is an important parameter for the change of pressure on nutrient supply due to varying climate and climate change. Also change in land-use can influence runoff. To evaluate the change of pressure on nutrient supply to the Baltic region it is necessary to know the variability of runoff and normalise for this natural variability. Dry periods, like the one during the 70’s, can mask the marine eutrophication since the runoff was lower than average and hence also the total load of nutrients. Extended dry periods should also lead to a slight increase in surface layer salinity. During wet periods, the total nutrient load (pressure) increase, making marine eutrophication (effects) even worse.

The indicator shows the annual runoff from drainage areas integrated over the Baltic sub-regions. Runoff is governed by the precipitation - evaporation on land areas and is also influenced by air temperature. It is the sum of direct river and diffusive runoff. In all sub-regions a strong seasonal, annual and decadal variability can be distinguished. Especially wet and dry periods are characterising the runoff. The 70’s was a fairly dry
period compared with the 80’s and the later part of the 90’s. Geographically, the runoff is of about the same size in the Gulf of Finland and the Baltic Proper, whereas the Gulf of Riga contributes to a lesser extent and the Gulf of Bothnia to a larger extent to the total runoff.

Assessment

Four different sub basins are described by the deviations from their mean values based on runoff during 1950 to 2011. The mean values and the 2012 values are shown in the top left corner in each sub basin figure (Figure 1). Years with higher runoff compared to the mean value are displayed as red bars and lower values with blue bars. A 5-year running mean is displayed as a black line overlaying the bars in the figure. The sub basins are displayed in the centre of figure 1 and the sub basins described are the Baltic Proper, the Gulf of Riga, the Gulf of Finland and the Gulf of Bothnia. A figure with the sum of the Baltic Sea sub basins is also included, partly to give an overview of the entire Baltic Sea and partly to compare the annual changes to the NAO index.

During the period 1950 – 2012, there is no obvious trend in the annual runoff, neither in the total runoff to the Baltic Sea area, nor in the sub-regions. Instead, this time period is characterised by dry and wet periods lasting for a couple of years to a decade. 2012 was a year with runoff above normal values in all sub basins except Baltic Proper, see Table 1.

Table 1. Mean of the annual mean runoff values [m³/s] for the sub basins in the Baltic Sea compared to the 2012 values and the difference in %.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub basin</th>
<th>Mean runoff 1950-2011 [m³/s]</th>
<th>Runoff 2012 [m³/s]</th>
<th>Difference from mean [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Bothnia</td>
<td>6 015,5</td>
<td>7 808,5</td>
<td>29,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Finland</td>
<td>3 572,8</td>
<td>3 893,3</td>
<td>9,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Riga</td>
<td>1 011,0</td>
<td>1 170,0</td>
<td>15,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic Proper</td>
<td>3 574,3</td>
<td>3 218,4</td>
<td>-10,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Baltic Sea</td>
<td>14 173,5</td>
<td>16 090,2</td>
<td>13,5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At times, there have been similar features in the changes of runoff values for all the sub basins. Other time periods, the changes are similar only in some of the sub basins. All the sub basins had low runoff values in the early to mid-70’s and higher in the end of the 90’s. In the Baltic Proper, the Gulf of Riga and the Gulf of Finland, there were high values from the mid 50’s to the beginning of the 60’s. In the Gulf of Bothnia, the Gulf of Riga and the Gulf of Finland, there was an episode of increasing values during the 80’s while in the Baltic Proper, there was a tendency of decreasing values. There were low values in the Baltic Proper in the early 90’s while there were high values at the end of the 80’s and the start of the 90’s in the Gulf of Riga, the Gulf of Finland and the Gulf of Bothnia.
The total runoff to the Baltic Sea is mostly influenced by the sub basins with the largest contributions, obviously. The highest contribution is from the Gulf of Bothnia followed by the Gulf of Finland and the Baltic Proper. When comparing the Gulf of Bothnia to the Gulf of Finland, there is a rather good correlation in the features of the running mean values. When comparing the Gulf of Bothnia to the Baltic Proper, there are some correspondences but also some deviations in the patterns. The figure displaying the total runoff to the Baltic Sea represents however the general features of the different sub basins rather well. Hence, the figure displaying the total runoff to the Baltic Sea is compared to the NAO index.
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**Figure 2.** Total runoff deviation during 1950 – 2012 to the Baltic Sea and the NAO index during the years 1864 – 2012 based on winter mean values of the NAO index. Positive index indicates stronger westerly winds bringing warmer and wetter winters to Scandinavia. The black line shows the 5-year running mean. In the upper panel the integrated deviations of the runoff to the Baltic Sea is presented.

Figure 2 displays the total runoff deviation during 1950 to 2012 to the Baltic Sea, both as integrated difference (sum of abnormalities, starting and ending with 0 km$^3$ 1950 and 2012) and with bars displaying the year to year deviation from the mean. The NAO index during the years 1864 – 2012, based on winter mean values of the NAO index, is displayed at the bottom of the figure. The integrated difference gives an idea of the total amount of runoff in the Baltic Sea. The black line shows the 5-year running mean. By comparing the running mean of the two lower figures between 1952 and onwards, the features correspond rather well with each other. Since there seems to be some positive correlation, the NAO indices may be used to indicate general
runoff to the Baltic Sea back in time. This is the motivation of including the longer time series of the NAO indices. There is a slight tendency to high NAO indices around 1910 and 1990 and low values around 1960.
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Data
Observations are collected at the BALTEX Hydrological Data Centre (http://www.smhi.se/sgn0102/bhdc/bhdc.htm), whereas modelled data is obtained at SMHI using the HBV-model (Graham-99) and Balt-HYPE (Arheimer et al. 2012). Gulf of Riga runoff is based on observations up through 2001, while simulations are used for 2002. Gulf of Finland runoff is based on observations up through 1997, while simulations are used for 1998 – 2002. Baltic Proper runoff is based on observations up through 1996, while simulations are used for 1997 – 2003. For 2003 to 2009 all data is based on HBV model. From 2010 onwards all data is based on the Balt-HYPE model. Please note the change of model from HBV to Balt-HYPE made in 2010. There might be inconsistency regarding the result from the two models. The NAO indices are collected from

https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/sites/default/files/cas_data_files/asphilli/nao_station_djfm.txt.

Table 2. NAO index and annual mean values of runoff [m$^3$/s] to the sub basins in the Baltic Sea during 1950 to 2012.
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